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## Introduction

The Annual Survey of the Mathematical Sciences collects information each year about degree recipients, departments, faculties, and students in the mathematical sciences at four-year colleges and universities in the United States. Information about recipients of doctoral degrees awarded between July 1, 2007, and June 30, 2008, was collected from doctorate-granting departments beginning in late spring 2008. The "2008 Annual Survey First Report" (Notices, February 2009, pages 257-67) presented survey results about 1,235 new doctoral recipients based on the data provided by the departments. Here we update this information using data obtained from 557 new doctoral recipients who responded to a questionnaire, "Employment Experiences of New Doctoral Recipients" (EENDR), sent in early October 2008 to all new doctoral recipients. In addition, this report incorporates information on an additional 143 doctoral recipients from departments that responded too late to have the information included in the First Report. Finally, we present the starting salaries and other employment information from the new doctoral recipients that responded to the EENDR questionnaire.

The names and thesis titles of the 2007-2008 doctoral recipients reported on in the First Report were published in "Doctoral Degrees Conferred" (Notices, February 2009, pages 281-301). A supplemental listing of the 143 additional new


#### Abstract

This Second Report of the 2008 Annual Survey gives an update of the 2007-2008 new doctoral recipients from the First Report, which appeared in the Notices of the AMS in February 2009, pages 257-67. The First Report contains a section on new doctoral recipients in statistics that is not updated here.

The 2008 Annual Survey represents the fifty-second in an annual series begun in 1957 by the American Mathematical Society. The 2008 Survey is under the direction of the Data Committee, a joint committee of the American Mathematical Society, the American Statistical Association, the Institute of Mathematical Statistics, the Mathematical Association of America, and the Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics. The current members of this committee are Richard Cleary, Richard M. Dudley, John W. Hagood, Abbe H. Herzig, Ellen Kirkman, David J. Lutzer, Joanna Mitro, James W. Maxwell (ex officio), Bart Ng, Polly Phipps (chair), Douglas Ravanel, Jianguo (Tony) Sun, and Marie Vitulli. The committee is assisted by AMS survey analyst Colleen A. Rose. Comments or suggestions regarding this Survey Report may be directed to the committee.


## Doctorates Granted Departmental Response <br> Rates (updated April 2008)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group I (Pu)¹ } \\ & \text { Group I (Pr) } \end{aligned}$ | 25 of 25 including 0 with no degrees <br> 23 of 23 including 0 with no degrees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Group II | 56 of 56 including 3 with no degrees |
| Group III | 73 of 73 including 18 with no degrees |
| Group IV | 65 of 89 including 4 with no degrees |
| Group Va | 21 of 21 including 1 with no degrees |

${ }^{1}$ For definitions of groups see page 839.

[^0]
## Highlights

There were 1,378 doctoral recipients from U.S. institutions for 2007-2008, up 45 (3\%) from the previous year, continuing an upward trend that began in 2002-2003. This is the highest number of new Ph.D.'s ever reported. An increase in response rate for the second report is partly responsible for the increase.
The final unemployment rate was $3.8 \%$ for all 2007-2008 doctoral recipients and $2.3 \%$ for females. Both percentages reflect increases over last year's percentages ( $2.4 \%$ and $1.5 \%$, respectively) which where the lowest reported since the early 1990s.
The number of new doctoral recipients who are U.S. citizens is 622, up 46 (8\%) from last year's number and 163 (36\%) from 2003-2004. This is the highest number of U.S. citizens reported over the past eleven surveys. The percentage of U.S. citizens among all doctoral recipients is $44 \%$, up from $43 \%$ last year. The number of new doctoral recipients who are not U.S. citizens remains stable at 756, but up 134 (22\%) from 2003-2004.
Females totaled 435 (32\%) of all new doctoral recipients, down in number and percentage from 446 (33\%) last year. The highest percentage of females among the annual counts of doctoral recipients was $34 \%$, reported for 1998-1999. Of the 540 U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients, 191 are female (31\%). Of the 576 U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients this year, $9 \%$ are underrepresented minorities compared to $6 \%$ last year.
Of the 1,221 new doctoral recipients whose employment status is known, 1,166 reported having employment in fall 2008, with $88 \%(1,026)$ finding employment in the U.S., the same as last year. Non-U.S. citizens accounted for $50 \%$ of those employed in the U.S. (last year this percentage was $52 \%$ ). The percentage of non-U.S. citizens employed in the U.S. has declined three consecutive years.
The number of new doctoral recipients hired into U.S. academic positions in fall 2008 remains stable at 756. Although this year's number remains stable, it is still the highest such number reported over the past twenty-six years. Indeed, each of the numbers reported for the past four falls exceeds any number reported during the period from fall 1982 through fall 2004.
The number of new doctoral recipients taking positions in U.S. business/industry and government was 270 in fall 2008, a $5 \%$ increase from last year's numbers. This group constitutes $26 \%$ of all the new doctoral recipients employed in the U.S. (up from $25 \%$ last year).
There were 557 new doctoral recipients responding to the EENDR survey; of the 496 who found employment in the U.S., $49 \%$ reported obtaining a permanent position (down from $53 \%$ in fall 2006).
The percentage of temporarily employed respondents who reported taking a postdoctoral position in the U.S. increased from $76 \%$ in fall 2007 to $77 \%$ in fall 2008, but the number remained unchanged at 172 .

Table 1A: Doctoral Recipients: Preliminary and Final Counts

| Year | Preliminary | Final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1998-1999$ | 1133 | 1135 |
| $1999-2000$ | 1119 | 1127 |
| $2000-2001$ | 1008 | 1065 |
| $2001-2002$ | 948 | 960 |
| $2002-2003$ | 1017 | 1037 |
| $2003-2004$ | 1041 | 1081 |
| $2004-2005$ | 1116 | 1222 |
| $2005-2006$ | 1245 | 1311 |
| $2006-2007$ | 1157 | 1333 |
| $2007-2008$ | 1235 | 1378 |

Table 1B: Doctoral Recipients: Citizenship

| Year | U.S. | Non-U.S. | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2003-2004$ | 459 | 622 | 1081 |
| $2004-2005$ | 496 | 726 | 1222 |
| $2005-2006$ | 552 | 759 | 1311 |
| $2006-2007$ | 576 | 757 | 1333 |
| $2007-2008$ | 622 | 756 | 1378 |

Table 1C: 2007-2008 Doctoral Recipients by Type of Degree-Granting Department

|  | Department Group ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I (Pu) | I (Pr) | II | III | IV | Va |
|  | 315 | 176 | 301 | 152 | 317 | 117 |
|  | $23 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $8 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ For definitions of groups see page 839.
Table 1D: Doctoral Recipients:
U.S. Citizens-Percent Female and Percent Underrepresented Minorities

| Year | U.S. | \% Female | \% URM* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1998-1999$ | 560 | $34 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $1999-2000$ | 566 | $29 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $2000-2001$ | 532 | $31 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| $2001-2002$ | 428 | $30 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $2002-2003$ | 499 | $32 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $2003-2004$ | 459 | $33 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| $2004-2005$ | 496 | $28 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| $2005-2006$ | 552 | $28 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| $2006-2007$ | 576 | $31 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $2007-2008$ | 622 | $31 \%$ | $9 \%$ |

[^1]Table 2A: Fall 2008 Employment Status of 2007-2008 Doctoral Recipients by Field of Thesis (updated April 2009)

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER |  | FIELD OF THESIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Algebra <br> Number <br> Theory | Real, Comp. Funct., \& Harmonic Analysis | Geometry/ Topology | Discr. Math./ Combin./ Logic/ Comp. Sci. | Probability | Statistics/ Biostat. | Applied Math. | Numerical Analysis/ Approximations | Linear <br> Nonlinear Optim./ Control | Differential, Integral, \& Difference Equations | Math. Educ. | Other/ Unknown |  |
| Group I (Public) ${ }^{1}$ |  | 33 | 7 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 90 |
| Group I (Private) |  | 22 | 3 | 17 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| Group II |  | 15 | 14 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 82 |
| Group III |  | 16 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 47 |
| Group IV |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| Group Va |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
|  |  | 10 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 68 |
| Master's <br> Bachelor's |  | 37 | 11 | 18 | 20 | 3 | 13 | 22 | 3 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 152 |
| Two-Year College |  | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 26 |
| Other Academic Dept. ${ }^{2}$ |  | 9 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 64 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 124 |
| Research Institute/ Other Nonprofit |  | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 35 |
| Government |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 24 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Business and Industry |  | 17 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 21 | 111 | 26 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 229 |
| Non-U.S. Academic Non-U.S. Nonacademic |  | 19 | 8 | 17 | 11 | 5 | 17 | 24 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
|  |  | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Not Seeking Employment <br> Still Seeking Employment <br> Unknown (U.S.) <br> Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{3}$ |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
|  |  | 10 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 46 |
|  |  | 12 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 25 | 16 | 9 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 92 |
|  |  | 8 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 17 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 65 |
| TOTAL |  | 219 | 72 | 121 | 104 | 61 | 373 | 191 | 70 | 24 | 114 | 21 | 8 | 1378 |
| Column <br> Subtotals | Male | 172 | 55 | 95 | 76 | 54 | 182 | 136 | 56 | 20 | 79 | 10 | 8 | 943 |
|  | Female | 47 | 17 | 26 | 28 | 7 | 191 | 55 | 14 | 4 | 35 | 11 | 0 | 435 |

1 For definitions of groups see page 839.
2 These are departments outside the mathematical sciences.
3 Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".

## Table 2B: Fall 2008 Employment Status of 2007-2008 Doctoral Recipients by Type of Degree-Granting Department (updated April 2009)

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER |  | TYPE OF DOCTORAL DEGREE-GRANTING DEPARTMENT |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | Row Subtotals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group I (Public) | Group I (Private) | Group II Math. | Group III Math. | Group IV Statistics | Group Va Applied Math. |  |  |  |
| Group I (Public) ${ }^{1}$ |  | 40 | 21 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 90 | 70 | 20 |
| Group I (Private) |  | 21 | 40 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 75 | 60 | 15 |
| Group II |  | 27 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 82 | 62 | 20 |
| Group III |  | 10 | 1 | 15 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 47 | 32 | 15 |
| Group IV |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 39 | 1 | 43 | 24 | 19 |
| Group Va |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| Master's |  | 18 | 3 | 24 | 10 | 12 | 1 | 68 | 50 | 18 |
| Bachelor's |  | 39 | 9 | 64 | 24 | 9 | 7 | 152 | 86 | 66 |
| Two-Year College |  | 6 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 26 | 21 | 5 |
| Other Academic Dept. ${ }^{2}$ |  | 13 | 5 | 15 | 18 | 55 | 18 | 124 | 73 | 51 |
| Research Institute/ Other Nonprofit |  | 4 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 35 | 14 | 21 |
| Government |  | 9 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 19 | 3 | 41 | 20 | 21 |
| Business and Industry |  | 35 | 20 | 35 | 23 | 90 | 26 | 229 | 152 | 77 |
| Non-U.S. Academic Non-U.S. Nonacademic |  | 42 | 27 | 18 | 8 | 16 | 8 | 119 | 97 | 22 |
|  |  | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 21 | 18 | 3 |
| Not Seeking Employment Still Seeking Employment Unknown (U.S.) Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{3}$ |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 8 |
|  |  | 17 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 46 | 37 | 9 |
|  |  | 10 | 12 | 22 | 12 | 21 | 15 | 92 | 71 | 21 |
|  |  | 16 | 8 | 14 | 5 | 14 | 8 | 65 | 41 | 24 |
| TOTAL |  | 315 | 176 | 301 | 152 | 317 | 117 | 1378 | 943 | 435 |
| Column Subtotals | Male | 248 | 145 | 215 | 101 | 151 | 83 | 943 |  |  |
|  | Female | 67 | 31 | 86 | 51 | 166 | 34 | 435 |  |  |

[^2]Table 2C: Degree-Granting Department of 2007-2008 Doctoral Recipients by Field of Thesis (updated April 2009)

| TYPE OF DOCTORAL DEGREE-GRANTING DEPARTMENT | FIELD OF THESIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algebra Number Theory | Real, Comp., Funct., \& Harmonic Analysis | Geometry/ Topology | Discr. Math./ Combin./ Logic/ Comp. Sci. | Probability | Statistics/ Biostat. | Applied Math. | Numerical Analysis/ Approximations | Linear Nonlinear Optim./ Control | Differential, Integral, \& Difference Equations | Math. <br> Educ. | Other/ Unknown |  |
| Group I (Public) ${ }^{1}$ | 80 | 22 | 41 | 43 | 16 | 10 | 42 | 15 | 7 | 35 | 0 | 4 | 315 |
| Group I (Private) | 57 | 12 | 32 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 176 |
| Group II | 66 | 24 | 34 | 21 | 14 | 15 | 56 | 29 | 5 | 29 | 7 | 1 | 301 |
| Group III | 16 | 13 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 21 | 16 | 17 | 5 | 19 | 14 | 2 | 152 |
| Group IV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 305 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 317 |
| Group Va | 0 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 8 | 22 | 41 | 8 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| TOTAL | 219 | 72 | 121 | 104 | 61 | 373 | 191 | 70 | 24 | 114 | 21 | 8 | 1378 |

${ }^{1}$ For definitions of groups see page 839.

Table 2D: Percentage of Employed New Doctoral Recipients by Type of Employer

|  | Employed in U.S. |  | Employed outside. U.S. |  | NUMBER EMPLOYED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Academic ${ }^{1}$ | Nonacademic | Academic | Nonacademic |  |
| Fall 2004 | 72\% | 15\% | 12\% | 1\% | 910 |
| Fall 2005 | 69\% | 17\% | 12\% | 2\% | 1018 |
| Fall 2006 | 65\% | 22\% | 11\% | 2\% | 1099 |
| Fall 2007 | 66\% | 22\% | 11\% | 1\% | 1151 |
| Fall 2008 | 65\% | 23\% | 10\% | 2\% | 1166 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes research institutes and other non-profits.
doctoral recipients appears at the end of this report on pages 840-843.

Updated Employment Status of 2007-2008 Doctoral Recipients

The updated response rates for the 2008 Survey of New Doctoral Recipients appear on page 828. The total number of departments responding in time for inclusion in this Second Report was 263, 29 more than were included in the 2008 First Report and 11 more than the total number responding for inclusion in the 2007 Second Report. Groups I, II, III, and Va achieved a $100 \%$ response rate by the second report; the Data Committee thanks all departments for their efforts. No adjustments were made in this report for nonresponding departments. Definitions of the various groups surveyed in the Annual Survey can be found on page 839 of this report.

Figure 1: Percentage of New Doctoral Recipients Unemployed ${ }^{1}$


[^3]Table 3A: New Doctoral Recipients Employed in the U.S.

|  | Degree-Granting Department Group ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I (Pu) |  | 1 (Pr) |  | II |  | III |  | IV |  | Va |  |  |  |
|  | Academic ${ }^{2}$ | Business/ Industry \& Government | Academic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Businesss } \\ \text { Industry \& } \\ \text { Government } \end{array}$ | Academic | Business/ Incustry \& Government | Academic | Business/ Industry \& Government | Academic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Business/ } \\ \text { Industry \& } \\ \text { Government } \end{gathered}$ | Academic | Businesss/ Industry \& Government | Academic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Business/ } \\ \text { Industry \& } \\ \text { Government } \end{array}$ |
| Fall 2004 | 118 | 18 | 118 | 18 | 144 | 17 | 73 | 11 | 150 | 61 | 52 | 11 | 655 | 137 |
| Fall 2005 | 152 | 21 | 104 | 17 | 152 | 23 | 97 | 18 | 149 | 79 | 45 | 18 | 699 | 176 |
| Fall 2006 | 171 | 41 | 109 | 21 | 128 | 32 | 93 | 15 | 155 | 104 | 59 | 30 | 715 | 243 |
| Fall 2007 | 191 | 50 | 91 | 12 | 181 | 20 | 95 | 27 | 151 | 123 | 47 | 24 | 756 | 256 |
| Fall 2008 | 180 | 44 | 97 | 24 | 192 | 40 | 92 | 24 | 145 | 109 | 50 | 29 | 756 | 270 |

1 For definitions of groups see page 839.
2 Includes research institutes and other non-profits.

Table 1A shows the fall and final counts of doctoral recipients in the mathematical sciences awarded by U.S. institutions in each year from 1998 through 2008. This year the total number of new doctoral recipients is 1,378 , up from the previous year by 45 . The response rates for Groups I (Pr), II, and III all increased in 2008, thus caution should be taken in interpreting change between 2007 and 2008 for these groups.

Table 1B shows trends in the number of new doctoral recipients for the past five years broken down by U.S. citizens and non-U.S. citizens. This year the number of new doctoral recipients who are U.S. citizens is 622 , an increase of 46 ( $8 \%$ ) over last year. The number of non-U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients dropped by 1 to 756 .

Table 1C gives abreakdown of the 1,378 doctoral degrees awarded in the mathematical sciences between July 1, 2007, and June 30, 2008, by type of degree-granting department.

Table 1D shows the number of U.S. citizens, receiving degrees, the percentage of U.S. citizen females and the percentage of U.S. citizen underrepresentedminorities for the years 1998-1999 through 2007-2008. Underrepresented minorities include any person having origins in the categories American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, and Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander.

Tables 2A, 2B, and 2C display updates of these same numbered tables in the First Report to include the 143 additional doctoral recipients reported too late for inclusion in the First Report. New doctoral recipients are grouped by field of thesis using the Mathematical Reviews 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification list. A complete list of these groups is available on the AMS website at www.ams.org/ employment/Thesis_groupings.pdf At the time of this Second Report, the fall 2008 employment status of 1,221 of the 1,378 doctoral recipients was known.

The fall 2008 unemployment rate for new doctoral recipients, based on information gathered by the time of the Second Report, was $3.8 \%$. Figure 1 presents the fall 1984 through fall 2008 trend

Table 3B: New Doctoral Recipients Employed in U.S. Academic Positions

|  | Hiring Department Group $^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | I-III | IV | Va | M\&B | Other $^{2}$ | TOTAL |
| Fall 2004 | 220 | 66 | 19 | 172 | 178 | 655 |
| Fall 2005 | 249 | 53 | 12 | 212 | 173 | 699 |
| Fall 2006 | 263 | 73 | 14 | 198 | 167 | 715 |
| Fall 2007 | 286 | 44 | 15 | 229 | 182 | 756 |
| Fall 2008 | 294 | 43 | 14 | 220 | 185 | 756 |

1 For definitions of groups see page 839.
2 Includes two-year colleges, other academic departments, and research institutes/other nonprofits.

Table 3C: Females as a Percentage of 2007-2008 New Doctoral Recipients

|  | Department Group $^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I (Pu) | I(Pr) | II | III | IV | Va | M\&B | TOTAL |
| \% Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Produced | $21 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $29 \%$ | - | $32 \%$ |
| Hired | $22 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $30 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ For definitions of groups see page 839.
in the final unemployment rate of new doctoral recipients. The counts on which these rates are determined do not include those new doctoral recipients whose fall employment status was still unknown at the time of the Second Report. This year the number of recipients whose employment status was reported as unknown increased to 157 from 143 last year.

Of the 1,221 new doctoral recipients whose employment is known, 1,026 were employed in the U.S., 140 were employed outside the U.S., 46 were still seeking employment, and 9 were not seeking employment.

Table 2D presents the trend in the percentage of employed new doctoral recipients by type of employer for the last five years. Academic employment includes those employed by research institutes and other nonprofits. Among new doctoral recipients who are employed in the U.S., the percentage taking nonacademic employment

Table 3D: Citizenship of 2007-2008 Male Doctoral Recipients by Fall 2008 Employment Status

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER | CITIZENSHIP |  |  |  | TOTAL MALE DOCTORAL RECIPIENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. CITIZENS | NON-U.S. CITIZENS |  |  |  |
|  |  | Permanent Visa | Temporary Visa | Unknown Visa |  |
| U.S. Employer | 341 | 38 | 292 | 7 | 678 |
| U.S. Academic | 270 | 24 | 209 | 3 | 506 |
| Groups ${ }^{1}$ I, II, III, and Va | 115 | 7 | 113 | 3 | 238 |
| Group IV | 10 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 24 |
| Non-Ph.D. Department | 138 | 16 | 76 | 0 | 230 |
| Research Institute/Other Nonprofit | 7 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 14 |
| U.S. Nonacademic | 71 | 14 | 83 | 4 | 172 |
| Non-U.S. Employer | 26 | 1 | 87 | 1 | 115 |
| Non-U.S. Academic | 25 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 97 |
| Non-U.S. Nonacademic | 1 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 18 |
| Not Seeking Employment | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Still Seeking Employment | 21 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 37 |
| Subtotal | 388 | 41 | 394 | 8 | 831 |
| Unknown (U.S.) | 42 | 4 | 24 | 1 | 71 |
| Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 41 |
| TOTAL | 431 | 45 | 458 | 9 | 943 |

1 For definitions of groups see page 839.
2 Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".
Table 3E: Citizenship of 2007-2008 Female Doctoral Recipients by Fall 2008 Employment Status

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER | CITIZENSHIP |  |  |  | TOTAL FEMALE DOCTORAL RECIPIENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. CITIZENS | NON-U.S. CITIZENS |  |  |  |
|  |  | Permanent Visa | Temporary Visa | Unknown Visa |  |
| U.S. Employer | 168 | 22 | 152 | 6 | 348 |
| U.S. Academic | 139 | 11 | 96 | 4 | 250 |
| Groups ${ }^{1}$ I, II, III, and Va | 39 | 2 | 28 | 1 | 70 |
| Group IV | 8 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 19 |
| Non-Ph.D. Department | 83 | 8 | 48 | 1 | 140 |
| Research Institute/Other Nonprofit | 9 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 21 |
| U.S. Nonacademic | 29 | 11 | 56 | 2 | 98 |
| Non-U.S. Employer | 8 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 25 |
| Non-U.S. Academic | 7 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 22 |
| Non-U.S. Nonacademic | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Not Seeking Employment | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Still Seeking Employment | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 9 |
| Subtotal | 183 | 24 | 175 | 8 | 390 |
| Unknown (U.S.) | 8 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 21 |
| Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 24 |
| TOTAL | 191 | 27 | 205 | 12 | 435 |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ For definitions of groups see page 839.
2 Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".
varied significantly by field of thesis. For those whose field of thesis is in the first three columns in Table 2A, the percentage is $12 \%$ (up from $7 \%$ last year), while the percentage for those with theses in probability or statistics is the highest at $45 \%$ (up from $44 \%$ last year).

Table 3A shows that the fall 2008 total number of doctoral recipients taking positions in business/ industry and government is 270 . This number reflects an increase of 5\% over last year. Groups I Pr and II increased 100\% from last year from 12 to 24 and from 20 to 40 , respectively. Table 3B shows that the number of new doctoral recipients taking U.S. academic positions remains unchanged from last year at 756. Doctoral hires into U.S. academic

Table 3F: Number of 2007-2008
New Doctoral Recipients Employed in the U.S. by Citizenship and Type of Employer

| U.S. EMPLOYER | CITIZENSHIP |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. | Non-U.S. | TOTAL |
| Academic: Groups I-Va | 172 | 179 | 351 |
| Academic: M\&B, Other | 237 | 168 | 405 |
| Nonacademic | 100 | 170 | 270 |
| TOTAL | 509 | 517 | 1026 |

positions are down slightly in all groups except Groups I-III (up to 294 from 286 last year) and Other (up to 185 from 182 last year). The biggest percentage decrease is in Group M\&B (4\%).

Table 3C gives information about the production of female new doctoral recipients in the doctoral-granting departments and the hiring of females by all department groups. From Table 3C we see that the percentage of females hired ranges from a high of 44\% in Group IV, followed by Group M\&B at $38 \%$ to zero in Group Va. The percentage of female new doctoral recipients produced is highest in Group IV (52\%).
Updated Information about 2007-2008 Doctoral Recipients by Gender and Citizenship

Tables 3D and 3E show the gender and citizenship of the 1,378 new doctoral recipients and the fact that 1,026 new doctoral recipients found jobs in the U.S. this year. This is $84 \%$ of the 1,221 new doctoral recipients whose employment status was known and $88 \%$ of the 1,166 known to have jobs in fall 2008. Last year these percentages were $85 \%$ and $88 \%$, respectively.

Gender and citizenship are known for all of the 1,378 new doctoral recipients. The final count of new doctoral recipients who are U.S. citizens is 622 ( $45 \%$ ) (up from 43\% last year). Pages 262-65 of the First Report present further information related to the citizenship of the 2007-2008 new doctoral recipients.

Of the 622 U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients reported for 2007-2008, 191 are female and 431 are male. Females accounted for $31 \%$ of the U.S. citizen total (the same as last year). The number of female U.S. citizens has increased by 11 from last year's count of 180, and the number of male U.S. citizens increased by 35 from last year's count of 396.

Table 3 F shows that U.S. citizens accounted for $50 \%$ of those employed in the U.S. (up from 48 \% last year). Groups I through Va hired 49\% U.S. citizens, while groups M, B, and all other academic departments hired 59\% U.S. citizens (last year these percentages were $49 \%$ and $55 \%$, respectively). U.S. citizens represented $37 \%$ of those hired into nonacademic positions (last year $36 \%$ ). Among all the 1,026 new 2007-2008 doctoral recipients employed in the U.S., $26 \%$ took nonacademic employment (government or business and industry) up from $25 \%$ last year.

Table 4A: Number (and Percentage) of Annual EENDR Respondents Employed in the U.S. by Job Status

|  | Employed in U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Permanent Total | Temporary Total | Temporary |  |  | Unknown |
|  |  |  | Permanent not available | Postdoctoral |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Permanent not available |  |
| Fall 2004 | 220(49\%) | 229(51\%) | 81(35\%) | 176(77\%) | 49(28\%) | -- |
| Fall 2005 | 291(56\%) | 232(44\%) | 92(40\%) | 172(74\%) | 55(32\%) | -- |
| Fall 2006 | 289(51\%) | 274(49\%) | 98(36\%) | 209(76\%) | $57(27 \%)$ | -- |
| Fall 2007 | 259(53\%) | 227(47\%) | 88(39\%) | 172(76\%) | 57(33\%) | -- |
| Fall 2008 | 245(49\%) | 222(45\%) | 74(33\%) | 172(77\%) | 47(27\%) | -- |

Table 4B: Percentage of Annual EENDR Respondents Employed in the U.S. by Employment Sector within Job Status

|  | Employed in U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Permanent |  |  | Temporary |  |  |
|  | Academic ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Covernment | Business/ <br> Industry | Academic | Covernment | Business/ <br> Industry |
|  | $72 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  | $68 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  | $66 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
|  | $68 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | $63 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

1 Includes research institutes and other non-profits.

## New Information from the EENDR Survey

The 1,235 new doctoral recipients reported in the First Report were sent the "Employment Experiences of New Doctoral Recipients" (EENDR) survey in October 2008, and 557 (45\%) responded. The response rates varied considerably among the various subgroups of new doctoral recipients defined by their employment status as reported by

Figure 2: Age Distribution of 2007-2008 EENDR Respondents

departments. Among those who were employed the highest response rate, $57 \%$, was from those employed in the U.S. academic, while the lowest, $20 \%$, was from those in non-U.S. academic.

The EENDR gathered details on employment experiences not available through departments. The remainder of this section presents additional information available on this subset of the 20072008 doctoral recipients.

Table 4A gives the numbers and percentages of EENDR respondents taking permanent and temporary positions in the U.S for fall 2004 through fall 2008.
This year we see that among the 496 employed in the U.S., 245 reported obtaining a permanent position and 222 a temporary position. (Twentynine individuals did not classify their position.) While these numbers both reflect a decrease, the percentage of individuals taking permanent positions in 2008 has decreased to $49 \%$ from $53 \%$ in 2007, and the percentage of those taking temporary positions has decreased to $45 \%$ from $47 \%$. Of the 222 in temporary positions, 74 (33\%) reported taking temporary employment because a suitable permanent position was not available, down from $39 \%$ in 2007. Most respondents classified their temporary position as postdoctoral (77\%). Of the 172 respondents taking postdoctal positions, 47 (27\%) reported that a suitable permanent position was not available, down from 33\% in 2007.

Table 4B shows the employment trends of permanent and temporary positions broken down by sector for the last five years. Among the 245 who reported obtaining a permanent position in the U.S. in fall 2008, $63 \%$ were employed in academia (including $2 \%$ in research institutes and other nonprofits), $6 \%$ in government, and 31\% in business or industry. Women held $37 \%$ of the permanent positions.

Among the 222 individuals with temporary employment in the U.S. this year, $95 \%$ were employed in academia (including $7 \%$ in research institutes and other nonprofits), $4 \%$ in government, and $1 \%$ in business or industry.

Figure 2 gives the age distribution of the 550 new doctoral recipients who responded to this question. The median age of new doctoral recipients was 30 years, while the mean age was 32 years. The first and third quartiles were 28 and 31 years, respectively. This distribution is consistent with those of the recent past.

## Previous Annual Survey Reports

The 2008 First Report was published in the Notices in the February 2009 issue. For the last full year of reports, the 2007 First, Second, and Third Reports were published in the Notices in the February, August, and December 2008 issues respectively. These reports and earlier reports, as well as a
wealth of other information from these surveys, are available on the AMS website at www.ams.org/ employment/surveyreports.html.

## Starting Salary Survey of the 2007-2008 Doctoral Recipients

The starting salary figures for 2008 were compiled from information gathered on the EENDR questionnaires sent to individuals who received doctoral degrees in the mathematical sciences during the 2007-2008 academic year from universities in the United States (see previous section for more details).

The questionnaires were distributed to 1,235 recipients of degrees using addresses provided by the departments granting the degrees; 557 individuals responded between late October and April. Responses with insufficient data or from individuals who indicated they had part-time or non-U.S. employment were excluded. Numbers of usable responses for each salary category are reported in the following tables.

Readers should be warned that the data in this report are obtained from a self-selected sample, and inferences from them may not be representative of the population.

Key to Tables and Graphs. Salaries are those reported for the fall immediately following the survey cycle. Years listed denote the survey cycle in which the doctorate was received-for example, survey cycle July 1, 2007-June 30, 2008, is designated as 2008. Salaries reported as $9-10$ months exclude stipends for summer grants or summer teaching or the equivalent. $M$ and $F$ are male and female respectively. Male and female figures are not provided when the number of salaries available for analysis in a particular category was five or fewer. All categories of "Teaching/Teaching and Research" and "Research Only" contain those recipients employed at academic institutions only.

Graphs. The graphs show standard boxplots summarizing salary distribution information for the years 2001 through 2008. Values plotted for 2001 through 2007 are converted to 2008 dollars using the implicit price deflator prepared annually by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce. These categories are based on work activities reported in EENDR. Salaries of postdoctorates are shown separately. They are also included in other academic categories with matching work activities.

For each boxplot the box shows the first quartile (Q1), the median (M), and the third quartile (Q3). The interquartile range (IQR) is defined as Q3-Q1. Think of constructing invisible fences 1.5 IQR below Q1 and 1.5 IQR above Q3. Whiskers

Academic Teaching/Teaching and Research
9-10-Month Starting Salaries*
(in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2008 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 105 | 155 | 171 | 185 | 250 | 387 |
| 1985 | 170 | 230 | 250 | 270 | 380 | 439 |
| 1990 | 230 | 305 | 320 | 350 | 710 | 480 |
| 1995 | 220 | 320 | 350 | 382 | 640 | 465 |
| 1998* | 140 | 340 | 370 | 410 | 700 | 469 |
| 2000 | 250 | 380 | 415 | 450 | 650 | 508 |
| 2002 | 230 | 400 | 450 | 500 | 840 | 529 |
| 2003 | 220 | 415 | 450 | 510 | 920 | 518 |
| 2004 | 285 | 420 | 450 | 500 | 1234 | 503 |
| 2005 | 280 | 430 | 465 | 506 | 1002 | 504 |
| 2006 | 200 | 450 | 490 | 550 | 1350 | 515 |
| 2007 | 250 | 450 | 500 | 560 | 1000 | 511 |
| 2008 | 310 | 460 | 510 | 569 | 850 | 510 |
| 2004 M | 285 | 420 | 450 | 490 | 850 |  |
| 2004 F | 300 | 421 | 450 | 500 | 1234 |  |
| 2005 M | 300 | 430 | 465 | 510 | 710 |  |
| 2005 F | 280 | 430 | 467 | 501 | 1002 |  |
| 2006 M | 200 | 450 | 499 | 550 | 880 |  |
| 2006 F | 270 | 450 | 480 | 520 | 1350 |  |
| 2007 M | 320 | 450 | 500 | 558 | 1000 |  |
| 2007 F | 250 | 438 | 490 | 560 | 830 |  |
| Total (163 male/79 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 M | 310 | 460 | 515 | 573 | 850 |  |
| 2008 F | 380 | 455 | 500 | 550 | 760 |  |
| One year or less experience (138 male/60 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 M | 316 | 453 | 508 | 570 | 850 |  |
| 2008 F | 380 | 458 | 500 | 550 | 680 |  |



* Postdoctoral salaries are included from 1998 forward.


## Academic Postdoctorates Only* 9-10-Month Starting Salaries (in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2008 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 180 | 350 | 385 | 410 | 450 | 494 |
| 1998 | 290 | 350 | 390 | 420 | 500 | 495 |
| 1999 | 130 | 365 | 400 | 418 | 540 | 450 |
| 2000 | 300 | 385 | 420 | 450 | 550 | 514 |
| 2001 | 250 | 400 | 425 | 450 | 566 | 508 |
| 2002 | 230 | 425 | 450 | 487 | 595 | 529 |
| 2003 | 240 | 420 | 450 | 480 | 600 | 518 |
| 2004 | 300 | 420 | 450 | 490 | 625 | 503 |
| 2005 | 310 | 450 | 460 | 500 | 615 | 498 |
| 2006 | 200 | 441 | 480 | 500 | 670 | 504 |
| 2007 | 250 | 450 | 483 | 550 | 650 | 494 |
| 2008 | 310 | 450 | 500 | 550 | 680 | 500 |
| 2004 M | 300 | 420 | 450 | 480 | 625 |  |
| 2004 F | 400 | 440 | 470 | 500 | 606 |  |
| 2005 M | 310 | 450 | 470 | 500 | 615 |  |
| 2005 F | 400 | 437 | 450 | 471 | 500 |  |
| 2006 M | 200 | 450 | 483 | 523 | 670 |  |
| 2006 F | 330 | 413 | 464 | 500 | 590 |  |
| 2007 M | 360 | 450 | 490 | 575 | 650 |  |
| 2007 F | 250 | 425 | 470 | 515 | 650 |  |
| Total (67 male/18 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 M | 310 | 450 | 500 | 553 | 655 |  |
| 2008 F | 400 | 460 | 505 | 542 | 680 |  |
| One year or less experience ( 64 male/18 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 M | 354 | 450 | 505 | 555 | 655 |  |
| 2008 F | 400 | 460 | 505 | 542 | 680 |  |



[^4]

[^5]Government
11-12-Month Starting Salaries
(in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2008 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 263 | 294 | 325 | 381 | 440 | 571 |
| 1990 | 320 | 345 | 378 | 430 | 587 | 567 |
| 1995 | 370 | 440 | 494 | 507 | 650 | 657 |
| 2000 | 440 | 540 | 600 | 640 | 830 | 734 |
| 2001 | 400 | 580 | 644 | 758 | 920 | 770 |
| 2002 | 450 | 551 | 650 | 775 | 1005 | 764 |
| 2003 | 290 | 668 | 705 | 763 | 1008 | 811 |
| 2004 | 510 | 720 | 738 | 780 | 920 | 825 |
| 2005 | 480 | 610 | 752 | 848 | 972 | 815 |
| 2006 | 400 | 678 | 800 | 961 | 1140 | 840 |
| 2007 | 480 | 500 | 690 | 800 | 1040 | 706 |
| 2008 | 480 | 750 | 815 | 900 | 1240 | 815 |
| 2004 M | 520 | 700 | 730 | 740 | 910 |  |
| 2004 F | 510 | 733 | 749 | 790 | 920 |  |
| 2005 M | 500 | 668 | 790 | 902 | 955 |  |
| 2005 F | 480 | 540 | 750 | 770 | 972 |  |
| 2006 M | 500 | 660 | 800 | 960 | 1000 |  |
| 2006 F | 400 | 775 | 790 | 1043 | 1140 |  |
| 2007 M | 480 | 500 | 695 | 813 | 1040 |  |
| 2007 F | To few women to report separately. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (9 male/12 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 M | 600 | 790 | 830 | 982 | 1240 |  |
| 2008 F | 480 | 720 | 810 | 863 | 930 |  |
| One year or less experience (7 male/9 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 M | 600 | 784 | 810 | 921 | 1080 |  |
| 2008 F | 700 | 720 | 837 | 900 | 930 |  |



Business and Industry
11-12-Month Starting Salaries
(in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2008 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 260 | 360 | 400 | 420 | 493 | 702 |
| 1990 | 320 | 438 | 495 | 533 | 700 | 743 |
| 1995 | 288 | 480 | 568 | 690 | 1250 | 755 |
| 2000 | 200 | 640 | 720 | 800 | 1500 | 881 |
| 2001 | 475 | 716 | 770 | 865 | 1850 | 920 |
| 2002 | 325 | 734 | 780 | 850 | 1400 | 916 |
| 2003 | 300 | 700 | 800 | 900 | 1250 | 920 |
| 2004 | 400 | 728 | 817 | 900 | 1800 | 914 |
| 2005 | 510 | 755 | 870 | 978 | 2000 | 942 |
| 2006 | 340 | 800 | 900 | 1000 | 1550 | 945 |
| 2007 | 400 | 780 | 900 | 1000 | 2500 | 921 |
| 2008 | 518 | 780 | 900 | 1000 | 1700 | 900 |
| 2004 M | 400 | 710 | 813 | 900 | 1800 |  |
| 2004 F | 480 | 789 | 850 | 900 | 1100 |  |
| 2005 M | 510 | 760 | 930 | 1005 | 2000 |  |
| 2005 F | 600 | 745 | 860 | 890 | 1100 |  |
| 2006 M | 340 | 750 | 890 | 1000 | 1450 |  |
| 2006 F | 500 | 850 | 900 | 960 | 1550 |  |
| 2007 M | 400 | 760 | 920 | 1000 | 2500 |  |
| 2007 F | 710 | 800 | 855 | 950 | 1270 |  |
| Total (56 male/19 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2008 \mathrm{M}$ | 518 | 768 | 910 | 1013 | 1700 |  |
| 2008 F | 700 | 800 | 900 | 955 | 1250 |  |
| One year or less experience (40 male/14 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 M | 518 | 768 | 900 | 1000 | 1600 |  |
| 2008 F | 700 | 800 | 900 | 958 | 1250 |  |

(Note: Salaries above $\$ 165,000$ are not shown.)


## Definitions of the Groups

As has been the case for a number of years, much of the data in these reports is presented for departments divided into groups according to several characteristics, the principal one being the highest degree offered in the mathematical sciences. Doctoral-granting departments of mathematics are further subdivided according to their ranking of "scholarly quality of program faculty" as reported in the 1995 publication Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change. ${ }^{1}$ These rankings update those reported in a previous study published in $1982 .{ }^{2}$ Consequently, the departments which now comprise Groups I, II, and III differ significantly from those used prior to the 1996 survey.

The subdivision of the Group I institutions into Group I Public and Group I Private was new for the 1996 survey. With the increase in number of the Group I departments from 39 to 48, the Data Committee judged that a further subdivision of public and private would provide more meaningful reporting of the data for these departments.

## Brief descriptions of the groupings are as follows:

Group I is composed of 48 doctoral-granting departments with scores in the 3.00-5.00 range. Group I Public and Group I Private are Group I doctoral-granting departments at public institutions and private institutions respectively.
Group II is composed of 56 doctoral-granting departments with scores in the 2.00-2.99 range.
Group III contains the remaining U.S. doctoral-granting departments, including a number of departments not included in the 1995 ranking of program faculty.
Group IV contains U.S. doctoral-granting departments (or programs) of statistics, biostatistics, and biometrics reporting a doctoral program.
Group V contains U.S. doctoral-granting departments (or programs) of applied mathematics/applied science, operations research, and management science.
Group Va is applied mathematics/applied science doctoralgranting departments; Group Vb, which is no longer surveyed as of 1998-99, was operations research and management science.
Group M or Masters contains U.S. departments granting a master's degree as the highest graduate degree.
Group B or Bachelors contains U.S. departments granting a baccalaureate degree only.
Listings of the actual departments which comprise these groups are available on the AMS website at www.ams.org/ outreach

[^6]are drawn from Q3 to the largest observation that falls below the upper invisible fence and from Q1 to the smallest observation that falls above the lower invisible fence. Think of constructing two more invisible fences, each falling 1.5 IQR above or below the existing invisible fences. Any observation that falls between the fences on each end of the boxplots is called an outlier and is plotted as ${ }^{\circ}$ in the boxplots. Any observation that falls outside of both fences either above or below the box in the boxplot is called an extreme outlier and is marked as in the boxplot.
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## Doctoral Degrees Conferred 2007-2008

## Supplementary List

The following list supplements the list of thesis titles published in the February 2009 Notices, pages 281-301.

## ALABAMA

## University of Alabama at <br> Birmingham (3)

## Biostatistics

Ayanlowo, Ayanbola, Design of Phase II \& III clinical trials. Jones, Tamekia, A statistical approach identifying and limiting the effect of influential observations.
Sawrie, David, Preemptive power for the consulting statistician: novel application of internal pilot design and information based monitoring systems.

## CALIFORNIA

Naval Postgraduate School (1)

Applied Mathematics

Phillips, Donovan, Mathematical modeling and optimal control of battlefield information flow.

## University of California, Berkeley

(24)

## Mathematics

Al-Aidroos, Jameel, Perfect pairings in the tautological rings of the moduli spaces of stable curves.
Berg, Jennifer Danae, On the center of the lie superalgebra $q(n)^{(2)}$.
Burstein, Richard David, Hadamard subfactors of BischHaagerup type.
Chen, Tianbing, Piecewise polynomial discretization and Krylov-accelerated multigrid for elliptic interface problems.
Clayton, Aubrey, Mutation-selection balance for polynomial selection costs and matrix-valued orthogonal polynomial.
Closson, Erik, The solovay sequence in derived models associated to mice.
Courtney, Dennis, Asymptotic lifts of UCP semigroups.
Dan-Cohen, Elizabeth, Structure of root-reductive lie algebras.
Fern, Jesse, Calculations of quantum error correction and fault tolerance thresholds.
Freeman, David Stephen, Constructing Abelian varieties for pairing-based cryptography.
Gray, Aaron, Functoriality of the logarithmic RiemannHilbert.
Han, Fei, Supersymmetric QFTS, super loop spaces and Bismut-Chern character.
Huggins, Peter, Polytopes in computational biology.
Jetchev, Dimitar, CM points, selmer groups, component groups and Euler systems.
Kirkpatrick, Kay, Rigorous derivation of the Landau equation in the weak coupling limit.
Lebow, Eli, Embedded contact homology of 2-torus bundles over the circle.
Levine, Lionel, Limit theorems for internal aggregation models.
Mihaescu, Radu, Distance methods in phylogeny.
Morton, Jason, Geometry of conditional independence.
Nachmias, Asaf, Percolation on finite groups.
Schlutenberg, Farmer, Measures in mice.
Tingley, Peter, Some results on the crystal commutor and affine sl(n) crystals.
Yao, Jiangang, Codimension one embedding of manifolds.
Zywina, David, The large sieve and Galois representations.

## University of California, Riverside (4)

## Mathematics

McLoughlin, Peter, When is the adjoint of a finite-rank minimal projection also minimal.
Troutman, Tiffany, Infinity-harmonicfunctions, maps and morphisms of Riemannian manifolds.

Wrkich, James, Solvability of some inhomogeneous parabolic.
Yao, Chui Zhi, Discrete logarithm and related problems in cryptography.

## University of California, Santa

Barbara (10)

## Mathematics

Barbaro, Alethea, An interacting particle model for the migrations of pelagic fish.
Haynal, Heidi, PI degree parity in $q$-skew polynomial rings.
Kolpas, Allison, Coarse-grained analysis of collective motion in animal groups.
Learned, John, Graphical methods in representation theory.
Levitt, Rena, Biautomaticity and nonpositively curved spaces.
Macauley, Matthew, Coexter theory and discrete dynamical systems.
Rehkopf, Edward, Reduction of quadratic forms over polynomial rings.
Sentinella, Robert, Multi-scale modeling of liquid crystalline polymers.
Trethewey, Peterson, Conformal curvature and one-relator group theory.
Wiley, Chad, Nugatory crossings in closed 3-braid diagrams.

## COLORADO

## University of Colorado, Boulder (10)

Applied Mathematics
Kurcz, Christopher, Fast convolutions with Helmholtz Green's functions and radially symmetric band-limited kernels.
Lim, Jisun, The qualitative study of a chemical reaction diffusion system and some integral equations.
Mao, Wenjin, Dimension jumping and auxiliary variable techniques for Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithms.
Nolting, Joshua, Efficiency-based local adaptive refinement for FOSLS finite elements.
Pietarila-Graham, Jonathan, Regularizations as subgrid models for turbulent flows.
Piret, Cecile, Analytical and numerical advances in radial basis functions.
Rojsiraphisal, Thaned, A study of the variability of the North Indian ocean.
Wang, Jian, Recovering Bayesiannetworks with applications to gene regulary networks.
Watson, Michael, A study of rotationally constrained convection in tall annular geometries.
Zuev, Julia, Recent advances in numerical PDEs.

## University of Denver (1)

## Mathematics

Nagrath, Aditya, Properties of scattered lattices, and the introduction of a meet semilattice duality.

## CONNECTICUT

## Wesleyan University ${ }_{(1)}$

Mathematics and Computer Science

Babichev, Andrey, Speedups of ergodic group extensions.

## Yale University (4)

## Mathematics

Liu, Qihou, On the colored Jones polynomials of certain links.
Maitra, Rachel, Mathematically rigorous quantum field theories with a non-linear normal ordering of the Hamiltonian operator.
Patnaik, Manish, Geometry of loop Einstein series.
Zhu, Minxian, Vertex operator algebras arising from affine lie algebras.

## IDAHO

## Idaho State University (1)

## Mathematics

Lundeen, Suzanne, The finite reflection group $H_{4}$.

## ILLINOIS

## Illinois State University (5)

## Mathematics

Hofbauer, Pamela, Characterizing high school students' understanding of the purpose of graphical representations.
Knapp, Andrea, Prompting mathematics teacher development through dynamic discourse.
Naresh, Nirmala, Workplace mathematics of the bus conductors in Chennai, India.
Simmons, Eugene, The effects of using a QAR reading strategy to improve students' conceptual understanding.
Thompson, Kevin, Students' understanding of trigonometry enhanced through the use of a real word problem: improving the instructional sequence.

## KENTUCKY

## University of Kentucky (5)

## Statistics

Hersh, Matt, Indentification of multiple functional peaks resulting from a common peak shape function.
Li, Hao, Identifying gene expression patterns in oligonucleotide microarray experiments.
McClintock, Scott, Stochastic securities market model with no short selling.
Vandyke, Rhonda, Classification of self-modeling regressions.
Zhu, Hua, Smoothed empirical likelihood for quantiles and some variations/extention of empirical likelihood for Buckley-James estimator.

## MARYLAND

## John Hopkins University (1)

Applied Mathematics and Statistics

Tan, Liang, Numerical methods for multi-dimensional American options.

## University of Maryland (23)

## Applied Mathematics and Computer Science

Bard, George, Algorithms for solving linear and polynomial systems over finite fields with applications to cryptoanalysis.
Chakraborty, Purnendu, Molecular dynamic studies of organic coated nano aerosols.
Cheng, Bin, On the rotational shallow water and Euler equations.
Finkbiner, Amy, Global phenomena from local rules: Peer-to-peer networks and discrete crystal steps.
Ganesh, Nadarajasundaram, Small area estimation and prediction problems.
Heath, Jeffery, Global optimization of finitemixturemodels.
Johnson, Hunter, Definable families of finite VC dimension.
Li, Huilin, Small area estimation: an empirical best linear unbiased prediction approach.
Long, Nicholas, Involutions of shift of finite type: fixed point shifts, orbit quotients, and the dimensionrepresentation.
Lu, Guanhua, Asymptotic theory in multiple-sample semiparametric density ratio models andits applications to mortality forecasting.
Mai, Yabing, Comparing survival distributions in the presence of dependent censoring: asymptotic validity and bias corrections of the Logrank test.
Min, Min, Asymptotic normalityingeneralized linear mixed models.
O'Hara, Michael, Adiabatic quantum computation: noise in the adiabatic theorem and using the Jordan-Wigner transform to find effective Hamiltonians.
Oktay, Onur, Frame quantization theory and equiangular tight frames.
Smetaniouk, Taras, Pricing variance derivatives using hybrid models with stochastic interest rates.
Tate, Calandra, An investigation of the relationship between automated machine evaluation metrics and user performance on an information extraction task.
Truman, Kathryn, Analysis and extension of noncommunative NTRU.
Wei, Dongming, Critical thresholds in Eulerian dynamics.
Wen, Shihua, Semi-paramatric cluster detection.
Widemann, David, Dimensionality reduction for hyperspectral data.
Yu, Tinghui, Estimation theory of a location parameter in small samples.
Zhang, Chensong, Adaptive finite element methods for variational inequalities: theory and applications in finance.
Zhong, Weigang, Entropy stable approximations of nonlinear conservation laws and related fluid equations.

## MASSACHUSETTS

## Harvard University (1)

## Mathematics

Paur, Katherine, Modeling the effects of population structure and vaccination strategy oninfectious diseases.

## MINNESOTA

## University of Minnesota (13)

School of Mathematics
Bemis, Christopher, Modeling and optimization of mortgage loan portfolios.
Chen, Yanlai, An adaptive high order discontinuous Galerkin method with error control for the HamiltonJacobi equations.
Chung, Kuerak, Based Cacti.
Jung, Yoon Mo, Variational modeling, analysis, and computing of image and visual segmentation problems.
Kim, Sangwook, Topology of diagonal arrangements and flag enumerations of matroid base polytopes.
Kontovourkis, Michalis, On elliptic equations with lowregularity divergence-free drift terms and the steady-state Navier-Stokes equation in higher dimenions.
Kurkcu, Harun, High-frequency scattering by infinite rough surfaces.
Mahajan, Deepa, Boundary-conforming discontinuous Galerkin methods via extension form subdomains.
Maxwell, Molly, Enumerating self-dual spanning trees and self-dual matroid bases.
Phan, Tuoc Van, On global existence of solutions to a crossdiffusion system.
Weimerskirch, Michael, On infinite indistinguishability quotient monoids in misere impartial combinatorial games.
Zhang, Hang, Static and dynamical problems of hydrogel swelling: modeling and analysis.
Zuniga, Jose Javier, Compactifications of moduli spaces.

## NEW HAMPSHIRE

## Dartmouth College (6)

## Mathematics

Andersen, Brooke, Distinguishing complete sets with respect to strong notions of reducibility.
Bayless, Jonathan, Carmichael's conjecture and the unit group function.
Bourke, John, Results of off-branch numbers.
Henrich, Allison, A sequence of degree one Vassiliev invariants for virtual knots.
Malandro, Martin, Fast Fourier transforms for inverse semigroups.
Pollack, Paul, Prime numbers and prime polynomials.

## NEW JERSEY

## Rutgers University - Newark (2)

## Mathematics and Computer Science

McDonald, Keith Tim, On $p$-adic zeta functions and their derivatives at $s=0$.
Min, Honglin, Hyperbolic graphs of surface groups.

## Rutgers The State University of New Jersey (11)

## Mathematics

Bao, ShiTing, Gradient estimates for the conductivity problems.
Coskey, Samuel, Descriptive aspects of torsion-free abelian groups.
Costello, Kevin, Ranks of random matrices and graphs.
Duffy, Colleen, Graded traces and irreducible representations of Aut (A(Gamma)) acting on graded A(Gamma) and A(Gamma) dual.
Guo, Ren, Parameterizations of Teichmüller spaces of surfaces with boundary.
Hansen, Derek, Asymptotic perturbation formulas for the effect of scattering by small objects: an analysis over a broad band of frequencies.
Kennedy, Benjamin, Differential delay equations with several fixed delays.
Lins, Brian, Asymptotic behavior andDenjoywolff theorems for Hilbert metric nonexpansive maps.
Pudwell, Lara, Enumerative schemes for pattern- avoiding words and permutations.
Speck, Jared, On the questions of local and global existence for the hyperbolic PDEs occuring in some relativistic theories of gravity and electromagnetism.
Stucchio, Christopher, Selected problems in quantum mechanics.

## NEW YORK

## Columbia University (3)

Biostatistics
Chang, Chung, Statistical analysis for neuroimaging data.
Xu, Qiang, Existing approaches and anewweighted method for cox regression in the presence of missing covariates.
Zhang, Hui, Handling missing data without specifying auxiliary models.

## PENNSYLVANNIA

## University of Pennsylvania (2)

## Statistics

Ghia, Kartikeya, Statistical applications in finance: permutation tests, regression trees, and normality tests.
Shirley, Kenneth, Hidden Markov models for alcoholism treatment trial data.

## University of Pittsburgh <br> (3)

## Statistics

Iosif, Ana-Maria, Analysis of longitudinal random length data.

Lopez, Adriana, Markov models for longitudinal course of youth bipolar disorder.
Wu, Qiang, Clustering methodologies with applications to integrative analyses of post-mortem tissue studies in schizophrenia.

## UTAH

## Utah State University (1)

## Mathematics and Statistics

Cook, Lawrence, Small sample methods for the analysis of clustered binary data.


[^0]:    Polly Phipps is a senior research statistician with the Bureau of Labor Statistics. James W. Maxwell is AMS associate executive director for special projects. Colleen A. Rose is AMS survey analyst.

[^1]:    Percentage of underrepresented minorities calculated using Gender, Race/Ethnicity and Citizenship data gathered from granting departments.

[^2]:    1 For definitions of groups see page 839.
    2 These are departments outside the mathematical sciences.
    3 Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ As reported in the respective Annual Survey Second Reports.

[^4]:    * A postdoctoral appointment is a temporary position primarily intended to provide an opportunity to extend graduate training or to further research experience.

[^5]:    * Postdoctoral salaries are included from 1998 forward.

[^6]:    $1_{\text {Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and }}$ Change, edited by Marvin L. Goldberger, Brendan A. Maher, and Pamela Ebert Flattau, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1995.
    ${ }^{2}$ These findings were published in An Assessment of Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, edited by Lyle V. Jones, Gardner Lindzey, and Porter E. Coggeshall, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1982. The information on mathematics, statistics, and computer science was presented in digest form in the April 1983 issue of the Notices, pages 257-67, and an analysis of the classifications was given in the June 1983 Notices, pages 392-3.

