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## Introduction

The Annual Survey of the Mathematical Sciences collects information each year about degree recipients, departments, faculties, and students in the mathematical sciences at four-year colleges and universities in the United States. Information about recipients of doctoral degrees awarded between July 1, 2006, and June 30, 2007, was collected from doctorate-granting departments beginning in late spring 2007. The "2007 Annual Survey First Report" (Notices, February 2008, pages 253-63) presented survey results about 1,157 new doctoral recipients based on the data provided by the departments. Here we update this information using data obtained from 547 new doctoral recipients who responded to a questionnaire, "Employment Experiences of New Doctoral Recipients" (EENDR), sent in early October 2007 to all new doctoral recipients. In addition, this report incorporates information on an additional 176 doctoral recipients from departments that responded too late to have the information included in the First Report. Finally, we present the starting salaries and other employment information from the new doctoral recipients that responded to the EENDR questionnaire.

The names and thesis titles of the 2006-2007 doctoral recipients reported on in the First Report were published in "Doctoral Degrees Conferred" (Notices, February 2008, pages 280-99). A supplemental listing of the 176 additional new doctoral


#### Abstract

This Second Report of the 2007 Annual Survey gives an update of the 2006-2007 new doctoral recipients from the First Report, which appeared in the Notices of the AMS in February 2008, pages 253-63. The First Report contains a section on new doctoral recipients in statistics that is not updated here.

The 2007 Annual Survey represents the fifty-first in an annual series begun in 1957 by the American Mathematical Society. The 2007 Survey is under the direction of the Data Committee, a joint committee of the American Mathematical Society, the American Statistical Association, the Institute of Mathematical Statistics, the Mathematical Association of America, and the Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics. The current members of this committee are Richard Cleary, Amy CohenCorwin, Richard M. Dudley, John W. Hagood, Abbe H. Herzig, Donald R. King, David J. Lutzer, James W. Maxwell (ex officio), Bart Ng, Polly Phipps (chair), David E. Rohrlich, and Henry Schenck. The committee is assisted by AMS survey analyst Colleen A. Rose. Comments or suggestions regarding this Survey Report may be directed to the committee.


recipients appears at the end of this report on pages 826-830.

## Doctorates Granted Departmental Response

Rates (updated April 2007)

| Group I (Pu) ${ }^{1}$ | 25 of 25 including | 0 with no degrees |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Group I (Pr) | 20 of 23 including | 0 with no degrees |
| Group II | 52 of 56 including | 1 with no degrees |
| Group III | 64 of 75 including 16 with no degrees |  |
| Group IV | 70 of 88 including | 8 with no degrees |
| Group Va | 21 of 21 including | 1 with no degrees |

${ }^{1}$ For definitions of groups see page 825.
Polly Phipps is a senior research statistician with the Bureau of Labor Statistics. James W. Maxwell is AMS associate executive director for special projects. Colleen A. Rose is AMS survey analyst.

## Highlights

There were 1,333 doctoral recipients from U.S. institutions for 2006-2007, up 22 (2\%) from the previous year, continuing an upward trend that began in 2002-2003. This is the highest number of new Ph.D.'s ever reported, and it would have been even larger but for the increased number of nonresponding departments. Of the 242 departments that responded in both 2006 and 2007 the number of degrees awarded increased from 1,216 to 1,307 , a $7.5 \%$ increase.
The final unemployment rate was $2.4 \%$ for all 2006-2007 doctoral recipients and $1.5 \%$ for females, the lowest percentages reported since the early 1990's.
The number of new doctoral recipients who are not U.S. citizens is 757, down 2 from last year's number, but up 219 (41\%) from 2002-2003.
The number of new doctoral recipients who are U.S. citizens is 576 , up 24 (4\%) from last year's number and 77 (15\%) from 2002-2003. This is the highest number of U.S. citizens reported over the past ten surveys. The percentage of U.S. citizens among all doctoral recipients is $43 \%$, up from $42 \%$ last year.
Females totaled 446 (33\%) of all new doctoral recipients, up in number and percentage from 422 (32\%) last year. The highest percentage of females among the annual counts of doctoral recipients was $34 \%$, reported for 1998-1999. Of the 576 U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients, 180 are female (31\%), up in number and percent from last year.
Of the 576 U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients this year, $6 \%$ are underrepresented minorities compared to $8 \%$ last year.
Of the 1,190 new doctoral recipients whose employment status is known, 1,151 reported having employment in fall 2007, with $88 \%(1,012)$ finding employment in the U.S. compared with $87 \%$ last year. Non-U.S. citizens accounted for $52 \%$ of those employed in the U.S. (last year this percentage was $58 \%$ ).

The number of new doctoral recipients hired into U.S. academic positions in fall 2007 is 756 . This is the highest such number reported over the past twenty-six years. Indeed, each of the numbers reported for the past three falls exceeds any number reported during the period from fall 1982 through fall 2003.
The number of new doctoral recipients taking positions in U.S. business/industry and government was 256 in fall 2007, a $5 \%$ increase from last year's numbers. This group constitutes $25 \%$ of all the new doctoral recipients employed in the U.S., the same percentage as last year.
There were 547 new doctoral recipients responding to the EENDR survey; of the 486 who found employment in the U.S., $53 \%$ reported obtaining a permanent position (up from $51 \%$ in fall 2006).
The percentage of temporarily employed respondents who reported taking a postdoctoral position in the U.S. decreased from 209 (76\%) in fall 2006 to 172 (76\%) in fall 2007.

Table 1A: Doctoral Recipients: Fall and Final Counts

| Year | Fall | Final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1997-1998$ | 1163 | 1176 |
| $1998-1999$ | 1133 | 1135 |
| $1999-2000$ | 1119 | 1127 |
| $2000-2001$ | 1008 | 1065 |
| $2001-2002$ | 948 | 960 |
| $2002-2003$ | 1017 | 1037 |
| $2003-2004$ | 1041 | 1081 |
| $2004-2005$ | 1116 | 1222 |
| $2005-2006$ | 1245 | 1311 |
| $2006-2007$ | 1157 | 1333 |

Table 1B: Doctoral Recipients: Citizenship

| Year | U.S. | Non-U.S. | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002-2003 | 499 | 538 | 1037 |
| $2003-2004$ | 459 | 622 | 1081 |
| $2004-2005$ | 496 | 726 | 1222 |
| $2005-2006$ | 552 | 759 | 1311 |
| $2006-2007$ | 576 | 757 | 1333 |

Table 1C: Doctoral Recipients by Type of Degree-Granting Department

| Number | Department Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I (Pu) | I (Pr) | II | III | IV | Va |
|  | 322 | 141 | 264 | 152 | 357 | 97 |
|  | $24 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $7 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ For definitions of groups see page 825.
Table 1D: Doctoral Recipients:
U.S. Citizens-Percent Female and Percent Underrepresented Minorities

| Year | U.S. | \% Female | \% URM* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1997-1998$ | 537 | $29 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $1998-1999$ | 560 | $34 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $1999-2000$ | 566 | $29 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $2000-2001$ | 532 | $31 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| $2001-2002$ | 428 | $30 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $2002-2003$ | 499 | $32 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $2003-2004$ | 459 | $33 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| $2004-2005$ | 496 | $28 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| $2005-2006$ | 552 | $28 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| $2006-2007$ | 576 | $31 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

[^0]Table 2A: Fall 2007 Employment Status of 2006-2007 Doctoral Recipients by Field of Thesis (updated April 2008)

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER |  | FIELD OF THESIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Algebra Number Theory | Real, Comp. Funct., \& Harmasis Analys | Geometry/ Topology | Discr. Math./ Combin. Logic/ Comp. Sc | Probability | Statistics/ Biostat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Applied } \\ & \text { Math. } \end{aligned}$ | Numerical <br> Analysis/ <br> Approx mations $\qquad$ | Linear Nonlinear Optim./ Control con | Differential, Integral, \& Difference Equations | Math. Educ. | Other/ Unknown |  |
| Group I (Public) ${ }^{1}$ |  | 23 | 14 | 17 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 93 |
| Group I (Private) |  | 21 | 4 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| Group II |  | 16 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 79 |
| Group III |  | 8 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 50 |
| Group IV |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| Group Va |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  |  | 11 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 22 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 86 |
| Master's Bachelor's |  | 32 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 4 | 14 | 16 | 9 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 143 |
|  |  | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Two-Year College Other Academic Dept. ${ }^{2}$ |  | 6 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 68 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 123 |
| Research Institute/ Other Nonprofit |  | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| Government |  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| Business and Industry |  | 8 | 2 | 6 | 19 | 17 | 130 | 17 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 224 |
| Non-U.S. Academic <br> Non-U.S. Nonacademic |  | 34 | 8 | 14 | 11 | 4 | 21 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 129 |
|  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Not Seeking Employment Still Seeking Employment Unknown (U.S.) Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{3}$ |  | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
|  |  | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
|  |  | 6 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 18 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 64 |
|  |  | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 31 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 79 |
| TOTAL |  | 193 | 94 | 120 | 100 | 46 | 410 | 143 | 78 | 21 | 101 | 19 | 8 | 1333 |
| Column <br> Subtotals | Male | 145 | 65 | 94 | 68 | 34 | 215 | 111 | 53 | 14 | 75 | 8 | 5 | 887 |
|  | Female | 48 | 29 | 26 | 32 | 12 | 195 | 32 | 25 | 7 | 26 | 11 | 3 | 446 |

1 For definitions of groups see page 825 .
2 These are departments outside the mathematical sciences.
3 Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment"

## Table 2B: Fall 2007 Employment Status of 2006-2007 Doctoral Recipients by Type of Degree-Granting Department (updated April 2008)

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER |  | TYPE OF DOCTORAL DEGREE-GRANTING DEPARTMENT |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | Row Subtotals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group I (Public) | Group I (Private) | Group II Math. | Group III Math. | Group IV Statistics | Group Va Applied Math. |  |  |  |
| Group I (Public) ${ }^{1}$ |  | 50 | 22 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 93 | 74 | 19 |
| Group I (Private) |  | 33 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 64 | 47 | 17 |
| Group II |  | 25 | 12 | 31 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 79 | 56 | 23 |
| Group III |  | 8 | 2 | 13 | 18 | 6 | 3 | 50 | 30 | 20 |
| Group IV |  | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 33 | 1 | 44 | 24 | 20 |
| Group Va |  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
| Master's |  | 16 | 4 | 35 | 18 | 13 | 0 | 86 | 50 | 36 |
| Bachelor's |  | 35 | 9 | 57 | 35 | 4 | 3 | 143 | 89 | 54 |
| Two-Year College |  | 3 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 10 | 6 |
| Other Academic Dept. ${ }^{2}$ |  | 9 | 13 | 16 | 11 | 66 | 8 | 123 | 76 | 47 |
| Research Institute/ Other Nonprofit |  | 3 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 43 | 26 | 17 |
| Government |  | 8 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 32 | 24 | 8 |
| Business and Industry |  | 42 | 11 | 16 | 22 | 115 | 18 | 224 | 142 | 82 |
| Non-U.S. Academic <br> Non-U.S. Nonacademic |  | 42 | 23 | 28 | 5 | 23 | 8 | 129 | 100 | 29 |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Not Seeking Employment Still Seeking Employment Unknown (U.S.) Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{3}$ |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  | 4 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 28 | 22 | 6 |
|  |  | 15 | 5 | 16 | 9 | 18 | 1 | 64 | 42 | 22 |
|  |  | 17 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 30 | 10 | 79 | 50 | 29 |
| TOTAL |  | 322 | 141 | 264 | 152 | 357 | 97 | 1333 | 887 | 446 |
| Column Subtotals | Male | 240 | 106 | 185 | 99 | 182 | 75 | 887 |  |  |
|  | Female | 82 | 35 | 79 | 53 | 175 | 22 | 446 |  |  |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ For definitions of groups see page 825.
2 These are departments outside the mathematical sciences.
3 Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".

Table 2C: Degree-Granting Department of 2006-2007 Doctoral Recipients by Field of Thesis (updated April 2008)

| TYPE OF DOCTORAL DEGREE-GRANTING DEPARTMENT | FIELD OF THESIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algebra Number Theory | Real, Comp. Funct., \& Harmonic Analysis | Geometry/ Topology | Discr. Math./ Combin./ Logic/ Comp. Sci. | Probability | Statistics/ Biostat. | Applied Math. | Numerical Analysis/ Approximations | Linear <br> Nonlinear Optim./ Control | Differential, Integral, \& Difference Equations | Math. Educ. | Other/ Unknown |  |
| Group I (Public) ${ }^{1}$ | 89 | 28 | 41 | 39 | 12 | 11 | 38 | 17 | 5 | 40 | 0 | 2 | 322 |
| Group I (Private) | 42 | 8 | 36 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 141 |
| Group II | 50 | 30 | 38 | 15 | 14 | 9 | 46 | 25 | 5 | 21 | 8 | 3 | 264 |
| Group III | 9 | 16 | 3 | 17 | 5 | 43 | 17 | 10 | 4 | 16 | 11 | 1 | 152 |
| Group IV | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 333 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 357 |
| Group Va | 3 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 4 | 11 | 24 | 20 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 97 |
| TOTAL | 193 | 94 | 120 | 100 | 46 | 410 | 143 | 78 | 21 | 101 | 19 | 8 | 1333 |

${ }^{1}$ For definitions of groups see page 825 .

Table 2D: Percentage of Employed New Doctoral Recipients by Type of Employer

|  | Employed in U.S. |  | Employed outside. U.S. |  | NUMBER <br> EMPLOYED |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Academic $^{1}$ | Nonacademic | Academic | Nonacademic |  |
| Fall 2003 | $70 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 792 |
| Fall 2004 | $72 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 910 |
| Fall 2005 | $69 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 1018 |
| Fall 2006 | $65 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 1099 |
| Fall 2007 | $66 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 1151 |

1 Includes research institutes and other non-profits.
Updated Employment Status of 2006-2007 Doctoral Recipients

The updated response rates for the 2007 Survey of New Doctoral Recipients appear on page 814.

The total number of departments responding in time for inclusion in this Second Report was 252, 37 more than were included in the 2007 First Report but 17 less than the total number responding for inclusion in the 2006 Second Report. No adjustments were made in this report for nonresponding departments. Definitions of the various groups surveyed in the Annual Survey can be found on page 825 of this report.

Table 1A shows the fall and final counts of doctoral recipients in the mathematical sciences awarded by U.S. institutions in each year from 1997 through 2007. This year the total number of new doctoral recipients is 1,333 , up from the previous year by 22. A detailed review of responding and nonresponding departments indicates that the increase in doctoral recipients from 2006 to 2007

Figure 1: Percentage of New Doctoral Recipients Unemployed ${ }^{1}$


[^1]Table 3A: New Doctoral Recipients Employed in the U.S.

|  | Degree-Granting Department Group ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I (Pu) |  | 1 (Pr) |  | 1 |  | III |  | IV |  | Va |  |  |  |
|  | Academic² | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Business } / \\ \text { Industry \& } \\ \text { Iovernment } \end{array}$ | Academic | $\qquad$ | Academic | Business/ Industry \& Government | Academic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Business/ } \\ \text { Industry \& } \\ \text { Government } \end{array}$ | Academic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Business/ } \\ \text { Industry \& } \\ \text { Government } \end{array}$ | Academic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Business/ } \\ \text { Industry \& } \\ \text { Government } \end{gathered}$ | Academic | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Business// } \\ \text { Industry \& } \\ \text { Government } \end{array}$ |
| Fall 2003 | 123 | 24 | 90 | 16 | 118 | 13 | 61 | 10 | 119 | 54 | 40 | 14 | 551 | 131 |
| Fall 2004 | 118 | 18 | 118 | 18 | 144 | 17 | 73 | 11 | 150 | 61 | 52 | 11 | 655 | 137 |
| Fall 2005 | 152 | 21 | 104 | 17 | 152 | 23 | 97 | 18 | 149 | 79 | 45 | 18 | 699 | 176 |
| Fall 2006 | 171 | 41 | 109 | 21 | 128 | 32 | 93 | 15 | 155 | 104 | 59 | 30 | 715 | 243 |
| Fall 2007 | 191 | 50 | 91 | 12 | 181 | 20 | 95 | 27 | 151 | 123 | 47 | 24 | 756 | 256 |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ For definitions of groups see page 825.
2 Includes research institutes and other non-profits.
would have been even larger but for the increased number of nonresponding departments for 2007. Of the 242 departments that responded in both 2006 and 2007 the number of degrees awarded increased from 1,216 to 1,307 , a $7.5 \%$ increase.

Table 1B shows trends in the number of new doctoral recipients for the past five years broken down by U.S. citizens and non-U.S. citizens. This year the number of new doctoral recipients who are U.S. citizens is 576 , an increase of $24(4 \%)$ over last year. The number of non-U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients dropped by 2 to 757 .

Table 1C gives a breakdown of the 1,333 doctoral degrees awarded in the mathematical sciences between July 1, 2006, and June 30, 2007, by type of degree-granting department.

Table 1D shows the number of U.S. citizens, receiving degrees for the years 1997-1998 through 2006-2007. New this year is the addition of columns showing the percentage of U.S. citizen females and the percentage of U.S. citizen underrepresented minorities. This includes any person having origins in the categories American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, and Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander.

Tables 2A, 2B, and 2C display updates of these same numbered tables in the First Report to include the 176 additional doctoral recipients reported too late for inclusion in the First Report. New doctoral recipients are grouped by field of thesis using the Mathematical Reviews 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification list. A complete list of these groups is available on the AMS website at www. ams.org/ employment/Thesis_groupings.pdf.At the time of this Second Report, the fall 2007 employment status of 1,190 of the 1,333 doctoral recipients was known.

The fall 2007 unemployment rate for new doctoral recipients, based on information gathered by the time of the Second Report, was $2.4 \%$. Figure 1 presents the fall 1983 through fall 2007 trend in the final unemployment rate of new doctoral recipients. New for this year is the addition of the unemployment rate of female new doctoral recipients for the fall 1992

Table 3B: New Doctoral Recipients Employed in U.S. Academic Positions

|  | Hiring Department Group $^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | I-III | IV | Va | M\&B | Other | TOTAL |
| Fall 2003 | 216 | 39 | 9 | 158 | 129 | $\mathbf{5 5 1}$ |
| Fall 2004 | 220 | 66 | 19 | 172 | 178 | $\mathbf{6 5 5}$ |
| Fall 2005 | 249 | 53 | 12 | 212 | 173 | $\mathbf{6 9 9}$ |
| Fall 2006 | 263 | 73 | 14 | 198 | 167 | 715 |
| Fall 2007 | 286 | 44 | 15 | 229 | 182 | 756 |

1 For definitions of groups see page 825 .
Table 3C: Females as a Percentage of
New Doctoral Recipients

|  | Department Group $^{\text {1 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I (Pu) | I(Pr) | II | III | IV | Va | M\&B | TOTAL |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Produced | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $23 \%$ | - | $33 \%$ |
| Hired | $20 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $34 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ For definitions of groups see page 825.
through 2007. The counts on which these rates are determined do not include those new doctoral recipients whose fall employment status was still unknown at the time of the Second Report. This year the number of recipients whose employment status was reported as unknown decreased to 143 from 163 last year.

Of the 1,190 new doctoral recipients whose employment is known, 1,012 were employed in the U.S., 139 were employed outside the U.S., 28 were still seeking employment, and 11 were not seeking employment.

Table 2D presents the trend in the percentage of employed new doctoral recipients by type of employer for the last five years. Academic employment includes those employed by research institutes and other nonprofits. The percentages reported for fall 2007 are essentially unchanged from those reported for fall 2006. Among new doctoral recipients who are employed in the U.S.,

Table 3D: Citizenship of 2006-2007 Male Doctoral Recipients by Fall 2007 Employment Status

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER | CITIZENSHIP |  |  |  | TOTAL MALE DOCTORAL RECIPIENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. CITIZENS | NON-U.S. CITIZENS |  |  |  |
|  |  | Permanent Visa | Temporary Visa | Unknown Visa |  |
| U.S. Employer | 335 | 46 | 268 | 9 | 658 |
| U.S. Academic | 266 | 34 | 185 | 7 | 492 |
| Groups ${ }^{1} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}$, III, and Va | 111 | 8 | 95 | 3 | 217 |
| Group IV | 13 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 24 |
| Non-Ph.D. Department | 134 | 22 | 66 | 3 | 225 |
| Research Institute/Other Nonprofit | 8 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 26 |
| U.S. Nonacademic | 69 | 12 | 83 | 2 | 166 |
| Non-U.S. Employer | 19 | 1 | 87 | 3 | 110 |
| Non-U.S. Academic | 18 | 1 | 78 | 3 | 100 |
| Non-U.S. Nonacademic | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 10 |
| Not Seeking Employment | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Still Seeking Employment | 11 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 22 |
| Subtotal | 369 | 49 | 365 | 12 | 795 |
| Unknown (U.S.) | 27 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 42 |
| Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 47 | 3 | 50 |
| TOTAL | 396 | 50 | 425 | 16 | 887 |

1 For definitions of groups see page 825.
2 Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".
Table 3E: Citizenship of 2006-2007 Female Doctoral Recipients by Fall 2007 Employment Status

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER | CITIZENSHIP |  |  |  | TOTAL FEMALE DOCTORAL RECIPIENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. CITIZENS | NON-U.S. CITIZENS |  |  |  |
|  |  | Permanent Visa | Temporary Visa | Unknown Visa |  |
| U.S. Employer | 151 | 36 | 160 | 7 | 354 |
| U.S. Academic | 128 | 24 | 106 | 6 | 264 |
| Groups ${ }^{1}$ I, II, III, and Va | 37 | 8 | 37 | 2 | 84 |
| Group IV | 7 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 20 |
| Non-Ph.D. Department | 79 | 14 | 48 | 2 | 143 |
| Research Institute/Other Nonprofit | 5 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 17 |
| U.S. Nonacademic | 23 | 12 | 54 | 1 | 90 |
| Non-U.S. Employer | 8 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 29 |
| Non-U.S. Academic | 8 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 29 |
| Non-U.S. Nonacademic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Not Seeking Employment | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Still Seeking Employment | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| Subtotal | 167 | 38 | 182 | 8 | 395 |
| Unknown (U.S.) | 13 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 22 |
| Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 29 |
| TOTAL | 180 | 43 | 214 | 9 | 446 |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ For definitions of groups see page 825.
2 Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".
the percentage taking nonacademic employment varied significantly by field of thesis. For those whose field of thesis is in the first three columns in Table 2A, this percentage is the lowest at $7 \%$ (down from $10 \%$ last year), while the percentage for those with theses in probability or statistics is the highest at $44 \%$ (up from $40 \%$ last year).

Table 3A shows that the fall 2007 total number of doctoral recipients taking positions in business/ industry and government is 256 . This number reflects an increase of $5 \%$ over last year. Groups I, II, and III combined are unchanged from their total for fall 2006. Group IV alone accounts for the increase.

Table 3B shows that the number of new doctoral recipients taking U.S. academic positions has increased to 756, from 715 in 2006. Doctoral hires

Table 3F: Number of New Doctoral Recipients Employed in the U.S. by Citizenship and Type of Employer

| U.S. EMPLOYER | CITIZENSHIP |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. | Non-U.S. | TOTAL |
| Academic: Groups I-Va | 168 | 177 | 345 |
| Academic: M\&B, Other | 226 | 185 | 411 |
| Nonacademic | 92 | 164 | 256 |
| TOTAL | 486 | 526 | $\mathbf{1 0 1 2}$ |

into U.S. academic positions are up in all groups except Groups IV (down to 44 from 73 last year) and Group I (Pr) (down to 64 from 75 last year). The biggest percentage increase is in Group I (Pu) (31\%). Doctoral hires into non-U.S. academic positions increased by $8 \%$ to 129 from 119 last year.

Table 3C gives information about the production of female new doctoral recipients in the doctoral-granting departments and the hiring of females by all department groups. From Table 3C we see that the percentage of females hired ranges from a high of $45 \%$ in Group IV, followed by Group III at $40 \%$ to a low of $20 \%$ in Group I (Pu). The percentage of female new doctoral recipients produced is highest in Group IV (49\%).

## Updated Information about 2006-2007 Doctoral Recipients by Sex and Citizenship

Tables 3D and 3E show the sex and citizenship of the 1,333 new doctoral recipients and the fact that 1,012 new doctoral recipients found jobs in the U.S. this year. This is $85 \%$ of the 1,190 new doctoral recipients whose employment status was known and $88 \%$ of the 1,151 known to have jobs in fall 2007. Last year these percentages were $83 \%$ and $87 \%$, respectively.

Sex and citizenship are known for all of the 1,333 new doctoral recipients. The final count of new doctoral recipients who are U.S. citizens is 576 ( $43 \%$ ) (up from 42\% last year). Pages 258-61 of the First Report present further information related to the citizenship of the 2006-2007 new doctoral recipients.

Of the 576 U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients reported for 2006-2007, 180 are female and 396 are male. Females accounted for $31 \%$ of the U.S. citizen total (up from $28 \%$ last year). The number of female U.S. citizens has increased by 27 from last year's count of 153, and the number of male U.S. citizens decreased by 3 from last year's count of 399 .

Table 3F shows that U.S. citizens accountedfor $48 \%$ of those employedin the U.S. (up from $46 \%$ last year). Groups I through Va hired 49\% U.S. citizens, while groups $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{B}$, and all other academic departments hired $55 \%$ U.S.citizens (last year these percentageswere $42 \%$ and $54 \%$, respectively). U.S. citizens represented $36 \%$ of those hired into nonacademic positions (last year 39\%). Among all the 1,012 new 2006-2007 doctoral recipients employed in the U.S., $25 \%$ took nonacademic employment (government or business and industry.) This is the same percentage as last year.

Table 4A: Number (and Percentage) of Annual EENDR Respondents Employed in the U.S. by Job Status

|  | Employed in U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Permanent <br> Total | Temporary Total | Temporary |  |  | Unknown |
|  |  |  | Permanent not available | Postdoctoral |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Permanent not available |  |
| Fall 2003 | 253(54\%) | 216 (46\%) | 87(40\%) | 164(76\%) | 53(32\%) | -- |
| Fall 2004 | 220(49\%) | 229(51\%) | 81 (35\%) | 176(77\%) | 49(28\%) | -- |
| Fall 2005 | 291 (56\%) | 232(44\%) | 92(40\%) | 172 (74\%) | 55(32\%) | -- |
| Fall 2006 | 289(51\%) | 274(49\%) | 98(36\%) | 209(76\%) | 57(27\%) | -- |
| Fall 2007 | 259(53\%) | 227(47\%) | 88(39\%) | 172(76\%) | 57(33\%) | -- |

Table 4B: Percentage of Annual EENDR Respondents Employed in the U.S. by Employment Sector within Job Status

|  | Employed in U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Permanent |  |  | Temporary |  |  |
|  | Academic $^{1}$ | Government | Business/ <br> Industry | Academic | Covernment | Business/ <br> Industry |
|  | $76 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
|  | $72 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $3 \%$ | -- |
|  | $68 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $4 \%$ | -- |
| Fall 2006 | $66 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | $68 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

1 Includes research institutes and other non-profits.

## New Information from the EENDR Survey

Of the 1,157 new doctoral recipients reported in the First Report, the 1,028 whose addresses were known were sent the "Employment Experiences of New Doctoral Recipients" (EENDR) survey in October 2007, and 547 (47\%) responded. The response rates varied considerably among the various subgroups of new doctoral recipients

Figure 2: Age Distribution of 2006-2007 EENDR Respondents

defined by their employment status as reported by departments. Among those who were employed the highest response rate, $54 \%$, was from those employed in the U.S. academic, while the lowest, $38 \%$, was from those in non-U.S. academic.

The EENDR gathered details on employment experiences not available through departments. The remainder of this section presents additional information available on this subset of the 20062007 doctoral recipients.

Table 4A gives the numbers and percentages of EENDR respondents taking permanent and temporary positions in the U.S for fall 2003 through fall 2007.
This year we see that among the 486 employed in the U.S., 259 reported obtaining a permanent position and 227 a temporary position. While these numbers both reflect a decrease, the percentage of individuals taking permanent positions in 2007 has increased to $53 \%$ from $51 \%$ in 2006, and the percentage of those taking temporary positions has decreased to $47 \%$ from $49 \%$. Of the 227 in temporary positions, 88 (39\%) reported taking temporary employment because a suitable permanent position was not available. Most respondents classified their temporary position as postdoctoral ( $76 \%$ ). Of the 172 respondents taking postdoctal positions, 57 (33\%) reported that a suitable permanent position was not available.

Table 4B shows the employment trends of permanent and temporary positions broken down by sector for the last five years. Among the 259 who reported obtaining a permanent position in the U.S. in fall 2007, $68 \%$ were employed in academia (including $1 \%$ in research institutes and other nonprofits), $3 \%$ in government, and $29 \%$ in business or industry. Women held $34 \%$ of the permanent positions.

Among the 227 individuals with temporary employment in the U.S. this year, $93 \%$ were employed in academia (including $8 \%$ in research institutes and other nonprofits), $4 \%$ in government, and $3 \%$ in business or industry.

Figure 2 gives the age distribution of the 529 new doctoral recipients who responded to this question. The median age of new doctoral recipients was 30 years, while the mean age was 32 years. The first and third quartiles were 28 and 33 years, respectively. This distribution is consistent with those of the recent past.

## Previous Annual Survey Reports

The 2007 First Report was published in the Notices in the February 2008 issue. For the last full year of reports, the 2006 First, Second, and Third Reports were published in the Notices in the February, August, and December 2007 issues respectively. These reports and earlier reports, as well as a wealth of other information from these surveys,
are available on the AMS website at www.ams. org/employment/surveyreports.htm7.

## Starting Salary Survey of the 2006-2007 Doctoral Recipients

The starting salary figures for 2007 were compiled from information gathered on the EENDR questionnaires sent to individuals who received doctoral degrees in the mathematical sciences during the 2006-2007 academic year from universities in the United States (see previous section for more details).

The questionnaires were distributed to 1,157 recipients of degrees using addresses provided by the departments granting the degrees; 547 individuals responded between late October and April. Responses with insufficient data or from individuals who indicated they had part-time or non-U.S. employment were excluded. Numbers of usable responses for each salary category are reported in the following tables.

Readers should be warned that the data in this report are obtained from a self-selected sample, and inferences from them may not be representative of the population.

Key to Tables and Graphs. Salaries are those reported for the fall immediately following the survey cycle. Years listed denote the survey cycle in which the doctorate was received-for example, survey cycle July 1, 2006-June 30, 2007, is designated as 2007. Salaries reported as 9-10 months exclude stipends for summer grants or summer teaching or the equivalent. M and F are male and female respectively. Male and female figures are not provided when the number of salaries available for analysis in a particular category was five or fewer. All categories of "Teaching/Teaching and Research" and "Research Only" contain those recipients employed at academic institutions only.

Graphs. The graphs show standard boxplots summarizing salary distribution information for the years 2000 through 2007. Values plotted for 2000 through 2006 are converted to 2007 dollars using the implicit price deflator prepared annually by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce. These categories are based on work activities reported in EENDR. Salaries of postdoctorates are shown separately. They are also included in other academic categories with matching work activities.

For each boxplot the box shows the first quartile (Q1), the median (M), and the third quartile (Q3). The interquartile range (IQR) is defined as Q3-Q1. Think of constructing invisible fences $1.5 \times \mathrm{IQR}$ below Q1 and $1.5 \times \mathrm{IQR}$ above Q3. Whiskers

Academic Teaching/Teaching and Research
9-10-Month Starting Salaries*
(in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2007 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 105 | 155 | 171 | 185 | 250 | 379 |
| 1985 | 170 | 230 | 250 | 270 | 380 | 429 |
| 1990 | 230 | 305 | 320 | 350 | 710 | 469 |
| 1995 | 220 | 320 | 350 | 382 | 640 | 455 |
| 1998* | 140 | 340 | 370 | 410 | 700 | 459 |
| 2000 | 250 | 380 | 415 | 450 | 650 | 497 |
| 2001 | 259 | 400 | 420 | 461 | 660 | 491 |
| 2002 | 230 | 400 | 450 | 500 | 840 | 517 |
| 2003 | 220 | 415 | 450 | 510 | 920 | 506 |
| 2004 | 285 | 420 | 450 | 500 | 1234 | 492 |
| 2005 | 280 | 430 | 465 | 506 | 1002 | 492 |
| 2006 | 200 | 450 | 490 | 550 | 1350 | 503 |
| 2007 | 250 | 450 | 500 | 560 | 1000 | 500 |
| 2003 M | 220 | 420 | 450 | 509 | 855 |  |
| 2003 F | 359 | 414 | 444 | 512 | 920 |  |
| 2004 M | 285 | 420 | 450 | 490 | 850 |  |
| 2004 F | 300 | 421 | 450 | 500 | 1234 |  |
| 2005 M | 300 | 430 | 465 | 510 | 710 |  |
| 2005 F | 280 | 430 | 467 | 501 | 1002 |  |
| 2006 M | 200 | 450 | 499 | 550 | 880 |  |
| 2006 F | 270 | 450 | 480 | 520 | 1350 |  |
| Total (180 male/86 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 M | 320 | 450 | 500 | 558 | 1000 |  |
| 2007 F | 250 | 438 | 490 | 560 | 830 |  |
| One year or less experience (140 male/69 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 M | 320 | 450 | 500 | 558 | 850 |  |
| 2007 F | 250 | 430 | 470 | 545 | 830 |  |



* Postdoctoral salaries are included from 1998 forward.

Academic Postdoctorates Only* 9-10-Month Starting Salaries (in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | Q | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in $2007 \$$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 180 | 350 | 385 | 410 | 450 | 483 |
| 1998 | 290 | 350 | 390 | 420 | 500 | 484 |
| 1999 | 130 | 365 | 400 | 418 | 540 | 489 |
| 2000 | 300 | 385 | 420 | 450 | 550 | 503 |
| 2001 | 250 | 400 | 425 | 450 | 566 | 497 |
| 2002 | 230 | 425 | 450 | 487 | 595 | 517 |
| 2003 | 240 | 420 | 450 | 480 | 600 | 506 |
| 2004 | 300 | 420 | 450 | 490 | 625 | 492 |
| 2005 | 310 | 450 | 460 | 500 | 615 | 487 |
| 2006 | 200 | 441 | 480 | 500 | 670 | 493 |
| 2007 | 250 | 450 | 483 | 550 | 650 | 483 |
| 2003 M | 240 | 420 | 450 | 485 | 600 |  |
| 2003 F | 359 | 408 | 449 | 459 | 510 |  |
| 2004 M | 300 | 420 | 450 | 480 | 625 |  |
| 2004 F | 400 | 440 | 470 | 500 | 606 |  |
| 2005 M | 310 | 450 | 470 | 500 | 615 |  |
| 2005 F | 400 | 437 | 450 | 471 | 500 |  |
| 2006 M | 200 | 450 | 483 | 523 | 670 |  |
| 2006 F | 330 | 413 | 464 | 500 | 590 |  |
| Total (59 male/24 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 M | 360 | 450 | 490 | 575 | 650 |  |
| 2007 F | 250 | 425 | 470 | 515 | 650 |  |
| One year or less experience ( $47 \mathrm{male} / 23$ female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 M | 360 | 450 | 500 | 580 | 650 |  |
| 2007 F | 250 | 423 | 465 | 523 | 650 |  |



[^2]

[^3]Government
11-12-Month Starting Salaries
(in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. <br> Year | Min | Q ${ }_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2007 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 263 | 294 | 325 | 381 | 440 | 558 |
| 1990 | 320 | 345 | 378 | 430 | 587 | 554 |
| 1995 | 370 | 440 | 494 | 507 | 650 | 642 |
| 1999 | 400 | 495 | 550 | 651 | 720 | 673 |
| 2000 | 440 | 540 | 600 | 640 | 830 | 718 |
| 2001 | 400 | 580 | 644 | 758 | 920 | 753 |
| 2002 | 450 | 551 | 650 | 775 | 1005 | 747 |
| 2003 | 290 | 668 | 705 | 763 | 1008 | 793 |
| 2004 | 510 | 720 | 738 | 780 | 920 | 807 |
| 2005 | 480 | 610 | 752 | 848 | 972 | 796 |
| 2006 | 400 | 678 | 800 | 961 | 1140 | 821 |
| 2007 | 480 | 500 | 690 | 800 | 1040 | 690 |
| 2003 M | 290 | 648 | 710 | 788 | 830 |  |
| 2003 F | 600 | 683 | 695 | 723 | 1008 |  |
| 2004 M | 520 | 700 | 730 | 740 | 910 |  |
| 2004 F | 510 | 733 | 749 | 790 | 920 |  |
| 2005 M | 500 | 668 | 790 | 902 | 955 |  |
| 2005 F | 480 | 540 | 750 | 770 | 972 |  |
| 2006 M | 500 | 660 | 800 | 960 | 1000 |  |
| 2006 F | 400 | 775 | 790 | 1043 | 1140 |  |
| Total (12 male/1 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 M | 480 | 500 | 695 | 813 | 1040 |  |
| 2007 F To few women to report separately. One year or less experience ( 10 male/ 1 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 M | 480 | 500 | 655 | 747 | 990 |  |
| 2007 F | To few women to report separately. |  |  |  |  |  |



20002001200220032004200520062007

Business and Industry
11-12-Month Starting Salaries
(in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. <br> Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Median in <br> $2007 \$$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1985 | 260 | 360 | 400 | 420 | 493 | 687 |
| 1990 | 320 | 438 | 495 | 533 | 700 | 726 |
| 1995 | 288 | 480 | 568 | 690 | 1250 | 738 |
| 1999 | 360 | 600 | 680 | 761 | 2450 | 832 |
| 2000 | 200 | 640 | 720 | 800 | 1500 | 862 |
| 2001 | 475 | 716 | 770 | 865 | 1850 | 900 |
| 2002 | 325 | 734 | 780 | 850 | 1400 | 896 |
| 2003 | 300 | 700 | 800 | 900 | 1250 | 900 |
| 2004 | 400 | 728 | 817 | 900 | 1800 | 893 |
| 2005 | 510 | 755 | 870 | 978 | 2000 | 921 |
| 2006 | 340 | 800 | 900 | 1000 | 1550 | 924 |
| 2007 | 400 | 780 | 900 | 1000 | 2500 | 900 |
| 2003 M | 550 | 725 | 840 | 920 | 1250 |  |
| 2003 F | 300 | 628 | 780 | 816 | 900 |  |
| 2004 M | 400 | 710 | 813 | 900 | 1800 |  |
| 2004 F | 480 | 789 | 850 | 900 | 1100 |  |
| 2005 M | 510 | 760 | 930 | 1005 | 2000 |  |
| 2005 F | 600 | 745 | 860 | 890 | 1100 |  |
| 2006 M | 340 | 750 | 890 | 1000 | 1450 |  |
| 2006 F | 500 | 850 | 900 | 960 | 1550 |  |


| Total (45 male/24 female) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 M | 400 | 760 | 920 | 1000 | 2500 |

2007 F $710 \quad 800 \quad 855 \quad 950 \quad 1270$

One year or less experience ( 35 male/17 female)

| 2007 M | 400 | 780 | 855 | 975 | 2500 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2007 F | 710 | 715 | 720 | 725 | 730 |



## Definitions of the Groups

As has been the case for a number of years, much of the data in these reports is presented for departments divided into groups according to several characteristics, the principal one being the highest degree offered in the mathematical sciences. Doctoral-granting departments of mathematics are further subdivided according to their ranking of "scholarly quality of program faculty" as reported in the 1995 publication Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change. ${ }^{1}$ These rankings update those reported in a previous study published in $1982 .{ }^{2}$ Consequently, the departments which now comprise Groups I, II, and III differ significantly from those used prior to the 1996 survey.

The subdivision of the Group I institutions into Group I Public and Group I Private was new for the 1996 survey. With the increase in number of the Group I departments from 39 to 48 , the Data Committee judged that a further subdivision of public and private would provide more meaningful reporting of the data for these departments.

## Brief descriptions of the groupings are as follows:

Group I is composed of 48 doctoral-granting departments with scores in the 3.00-5.00 range. Group I Public and Group I Private are Group I doctoral-granting departments at public institutions and private institutions respectively.
Group II is composed of 56 doctoral-granting departments with scores in the 2.00-2.99 range.
Group III contains the remaining U.S. doctoral-granting departments, including a number of departments not included in the 1995 ranking of program faculty.
Group IV contains U.S. doctoral-granting departments (or programs) of statistics, biostatistics, and biometrics reporting a doctoral program.
Group V contains U.S. doctoral-granting departments (or programs) of applied mathematics/applied science, operations research, and management science.
Group Va is applied mathematics/applied science doctoralgranting departments; Group Vb, which is no longer surveyed as of 1998-99, was operations research and management science.
Group M or Masters contains U.S. departments granting a master's degree as the highest graduate degree.
Group B or Bachelors contains U.S. departments granting a baccalaureate degree only.
Listings of the actual departments which comprise these groups are available on the AMS website at www. ams.org/ outreach.

[^4]are drawn from Q3 to the largest observation that falls below the upper invisible fence and from Q1 to the smallest observation that falls above the lower invisible fence. Think of constructing two more invisible fences, each falling $1.5 \times$ IQR above or below the existing invisible fences. Any observation that falls between the fences on each end of the boxplots is called an outlier and is plotted as o in the boxplots. Any observation that falls outside of both fences either above or below the box in the boxplot is called an extreme outlier and is marked as $*$ in the boxplot.
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## Doctoral Degrees Conferred 2006-2007

## Supplementary List

The following list supplements the list of thesis titles published in the February 2008 Notices, pages 280-99.

## CALIFORNIA

## California Institute of Techology (4)

## Control and Dynamical Systems

Chen, Lijun, Wireless network design and control.
Lui, Xin, Robustness, complexity, validation and risk.
Mysore, Shreesh, Structural plasticity in neuronal networks.

Martinez, Alfredo, A treatise on econometric forecasting.

## Naval Postgraduate School (1)

## Mathematics

Johnson, Anthony, A time dependent finite element approach to optimizing seismic sonar arrays.

## University of California, Berkeley

(14)

## Statistics

Bourgon, Richard, Chromatin-immune precipitation and high density tiling microarrays: A generative model, methods for analysis and methodology assessment in the absence of a "gold standard".
Cho, Young, Estimating velocity fields on a freeway from low resolution video.

Lasiecki, Pawel, Assessment of stochastic differential equation and Markov chain models in time series.
Li, Bo, On goodness-of-fit tests of semiparametric models.
Panaretos, Victor, Inverse problems, stochastic geometry, structural biology.
Roch, Sebastien, Markov models on trees: Reconstruction and applications
Yi, Jing, Absolute and relative quantification of fluorescently labelled DNA.

## Group in Biostatistics

Bein, Edward, Topics in causal inference: Analyzing psychotherapy outcome studies, convex-combination estimators, and $G$-computations model selection.
Petersen, Maya, Applications of causal inference methods to improve the treatment of antiretroviral-resistant HIV infection.

Tang, Hui, Finding DNA cis-regulatory elements using regression methods.
Teng, Siew-Leng, Statistical methods in integrative analysis of gene expression data with applications to biological pathways.
Young, Jessica, Statistical methods for complicated current status and high-dimensional data structures with applications in environmental epidemiology.
Zhou, Yun, Statistical issues in a case-control study of gene expression in postmortem human brains.
Wang, Yue, Data-adaptive estimation in causal inference for point treatment study.

## University of California, Los Angeles

(9)

## BIOSTATISTICS

Alber, Susan, A partition model for treatment effects and treatment-covariate interactions.

Chiang, Lu-May, A Bayesian adaptive design for 2-drug combination phase I clinical trials with ordinal toxicity outcomes.
Gadallah, May, Combining aggregated and individual level data to estimate individual level parameters: Variance, covariance, and slope coefficient.
Kim, Hyun Jung, Classification in Thoracic computated tomagraphy image data.
Lemus, Hector, Bayesian state space modeling of heterogeneous multivariate longitudinal data.
Park, Grace Song-Ye, Modeling longitudinal radiographic progression patterns in rheumatoid arthritis.
Wu, Tongtong, A partiallinear semiparametric additive risk model for two-stage design survival studies.

Zhao, $Y u$, Additive risks regression for survival data from two-stage designs.
Zhou, Kefei, A unified approach to nonparametric comparisons of receiver operating characteristic curves for longitudinal and clustered data.

## Stanford University (9)

## Statistics

Guo, Yaqian, High dimensional classification with application in microarray analysis.
Jin, Wei, A Bayesian approach for additive-multiplicative hazard models.
Kapp, Amy, Cluster analysis with the in-group proportion.
Mathis, Charles, A statistic for measuring the value of side information in investment.
Park, Mee Young, Generalized linear models with regularization.
Purdom, Elizabeth, Multivariate kernel methods in the analysis of graphical structures.
Shi, Jianxin, Quantitative trait mapping using large pedigrees and model selection.
Stodden, Victoria, Model selection when the number of variables exceeds the number of observations.
Tribble, Seth, Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithms using complexly uniformly distributed sequences.

## CONNECTICUT

Wesleyan University (2)

## Mathematics and Computer Science

Gochev, Vasil, Compact-open-like topologies on C(K) and applications.
$L u, Y u n$, Reducts of countably categorical graphs.

## FLORIDA

## University of Florida (16)

## Mathematics

Gray, Peter, The predictable projection and the predictable dual projection of a two parameter stochastic process.
Guo, Weihong, Medical Image segmentation and diffusion weighted magnetic resonance image analysis.
Keeran, Willard, Coexistence in a feedback-mediated chemostat.
Liu, Juan, Information theoretic content and probability.
Nenciu, Andriana, Characters of finite groups.
Smith, Justin, Discrete groups from a course perspective.
Turygin, Yuri, Borsuk-Ulam property of finite group actions on manifolds and applications.
Zahnen, Jeffrey, Penalized maximum likelihood methods for emission tomography.
Zhang, Hongchao, Gradient methods for large-scale nonlinear optimization.
Statistics
Kim, Bong-Rae, Statistical models for clustering dynamic gene expression profiles.

Liu, Xuefeng, Bayesian methodology for models with multivariate (longitudinal) outcomes.
Mergel, Victor, Divergence loss for shrinkage estimation, prediction and prior selection.
Mukhopadhyay, Siuli, Multiresponse, GLM, and other recent approaches in response surface methodology.
Yang, Jie, Nonparametric functional mapping for quantitative trait loci.
Zhang, Li, Bayesian methods in case-control studies with application in genetic epidemiology.
Zhu, Yun, Application of asymmetric Laplace Law in financial risk measures and time series analysis.

## ILLINOIS

## University of Illinois at Chicago (1)

Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics
Chosy, Erin, Correlates and health consequences of victimization in a sample of chemically-dependent detainees.

## IOWA

## University of Iowa (2)

## Applied Mathematics and Computational Science

Coskun, Huseyin, Mathematical models for amoeboid cell mutility and model based inverse problems.
Shimanovich, Victoria, Optimization of large scale sparse nonlinear systems for flexible protein conformation.

## KANSAS

## Kansas State University (3)

## Mathematics

Koshkin, Sergiy, Homogeneous spaces \& FaddeevSkyrme.
Pasko, Brian, The cohomology of a matrix subgroup.
Randriampiry, Njinasoa, On A-quasiconvex functions and weak lower semicontinuity.

## Maryland

## John Hopkins University (5)

Applied Mathematics and Statistics

Aksakalli, Vural, Protocols for stochastic shortest path problems with dynamic learning.
Feng, Jian, Some probability and statistics problems in protemics research.
Hu, Jiang, Sequential designing and terminal analysis of multinomial data.
Nickel, Christine, Random dot product graphs: A model for social networks.
Tucker, Kimberly, Exact and asymptotic dot product representations of graphs.

## MASSACHUSETTS

## Brandeis University (7)

## Mathematics

Balasubramanyam, Baskar,Hidafamilies of Hilbert modulor forms and $p$-adic $L$-functions.
Dousmanis, Gerasimos, Families of Wach modules and twodimensional crystalline Galois representations.
Gospodinov, Georgi, Relative invariants of Legendrian knots.
Lai, Hsin-Hong, The invariance of virtual classes underblow up of a point when $\mathrm{g}=0$.
Li, Ji, Counting prime graphs and point-determining graphs using combinatorical theory of species.
Rajagopalan, Sridhar, Heegaard Floer homology and symmetrices of knots and links
Song, Balin, On the equivariant cohomology of the genus zero moduli space for stable maps to a grassmanian.

## Harvard University (6)

## Mathematics

Chen, Jy-Ying Janet, The degree $4 L$-function of an automorphic form fulllevel on the rank 2 real symplectic group.
Cotterill, Ethan, Enumerative geometry of curves with exceptional secant planes.
Jain, Sonal, Minimal heights and regulators for elliptic surfaces.
Lobb, Andrew, A slice genus lower bound from sl(n) Khovanov-Rozansky homology.
Mok, Chung-Pang, The exceptional zero conjecture for Hilbert modular forms.
Shin, Sug Woo, Counting points on lgusa varieties.

## MISSISSIPPI

## University of Mississippi (7)

## Mathematics

Bokka, Sankar, Statistical tests for the identification of differentially expressed genes.
Dolo, Samuel, A nonparametric test for scale in univariant population setup.
Garner, Latonya, A partially exchangeable model with applications to correlated binary data.
Horton, Leslie, Enumerations of independent sets in graph.
Keeton, Stephanie, The semiparametric exchangeablemode and its applications.
Nicholson, Emlee, Long cycles and paths containing Kordered vertices in graphs.
Smith, Pamela, An efficient nonparametric test for bivariant two-sample location problem.

## MISSOURI

Missouri University of Science \&
Technology (1)
Mathematics and Statistics

Hu, Xiaojun, Distributional aspects of $P$-values and their use in multiple testing situations.

## Washington University (9)

## Mathematics

Amei, Amei, A time-dependent Poisson random field model of polymorphism within and between two related species.
Brown, Ben, Ehrhart theory of lattice polytopes.
Knese, Greg, Schwartz lemmas on the polydisk.
Koester, Paul, Estimates on a generalization of the Erdos Tiran function.
Kuttykrishnan, Sooraj, Stably tame polynomial automorphisms of polynormal rings in two variables over a UFD.
Lim, Wang $Q$., Wavelets with composite dilations and their applications.
Randle, Kim, Combinatorial properties of the conjugacy class subgroup partially ordered set of finite groups.
Vegulla, Prasda, Geometry of distinguished varieties.
Wiechmann, Aaron, Recognition of thin position and the additivity conjectures.

## MINNESOTA

## University of Minnesota (22)

## School of Mathematics

Collins, Kevin, An inverse problem in determining the electrical potential on the heart.
Dong, Bo, Superconvergent discontinous Galerkinmethods for elliptic problems.
Huska, Juraj, Qualitative properties of second order parabolic equations.
Jia, Ning, Matroids, Schubert polynomials and Fibonacci trees.
Karunathilake, Upali, A representation theorem for certain solutions to Burger's equation.
Kim, Pilwon, Invariantization of numerical schemes for differential equations using moving frames.
Kim, Yang-Jin, Mathematical modeling of cell movement and tumor spheroid growth in vitro.
Koch, Gabriel, ALiouville theorem for the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations.
Lee, Chang-Hyeong, Stochastic analysis of biochemical reaction networks.
Luo, Jun, On the rate of convergence of the finite-difference approximations for parabolic Bellman equations with constant coefficients.
Nien, Chu-Feng, Models of representations of general linear groups over $p$-adic fields.
Park, Jinhae, Mathematical modeling and analysis of ferroelectricity in liquid crystals.
Swenson, James, The mod-2 cohomology of finite coxeter groups.
Tarfulea, Nicoleta, Mathematical modeling of signal transduction and cell mobility in tumor angiogensis.
Taskin, Muge, Properties of four partial orders on standard young tableaux.

Wang, Haiyang, Hybridization of the continuous Galerkin finite element method for second-order elliptic and linear elasticity problems.
Wittman, Todd, Variational approaches to digital image zooming.
Xu, Fei, Homological properties of category algebras.
Zhang, Tianyu, Numerical simulation of Ferromagnetic shape memory thin film.
Drake, Daniel, Towards a combinatorial theory of multiple orthogonal polynomials.
Gantner, Ryan, Branching annihilating random walks and their application to traffic flow.
Harrelson, Eric, The homology of the open-closed Riemann surface dioperad and open-closed string topology.

## NEW HAMPSHIRE

## Dartmouth College (8)

## Mathematics

Campos, Oscar, Asymptotic tensor norms.
Dorais, Francois, Souslin trees and degrees of constructibility.
Esselstein, Rachel, On the complexity of building a graph with given neighborhoods.
Klyve, Dominic, Explicit bounds on twin primes and Brun's constant.
Moseman, Elizabeth, The combinatorics of coordinate percolation.
Setyadi, Alison, The affine buildings of SLn and Spn: A combinatorial perspective.
Storm, Christopher, Extending the Ihara-Selberg zeta function of hypergraphs.
Tou, Erik, ZetaFunctions for a class of cocompact arithmetic lattices in SL(3,R).

NEW JERSEY

## Stevens Institute of Technology (2)

## Mathematical Sciences

Babaali, Parisa, Genesic and structural properties of random automata.
Strigul, Nikolai, A new method of scaling vegetation dynamics from individual level to forest ecosystems based on crown plasticity.

## Rugters University - Newark (1)

## Mathematics and Computer Science

Malik, Vidur, Curves generated on surfaces by the GilmanMaskit algorithm.

## Rutgers University - New Brunswick

 (4)
## Statistics and Biostatistics

Chang, Denise, Individualized hospital report cards.
Fang, Jiangang, Network tomography: the estimation of traffic matrix and link delay.
Tang, Weihua, Conditional false discovery rate and significance analysis of microarray data.

Yue, Shentu, Some advances in causalinferencewithmissing data and dichotomization of response in regression analysis.

## NEW York

## New York University, Courant

Institute (11)

## Mathematics

Ariel, Gil, Effective stochastic dynamics in deterministic systems: Model problems and applications.
Barrerio, Andrea, Wave driven vertex of dynamics in the surf zone.
Calle, Maria, Mean curvature flow and minimal surfaces.
Hayes, Edward, The application of a semi-analyticalmethod for computing asymptotic approximations to option prices.
Konig, Christoff, Arctic landfast sea ice.
Korotianev, Mikhail, Torelli-type theoremfor curves defined over finite fields.
Ly, Cheng, Population density approach to neural network modeling:Dimension reduction analysis, technique, and firing rate dynamics.
Mori, Yoichiro, A three-dimensional model of cellular electrical activity.
Rutenburg, Alexander, PoRST Hamiltonian ten bulkquantified gange theory.
Wright, Paul, Rigorous results for the periodic oscillation of adiabatic piston.
Zhu, Guo Dong (Ernest), Pricing options on trading strategies.

## State University of New York at <br> Buffalo (1)

## Biostatistics

Majumdar, Antara, Maximum liklihood estimation of models based on the Monte Carlo EM.

## OHIO

## Case Western Reserve University

## Epidemiology and Biostatistics

Bochud, Murielle, Family-based association studies of the genetic determinants of renal sodium handling.
Hu, Simin, New methods for variable selection with applications to survival analysis and statistical redundancy using gene expression data.
Katamba, Achilles, Efficiency of sputum microscropy in diagnosis and cost-effectiveness of 6 -month and 8 -month treatment of new smear positive pulmonary setting of high HIV prevalence.
Larkin, Emma, A genetic analysis of correlated traits: The apena hypopnea index and body mass index.
Miller, Katherine, Genetic susceptibility in Alzheimer's disease and the role of lipid metabolism.
Nakku-Joloba, Edith, The seroprevalence and incidence of Herpes 1 and 2 in Kampala, Uganda.
Peterson, Lars, Contextual associations of unmet health care locations.

Sinha, Moumita, Estimation of haplotype frequencies from data.
Sinha, Ritwik, Efficient confidence sets for disease gene.
Stubblefield, Angelique, Healthcare utilization and risk for intentional injury death among Ohio children enrolled in Medicaid, 1992-1998.
Sucheston, Lara, Statisticalmethods for the genetic analysis of developmental disorders.
Terris, Darcey, Maximizing efficiency in risk adjustment under conditions of uncertainty and resources constraints.
Thompson, Cheryl, Stratified linkage analysis based on population substructure.
Trapl, Erika, Understanding adolescent surveyresponses: impact of mode and other characteristics on data outcomes and quality.
Xing, Chao, Topics in multipoint linkage and association analysis.
Xing, Guan, A simple new method for robust estimation.
Xu, Zhiying (Cindy), A quantitative trait linkage method for longitudinal pedigree data and its application.
Zhou, Esther, Treatment outcomes for socialized prostate patients: From individual to population.

Ohio State University, Columbus (1)

## Mathematics

Stey, George Carl, Asymptotic expansion for the L' Norm of N -fold convolutions.

## PENNSYLVANNIA

## University of Pittsburgh (9)

## Biotatistics

Chen, Huanyu, Experimental design for unbalanced data involving a two level logistic model.
Dai, Feng, Variance components models in statistical genetics: Extensions and applications.
Dean, Leighton, A method for detecting optimal splits over time in survival analysis using tree-structured models.
Ko, Feng-shou, Identification and assessment of longitudinal biomarkers using frailty models in survival analysis.
Li, Jia, A strategy for stepwise regression procedures in survival analysis with missing covariates.
Lin, Yan, Statistical issues in family-based genetic association studies with application to congenital heart defects in Down syndrome.
Soaita, Adina, GEE models for the longitudinal analysis of the effects of occupational radiation exposure on lymphocyte counts in Russian nuclear workers.
Xu, Qing, Inference on survival dataunder nonproportional hazards.
Yu, Shui, A tree-structured survival model with incomplete and time-dependent covariates: Illustrations using type 1 diabetes data.

## RHODE ISLAND

## Brown University (3)

## Biotatistics

Lee, Joo Yeon, Sensitivity analysis and informative priors for longitudinal binary data with dropout.

Shiu, Shang-Ying, PROC and ROC analysis: Effective of threshold value for diagnostic test and reference standard.
$S u, L i$, Bayesian semiparametric regression for censored and incomplete longitudinal data.

## SOUTH CAROLINA

## Clemson University (4)

Mathematical Sciences

Engau, Alexander,Beyond pareto optimality:Dominationand decomposition in multiobjective programming.
Eyabi, Gilbert, Some properties of $\mathrm{L}(2,1)$-coloring as related to the channel assignment problem.
Lockard, Shannon, Random vectors over finite fields.
Singh, Vijay, Equitable efficiency in multiple criteria optimization.

## TEXAS

## Southern Methodist University (3)

## Statistical Science

Chen, Zhongxue, Probe-level data analysis for high-density oligonucleotide arrays.
$G u$, Kangxia, The comparison of two poisson rates.
Lin, Qihua, Bayesian hierarchical spatiotemporal modeling of functional magnetic resonance imaging data.

## Texas Tech University (3)

Mathematics and Statistics
McGee, Shelly, Computational modeling of chemical transport in flow structure interaction in porus media.
McGee, Wayne, h-p-kleast squares finite elementmethodology and implementation for fluid-structure interaction.
Yan, Ke , Variance reduction for kernel estimators in clustered longitudinal data analysis.

## VIRGINIA

## Virginia Commonwealth University

## (2)

## Biostatistics

Davenport, James, An adaptive dose-finding design using a non-responde model.
Zhao, Jianmin, Optimal clustering: genetic constrained K- and linear programming algorithms.

## WISCONSIN

## University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee

(2)

## Mathematical Sciences

Geliazkova, Maya, Spatial thresholding procedures in fMRI data.
Van Groningen, Anthony, Graded multiplicities of the nullcone for the algebraic symmetric pair of type $G$.


[^0]:    Percentage of underrepresented minorities calculated using Sex, Race/Ethnicity and Citizenship data gathered from granting departments.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ As reported in the respective Annual Survey Second Reports.

[^2]:    * A postdoctoral appointment is a temporary position primarily intended to provide an opportunity to extend graduate training or to further research experience.

[^3]:    * Postdoctoral salaries are included from 1998 forward.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change, edited by Marvin L. Goldberger, Brendan A. Maher, and Pamela Ebert Flattau, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1995.
    ${ }^{2}$ These findings were published in An Assessment of Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, edited by Lyle V. Jones, Gardner Lindzey, and Porter E. Coggeshall, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1982. The information on mathematics, statistics, and computer science was presented in digest form in the April 1983 issue of the Notices, pages 257-67, and an analysis of the classifications was given in the June 1983 Notices, pages 392-3.

