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## Update on the 2004-2005 Doctoral Recipients

## Introduction

The Annual Survey of the Mathematical Sciences collects information each year about degree recipients, departments, faculties, and students in the mathematical sciences at four-year colleges and universities in the United States. Information about recipients of doctoral degrees awarded between July 1, 2004, and June 30, 2005, was collected from doctorate-granting departments beginning in late spring 2005. The "2005 Annual Survey First Report" (Notices, February 2006, pages 230-45) presented survey results about 1,116 new doctoral recipients based on the data provided by the departments. Here we update this information using data obtained from 587 new doctoral recipients who responded to a questionnaire, Employment Experiences of New Doctoral Recipients (EENDR), sent in early October 2005 to all new doctoral recipients. In addition, this report incorporates information on an additional 106 doctoral recipients from departments that responded too late to have the information included in the First Report. Finally, we present the starting salaries and other employment information from the new doctoral recipients that responded to the EENDR questionnaire.

The names and thesis titles of the 2004-2005 doctoral recipients reported on in the First Report were published in "Doctoral Degrees Conferred" (Notices, February 2006, pages 258-76). A supplemental listing of the 106 additional new


#### Abstract

This Second Report of the 2005 Annual Survey gives an update of the 2004-2005 new doctoral recipients from the First Report, which appeared in the Notices of the AMS in February 2006, pages 230-45. The First Report gave salary data for faculty members in these same departments. It also had a section on new doctoral recipients in statistics that is not updated here.

The 2005 Annual Survey represents the forty-ninth in an annual series begun in 1957 by the American Mathematical Society. The 2005 Survey is under the direction of the Data Committee, a joint committee of the American Mathematical Society, the American Statistical Association, the Institute of Mathematical Statistics, and the Mathematical Association of America. The current members of this committee are Richard Cleary, Amy Cohen-Corwin, Donald M. Davis, Nicholas M. Ercolani, Abbe H. Herzig, Donald R. King, Ellen E. Kirkman (chair), David J. Lutzer, James W. Maxwell (ex officio), Peter March, Polly Phipps, David E. Rohrlich, and Henry Schenck. The committee is assisted by AMS survey analyst Colleen A. Rose. Comments or suggestions regarding this Survey Report may be directed to the committee.


doctoral recipients appears at the end of this report on pages 785-87.

## Updated Employment Status of 2004-2005 Doctoral Recipients

The updated responses rates for the 2005 Survey of New Doctoral Recipients appears on the next page. The total number of departments responding in time for inclusion in this Second Report was 262; 22 more than were included in the 2005 First Report and 10 less than the number responding for
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## Highlights

There were 1,222 doctoral recipients from U.S. institutions for 2004-2005, up 141 (13\%) from the previous year. This is the highest number of new Ph.D.'s reported since 1994-1995.
The final unemployment rate for 2004-2005 doctoral recipients was $3.9 \%$, comparable to the rates of the last 8 years.
The number of new doctoral recipients who are not U.S. citizens is up 104 over last year's number, and is up 193 (36\%) from 2000-2001.
The number of doctoral recipients who are U.S. citizens is 496 , up 37 ( $8 \%$ ) from last year's number. The percentage of U.S. citizens among all doctoral recipients this year is $41 \%$, down from $42 \%$ last year; this is the lowest percentage of U.S. citizens in the six years that the number of doctoral recipients in the Second Report has been broken down by citizenship.
Females totaled 359 (29\% of all new doctoral recipients), up in number and down in percentage from 333 (31\%) last year. Of the 496 U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients, 141 are female ( $28 \%$ ), down in number and percent from last year. The highest percentage of females among the annual counts of doctoral recipients was 34\%, reported for 1998-1999.
The number of doctoral recipients whose employment status is unknown is 150 , up 31 from last year's number of 119.
Of the 1,072 new doctoral recipients whose employment status is known, 1,018 reported having employment in fall 2005 with $86 \%$ (875) finding employment in the U.S.; last year this percentage was $87 \%$.
The number of new doctoral recipients taking positions in U.S. business/industry and government was 176 in fall 2005, a $30 \%$ increase from last year's number. The percentage of doctoral recipients employed in the U.S. taking positions in business/industry and government has increased to 20\%, from $17 \%$ in fall 2004. This increase reverses the trend of five years of decline in this percentage, from $31 \%$ in fall 2000.
Doctoral hires into U.S. academic positions are at a six-year high, up by a total of 69 across the combined four-year mathematics departments (Groups I, II, III, M \& B) and down by a total of 27 across the other academic reporting categories combined.
Non-U.S. citizens accounted for $59 \%$ of those employed in the U.S. (last year this percentage was $58 \%$ ).

There were 587 new doctoral recipients responding to the EENDR survey; of the 523 who found employment in the U.S., $56 \%$ reported obtaining a permanent position (last year this percentage was 49\%).
The percentage of temporarily employed respondents who reported taking a postdoctoral position decreased from 77\% in fall 2004 to $74 \%$ in fall 2005 . The number of respondents who reported taking a postdoctoral position in fall 2005 was 172, down from 176 for fall 2004.

## Doctorates Granted Departmental Response

 Rates (updated April 2006)| Group I (Pu) ${ }^{1}$ <br> Group I (Pr) | 25 of 25 including 0 with 0 degrees 22 of 23 including 0 with 0 degrees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Group II | 56 of 56 including 3 with 0 degrees |
| Group III | 73 of 73 including 22 with 0 degrees |
| Group IV | 66 of 87 including 1 with 0 degrees |
| Group Va | 20 of 23 including 4 with 0 degrees |

inclusion in the 2004 Second Report. Definitions of the varous groups surveyed in the Annual Survey can be found on page 784 of this report.

Table 1A shows the fall and final counts of
Table 1A: Doctoral Recipients: Fall and Final Counts

| Year | Fall | Final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1995-1996$ | 1098 | 1099 |
| $1996-1997$ | 1123 | 1130 |
| $1997-1998$ | 1163 | 1176 |
| $1998-1999$ | 1133 | 1135 |
| $1999-2000$ | 1119 | 1127 |
| $2000-2001$ | 1008 | 1065 |
| $2001-2002$ | 948 | 960 |
| $2002-2003$ | 1017 | 1037 |
| $2003-2004$ | 1041 | 1081 |
| $2004-2005$ | 1116 | 1222 |

doctoral recipients in the mathematical sciences awarded by U.S. institutions in each year from 1995 through 2005. This year the total number of new doctoral recipients is 1,222 , up from the previous year by 141. A detailed review of the responding departments in 2004 and 2005

Table 1 B: Doctoral Recipients: Citizenship

| Year | U.S. | Non-U.S. | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2000-2001$ | 532 | 533 | 1065 |
| $2001-2002$ | 428 | 532 | 960 |
| $2002-2003$ | 499 | 538 | 1037 |
| $2003-2004$ | 459 | 622 | 1081 |
| $2004-2005$ | 496 | 726 | 1222 |

Table 1C: Doctoral Recipients by Type of Degree-Granting Department

| Number | Department Group ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I (Pu) | I (Pr) | II | III | IV | Va |
|  | 266 | 181 | 222 | 160 | 301 | 92 |
|  | $22 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $8 \%$ |

For definitions of groups see page 784.

Table 2A: Fall 2005 Employment Status of 2004-2005 Doctoral Recipients: Field of Thesis (updated April 2006)

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER |  | FIELD OF THESIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Algebra <br> Number Theory | Real, Comp. Funct., \& Harmonic Analysis | Geometry/ Topology | Discr. Math./ Combin./ Logic/ Comp. Sci. | Probability | Statistics/ Biostat. | Applied Math. | Numerical Analysis/ Approximations |  | Differential, Integral, \& Difference Equations | Math. Educ. | Other/ Unknown |  |
| Group I (Public) ${ }^{1}$ |  | 16 | 8 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 78 |
| Group I (Private) |  | 13 | 4 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| Group II |  | 19 | 9 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 68 |
| Group III |  | 3 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 47 |
| Group IV |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 53 |
| Group Va |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Master's |  | 12 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 79 |
| Bachelor's |  | 28 | 18 | 11 | 23 | 1 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 133 |
| Two-Year College |  | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| Other Academic Dept. ${ }^{2}$ |  | 8 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 57 | 20 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 120 |
| Research Institute/ Other Nonprofit |  | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| Government |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| Business and Industry |  | 6 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 83 | 14 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 139 |
| Non-U.S. Academic |  | 27 | 9 | 18 | 16 | 4 | 17 | 14 | 8 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 127 |
| Non-U.S. Nonacademic |  | 2 | ] | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Not Seeking Employment |  | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Still Seeking Employment |  | 4 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| Unknown (U.S.) |  | 13 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| Unknown (norr-U.S.) ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 33 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 10 |  | 0 | 77 |
| FOTAL |  | 17 | 105 |  | 0 | 41 | 5 | 22 | 65 | 35 | 123 | 15 | 3 | 1222 |
| Column | Male | 145 | 66 | 81 | 81 | 34 | 197 | 90 | 47 | 24 | 90 | 5 | 3 | 863 |

2 For definitions of groups see page 784 .
3 These are departments outside the mathematical sciences.
3 Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".
Table 2B: Fall 2005 Employment Status of 2004-2005 Doctoral Recipients:
Type of Degree-Granting Department (updated April 2006)

|  |  | TYPE OF DOCTORAL DEGREE-GRANTING DEPARTMENT |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | Row Subtotals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group I <br> (Public) | Group I <br> (Private) | Group II Math. | Group III Math. | Group IV Statistics | Group Va Applied Math. |  |  |  |
|  |  | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  | Female |
| Group I (Public) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | 36 | 24 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 78 | 63 | 15 |
| Group I (Private) |  | 20 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 56 | 44 | 12 |
| Group II |  | 23 | 15 | 23 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 68 | 56 | 12 |
| Group III |  | 8 | 1 | 9 | 19 | 10 | 0 | 47 | 31 | 16 |
| Group IV |  | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 45 | 1 | 53 | 30 | 23 |
| Group Va |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 9 | 3 |
| Master's |  | 17 | 6 | 25 | 17 | 8 | 6 | 79 | 43 | 36 |
| Bachelor's |  | 26 | 9 | 49 | 33 | 13 | 3 | 133 | 100 | 33 |
| Two-Year College |  | 3 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 13 | 5 |
| Other Academic Dept. |  | 11 | 7 | 18 | 13 | 55 | 16 | 120 | 80 | 40 |
| Research Institute/ Other Nonprofit |  | 7 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 35 | 23 | 12 |
| Government |  | 8 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 7 | 37 | 20 | 17 |
| Business and Industry |  | 13 | 15 | 17 | 16 | 67 | 11 | 139 | 100 | 39 |
| Non-U.S. Academic |  | 45 | 28 | 17 | 13 | 17 | 7 | 127 | 96 | 31 |
| Non U.S. Nonacademic |  | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 12 | 4 |
| Not Seeking Employment |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 5 |
| Still Seeking Employment |  | 8 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 42 | 25 | 17 |
| Unknown (U.S.) |  | 20 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 7 | 73 | 54 | 19 |
| Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{2}$ |  | 17 | 5 | 12 | 13 | 24 | 6 | 77 | 57 | 20 |
| TOTAL |  | 266 | 181 | 222 | 160 | 301 | 92 | 1222 | 863 | 359 |
| Column | Male | 212 | 148 | 172 | 101 | 168 | 62 | 863 |  |  |

1 For definitions of groups see page 784.
These are departments outside the mathematical sciences.
Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".

Table 2C: Field of Thesis of 2004-2005 Doctoral Recipients: by Type of Degree-Granting Department (updated April 2006)

| TYPE OF DOCTORAL DEGREE-GRANTING DEPARTMENT | FIELD OF THESIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algebra <br> Number <br> Theory | Real, Comp. Funct., \& Harmonic Analysis | Geometry/ Topology | Discr. Math./ Combin./ Logic/ Comp. Sci. | Probability | Statistics/ Biostat. | Applied Math. | Numerical Analysis/ Approximations | Linear Nonlinear Optim./ <br> Control | Differential, Integral, \& Difference Equations | Math. Educ. | Other/ Unknown |  |
| Group I (Public) ${ }^{1}$ | 74 | 26 | 39 | 27 | 6 | 11 | 25 | 11 | 8 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 266 |
| Group I (Private) | 52 | 9 | 41 | 19 | 10 | 2 | 19 | 7 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 181 |
| Group II | 40 | 25 | 20 | 26 | 13 | 6 | 22 | 21 | 11 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 222 |
| Group III | 10 | 22 | 4 | 22 | 4 | 32 | 21 | 9 | 3 | 20 | 13 | 0 | 160 |
| Group IV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 291 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 301 |
| Group Va | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 12 | 32 | 16 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 92 |
| TOTAL | 176 | 82 | 105 | 101 | 41 | 354 | 122 | 65 | 35 | 123 | 15 | 3 | 1222 |

Table 2D: Percentage of Employed New Doctoral Recipients by Type of Employer

|  | Employed in U.S. |  | Not Employed in U.S. |  | NUMBER <br> EMPLOYED |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Academic $^{1}$ | Nonacademic | Academic | Nonacademic |  |  |
| Fall 2001 | $63 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 829 |  |
| Fall 2002 | $67 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $10 \%$ | 1 | $\%$ | 792 |
| Fall 2003 | $70 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $12 \%$ | 2 | $\%$ | 910 |
| Fall 2004 | $72 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $12 \%$ | 1 | $\%$ | 1018 |
| Fall 2005 | $69 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $12 \%$ | 2 | $\%$ | 8 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes Research Institutes and other non-profits.
revealed that the departments responding in both 2004 and 2005 reported an increase of 135 new doctoral recipients; hence, the total increase from 2004 to 2005 is not significantly influenced by
differences in responding departments between the two years.

Table 1B shows trends in the number of new doctoral recipientsfor thepast five years brokendown by U.S. citizens and non-U.S. citizens. This year the number of new doctoral recipients who are U.S. citizens is 496, an increase of 37 over last year. The number of non-U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients has climbed to 726 , a $17 \%$ increase over last year.

Table 1C gives a breakdown of the 1,222 doctoral degrees awarded in the mathematical sciences between July 1, 2004, and June 30, 2005, by type of degree-granting department.

Tables 2A, 2B, and 2C display updates of employment data, found in these same tables in the First Report, for the fall count of 2004-2005 doctoral recipients plus 106 -additional doctoral recipients reported late. These tables are partitioned by field of thesis research, by the survey group of their de-

Figure 1: Percentage of New Doctoral Recipients Unemployed ${ }^{1}$


Table 3A: New Doctoral Recipients Employed in the U.S.

|  | Degree-Granting Department Group ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{Pu})$ |  | I (Pr) |  | 11 |  | III |  | IV |  | Va |  |  |  |
|  | $\text { Academic }{ }^{2}$ | Business/ Industry \& | Academic | Business/ Industry \& | Academic | Business/ Industry \& | Academic | Business/ Industry \& | Academic | Business/ Industry \& | Academic | Business/ Industry \& | Academic |  |
| Fall 2001 | 159 | 31 | 71 | 19 | 126 | 40 | 80 | 31 | 108 | 96 | 30 | 27 | 574 | 244 |
| Fall 2002 | 133 | 25 | 86 | 20 | 107 | 27 | 91 | 11 | 102 | 72 | 34 | 24 | 553 | 179 |
| Fall 2003 | 123 | 24 | 90 | 16 | 118 | 13 | 61 | 10 | 119 | 54 | 40 | 14 | 551 | 131 |
| Fall 2004 | 118 | 18 | 118 | 18 | 144 | 17 | 73 | 11 | 150 | 61 | 52 | 11 | 655 | 137 |
| Fall 2005 | 152 | 21 | 104 | 17 | 152 | 23 | 97 | 18 | 149 | 80 | 45 | 19 | 699 | 176 |

${ }_{2}$ For definitions of groups see page 784.
2 Includes Research Institutes and other non-profits.
gree-granting department, and by type of employer. New doctoral recipients are grouped by field of thesis using the Mathematical Reviews 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification list. A complete list of these groups is available on the AMS website at www.ams.org/employment/Thesis_groupings. pdf. At the time of this Second Report, the fall 2005 employment status of 1,072 of the 1,222 doctoral recipients was known.

The fall 2005 unemployment rate for new doctoral recipients, based on information gathered by the time of the Second Report, was $3.9 \%$. Figure 1 presents the fall 1981 through fall 2005 trend in the final unemployment rate of new doctoral recipients. The counts on which these rates are determined do not include those new doctoral recipients whose fall employment status was unknown at the time of the Second Report. This year the number of recipients whose employment status was reported as unknown increased to 150 from 119 last year.

Of the 1,072 new doctoral recipients whose employment is known, 875 were employed in the U.S., 143 were employed outside the U.S., 42 were still seeking employment, and 12 were not seeking employment.

Table 2D presents the trend in the percentage of employed new doctoral recipients by type of employer for the last five years. Academic employment includes those employed by research institutes and other nonprofits. The percentage of the total employed new doctoral recipients that are in U.S. academic positions has dropped after five years of steadily increasing, and concomitantly the percentage of the total employed in U.S. nonacademic positions (U.S. government, U.S. business and industry) has increased after five years of steady decreases.

Among new doctoral recipients who are employed, the percentage taking nonacademic employment varied significantly by field of thesis. For those whose field of thesis is in the first three columns in Table 2A, this percentage is the lowest at 7\% (down from $8 \%$ ), while the percentage for those

Table 3B: New Doctoral Recipients Employed in U.S. Academic Positions

|  | Hiring Department Group ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | I-III | IV | Va | M\&B | Other | TOTAL |
| Fall 2001 | 214 | 49 | 11 | 178 | 122 | 574 |
| Fall 2002 | 222 | 45 | 10 | 148 | 128 | 553 |
| Fall 2003 | 216 | 39 | 9 | 158 | 129 | 551 |
| Fall 2004 | 220 | 66 | 19 | 172 | 178 | $\mathbf{6 5 5}$ |
| Fall 2005 | 249 | 53 | 12 | 212 | 173 | $\mathbf{6 9 9}$ |

with definitions of groups sin probibility or sid statistics is the highest
Table 3C: Females as a Percentage of New Doctoral Recipients

|  | Department Group ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I (Pu) | I(Pr) | II | III | IV | Va | TOTAL |
| \% Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Produced | $20 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Hired | $19 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $26 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ For definitions of groups see page 784.
at $36 \%$ (up from $26 \%$ last year).
Table 3A breaks down the numbers of new doctoral recipients employed in the U.S. in academic positions or in business/industry and goverment by degree granting group shows that the fall 2005 total number of doctoral recipients taking positions in business/industry and goverment is 176 ; this number reflects an increase of $30 \%$ over last year and is the highest number reported since fall 2002. All groups have shown an increase in number of graduates finding employment in business/industry and goverment, except Group 1 Private.

Table 3B shows that the number of new doctoral recipients taking U.S. academic positions has increased to a six-year high of 699, from 655 in 2004. Doctoral hires into U.S. academic positions are up in all groups except Groups IV (down to

Table 3D: Citizenship of 2004-2005 Male Doctoral Recipients by Fall 2005 Employment Status


Table 3E: Citizenship of 2004-2005 Female Doctoral Recipients by Fall 2005 Employment Status

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER | CITIZENSHIP |  |  |  | TOTAL FEMALE DOCTORAL RECIPIENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. CITIZENS | NON-U.S. CITIZENS |  |  |  |
|  |  | Permanent Visa | Temporary Visa | Unknown Visa |  |
| U.S. Employer | 117 | 32 | 111 | 3 | 263 |
| U.S. Academic | 95 | 26 | 84 | 2 | 207 |
| Groups ${ }^{1}$ I, II, III, and Va | 21 | 9 | 27 | 1 | 58 |
| Group IV | 6 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 23 |
| Non-Ph.D. Department | 65 | 11 | 37 | 1 | 114 |
| Research Institute/Other Nonprofit | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 12 |
| U.S. Nonacademic | 22 | 6 | 27 | 1 | 56 |
| Non-U.S. Employer | 3 | 1 | 23 | 8 | 35 |
| Non-U.S. Academic | 2 | 1 | 21 | 7 | 31 |
| Non-U.S. Nonacademic |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |
| Not Seeking Employment | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Still Seeking Employment | 4 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 17 |
| Subtotal | 129 | 35 | 145 | 11 | 320 |
| Unknown (U.S.) | 10 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 19 |
| Unknown (nom-U.S.) ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 20 |

1 For definitions of groups see page 784.
2 Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".

53 from 66 last year), Va (down to 12 from 19 last year) and Other (down to 173 from 178 last year); the biggest percentage increases are in Group III (38\%) and Group B (28\%). Doctoral hires into non-U.S. academic positions increased by $18 \%$ to 127 from 108 last year.

Table 3C gives information about the production and hiring of female new doctoral recipients in the doctoral-granting departments of this survey. From Table 3C we see that the percentage of females hired ranges from a high of $43 \%$ in Group IV, followed by Group III at $34 \%$ to a low of $18 \%$ in Group II. The percentage of female new doctoral recipients produced is highest in Group IV (44\%). The total
percentage of females produced and hired has

Table 3F: Number of New Doctoral Recipients Employed in the U.S. by Citizenship and Type of Employer

| U.S. EMPLOYER | CITIZENSHIP |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. | Non-U.S. | TOTAL |
| Academic, Groups I-Va | 125 | 189 | 314 |
| Academic, M\&B, Other | 203 | 182 | 385 |
| Nonacademic | 72 | 104 | 176 |
| TOTAL | 400 | 475 | 875 |

decreased from last year's percentages of $31 \%$ and $27 \%$, respectively, to this year's $29 \%$ and $26 \%$.
Updated Information about 2004-2005 Doctoral Recipients by Sex and Citizenship

Tables 3D and 3E show the sex and citizenship of the 1,222 new doctoral recipients and the fact that 875 new doctoral recipients found jobs in the U.S. this year. This is $82 \%$ of the 1,072 new doctoral recipients whose employment status was known and $86 \%$ of the 1,018 known to have jobs in fall 2005. Last year these percentages were $82 \%$ and $87 \%$, respectively.

Sex and citizenship are known for all of the 1,222 new doctoral recipients. The final count of new doctoral recipients who are U.S. citizens is 496 (41\%) (down from $42 \%$ last year) this is the lowest percentage of U.S. citizens in the six years that the number of doctoral recipients in the Second Report has been broken down by citizenship. Pages 235-8 of the First Report present further information related to the citizenship of the 2004-2005 new doctoral recipients.

Of the 496 U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients reported for 2004-2005, 141 are female and 355 are male. Females accounted for $28 \%$ of the U.S. citizen total (down from 33\% last year); the number of female U.S. citizens has decreased by 10 from last year's count of 151, and the number of male U.S. citizens increased by 47 over last year's count of 308 .

Table 3F shows that non-U.S. citizens accounted for $58 \%$ of those employed in the U.S. (the same as last year). U.S. academic doctoral departments, Groups I through Va, hired $40 \%$ U.S. citizens, while groups M, B, and all other academic departments hired 53\% U.S. citizens (last year these percentages were 41\% and $51 \%$, respectively).U.S.citizens represented $41 \%$ of those hired into nonacademic positions (last year 48\%). Among all the 875 new 2004-2005 doctoral recipients employedintheU.S., 20\%tooknonacademic employment (governmentorbusiness
and industry.) This percentage is up from 17\% in 2003-2004 and from 19\% in 2002-2003.

New Information from EENDR Survey

Of the 1,116 new doctoral recipients reported in the Report, the 1,104 whose addresses were known were the Employment Experiences of New Doctoral Recipients (EENDR) survey in October 2005, and 587 (53\%) responded. response rates varied considerably among the various subgroups of new

Table 4A: Number (and Percentage) of Annual EENDR Respondents Employed in the U.S. by Job Status

|  | Employed in U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Permanent Total | Tempo- <br> Total <br> Total | Temporary |  |  | Unknown |
|  |  |  | Permanent not available | Postdoctoral |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Permanent |  |
| Fall 2001 | 266(56\%) | 205(43\%) | 107(52\%) | 143(70\%) | 42(29\%) | 2 |
| Fall 2002 | 264(52\%) | 245(48\%) | 90(37\%) | 203(83\%) | 69(34\%) | 1 |
| Fall 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall 2004 | 253(54\%) | 216(46\%) | 87(40\%) | 164(76\%) | 53(32\%) | -- |
| Fall 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4B: Percentage of Annual EENDR Respondents Employed in the U.S. by Employment Sector within Job Status

|  | Employed in U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Permanent |  |  | Temporary |  |  |
|  | Academic $^{2}$ | Government | Business/ <br> Industry | Academic | Government | Business/ <br> Industry |
| Fall 2001 | $62 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $4 \%$ | -- |
| Fall 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall 2003 | $70 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Fall 2004 | $76 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Fall 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

doctoral recipients defined by their employment status as reported by departments. Among those who were employed the highest response rate, $70 \%$, was from those employed in the U.S., while the lowest, $39 \%$, was from those in non-U.S. academic.

The EENDR gathered details on employment experiences not available through departments.

Figure 2: Age Distribution of 2004-2005 EENDR Respondents


The remainder of this section presents additional information available on this subset of the 20042005 doctoral recipients.

Table 4A gives the numbers and percentages of EENDR respondents taking permanent and temporary positions in the U.S for fall 2001 through fall 2005.
This year we see that among the 523 employed in the U.S., 291 reported obtaining a permanent position and 232 a temporary position. While these numbers both reflect an increase, the number of individuals obtaining permanent positions has reached a five-year high. In addition, the percentage of individuals taking permanent positions has increased to $56 \%$, while the percentage of those taking temporary positions has dropped to $44 \%$ (the lowest reported since $43 \%$ in 2001). Of the 232 in temporary positions, 92 (40\%) reported taking temporary employment because a suitable permanent position was not available, and 172 (74\%) classified their position as postdoctoral. Of the 172 respondents taking positions they classified as postdoctoral, 55 (32\%) reported that a suitable permanent position was not available.

Table 4B shows the employment trends of permanent and temporary positions broken down by sector for the last five years. Following last year's pattern the percentage of permanently employed EENDR respondents taking employment in academia has declined this year, and there was an offsetting increase in the proportion of permanently employed EENDR respondents taking positions in business and industry.

Among the 291 who reported obtaining a permanent position in the U.S. in fall 2005, 68\% were employed in academia (including less than $1 \%$ in research institutes and other nonprofits), $5 \%$ in government, and $27 \%$ in business or industry. Women held $37 \%$ of the permanent positions.

Among the 232 individuals with temporary employment in the U.S. this year, $96 \%$ were employed in academia (including 9\% in research institutes and other nonprofits), $4 \%$ in government, and less than $1 \%$ in business or industry.

Figure 2 gives the age distribution of the 574 new doctoral recipients who responded to this question. The median age of new doctoral recipients was 30 years, while the mean age was 32 years. The first and third quartiles were 28 and 34 years, respectively.

## Previous Annual Survey Reports

The 2005 First Annual Survey Report was published in the Notices in the February 2006 issue. For the last full year of reports, the 2004 First, Second, and Third Annual Survey Reports were published in the Notices in the February, August, and September 2005 issues respectively. These reports
and earlier reports, as well as a wealth of other information from these surveys, are available on the AMS website at www.ams.org/employment/ surveyreports.htm1.

## Starting Salary Survey of the 2004-2005 Doctoral Recipients

The starting salary figures for 2005 were compiled from information gathered on the EENDR questionnaires sent to individuals who received doctoral degrees in the mathematical sciences during the 2004-2005 academic year from universities in the United States (see previous section for more details).

The questionnaires were distributed to 1,104 recipients of degrees using addresses provided by the departments granting the degrees; 587 individuals responded between late October and April. Responses with insufficient data or from individuals who indicated they had part-time or non-U.S. employment were excluded. Numbers of usable responses for each salary category are reported in the following tables.

Readers should be warned that the data in this report are obtained from a self-selected sample, and inferences from them may not be representative of the population.

Key to Tables and Graphs. Salaries are listed in hundreds of dollars. Nine-month salaries are based on 9-10 months' teaching and/or research, not adding extra stipends for summer grants or summer teaching or the equivalent. Years listed denote the survey cycle in which the doctorate was received: for example: survey cycle July 1, 2004June 30, 2005, is designated as 2005. Salaries are those reported for the fall immediately following the survey cycle. M and F are male and female respectively. Some persons receiving a doctoral degree had been employed in their present position for several years, so those who had "one year or less experience" were analyzed separately from the total. Male and female figures are not provided when the number of salaries available for analysis in a particular category was five or fewer. Also, quartile figures are not available for 1970 through 1980. All categories of "Teaching/Teaching and Research" and "Research Only" contain those recipients employed at academic institutions only.

Graphs. The graphs show standard boxplots summarizing salary distribution information for the years 1998 through 2005. Values plotted for 1998 through 2004 are converted to 2005 dollars using the implicit price deflator prepared annually by

Academic Teaching/Teaching añ Research 9-10-Month Salaries (in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | Q1 | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2005 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 90 | 120 | 128 | 135 | 173 | 378 |
| 1980 | 105 | 155 | 171 | 185 | 250 | 355 |
| 1985 | 170 | 230 | 250 | 270 | 380 | 402 |
| 1990 | 230 | 305 | 320 | 350 | 710 | 440 |
| 1995 | 220 | 320 | 350 | 382 | 640 | 426 |
| 1997* | 180 | 340 | 366 | 400 | 840 | 430 |
| 1998 | 140 | 340 | 370 | 410 | 700 | 430 |
| 1999 | 180 | 360 | 400 | 430 | 700 | 458 |
| 2000 | 250 | 380 | 415 | 450 | 650 | 465 |
| 2001 | 259 | 400 | 420 | 461 | 660 | 460 |
| 2002 | 230 | 400 | 450 | 500 | 840 | 484 |
| 2003 | 220 | 415 | 450 | 510 | 920 | 475 |
| 2004 | 285 | 420 | 450 | 500 | 1234 | 462 |
| 2005 | 280 | 430 | 465 | 506 | 1002 | 465 |
| 2001 M | 259 | 490 | 430 | 475 | 660 |  |
| 2801 M | 3318 | 398 | 413 | 443 | 828 |  |
| 2803 F | 328 | 428 | 441 | 498 | 610 |  |
| 2003 F M | 385 | 414 | 444 | 512 | 888 |  |
| 2004 F | 300 | 421 | 450 | 500 | 1234 |  |
| Total (161 male/82 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 M | 300 | 430 | 465 | 510 | 710 |  |
| 2005 F | 280 | 430 | 467 | 501 | 1002 |  |
| One year or less experience (143 male/72 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 M | 300 | 432 | 470 | 510 | 710 |  |
| 2005 F | 280 | 431 | 463 | 500 | 938 |  |



19981999200020012002200320042005

Academic Postdoctorates Only 9-10-Month Salaries (in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | Q | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2005 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 180 | 350 | 385 | 410 | 450 | 452 |
| 1998 | 290 | 350 | 390 | 420 | 500 | 453 |
| 1999 | 130 | 365 | 400 | 418 | 540 | 458 |
| 2000 | 300 | 385 | 420 | 450 | 550 | 471 |
| 2001 | 250 | 400 | 425 | 450 | 566 | 465 |
| 2002 | 230 | 425 | 450 | 487 | 595 | 484 |
| 2003 | 240 | 420 | 450 | 480 | 600 | 475 |
| 2004 | 300 | 420 | 450 | 490 | 625 | 462 |
| 2005 M | 318 | 458 | 438 | 554 | 566 | 460 |
| 2001 F | 310 230 | 395 425 | 421 450 | 438 488 | 490 595 |  |
| 2002 F | 380 | 430 | 450 | 485 | 589 |  |
| 2003 M | 240 | 420 | 450 | 485 | 600 |  |
| 2003 F | 359 | 408 | 449 | 459 | 510 |  |
| 2004 M | 300 | 420 | 450 | 480 | 625 |  |
| 2004 F | 400 | 440 | 470 | 500 | 606 |  |
| Total (61 male/16 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 M | 310 | 450 | 470 | 500 | 615 |  |
| 2005 F | 400 | 437 | 450 | 471 | 500 |  |
| One year or less experience ( 59 male/16 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 M | 310 | 450 | 470 | 503 | 615 |  |
| 2005 F | 400 | 437 | 450 | 471 | 500 |  |


Dollar amounts shown from 1997 forward include postdoctoral salaries.



## Definitions of the Groups

As has been the case for a number of years, much of the data in these reports is presented fordepartments divided into groups according to several characteristics, the principal one being the highest degree offered in the mathematical sciences. Doctoral-granting departments of mathematics are further subdivided according to their ranking of "scholarly quality of program faculty" as reported in the 1995 publication Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change. ${ }^{1}$ These rankings update those reported in a previous study published in $1982 .{ }^{2}$ Consequently, the departments which now comprise Groups I, II, and III differ significantly from those used prior to the 1996 survey.

The subdivision of the Group I institutions into Group I Public and Group I Private was new for the 1996 survey. With the increase in number of the Group I departments from 39 to 48, the Data Committee judged that a further subdivision of public and private would provide more meaningful reporting of the data for these departments.

## Brief descriptions of the groupings are as follows:

Group I is composed of 48 doctoral-granting departments with scores in the 3.00-5.00 range. Group I Public
and Group I
departments at public
respectively.
Group II is composed of 56 doctoral-granting departments with scores in the 2.00-2.99 range.
Group III contains the remaining U.S. doctoral-granting departments, including a number of
departments not includedinthe 1995 ranking
of program faculty.
Group IV contains U.S. doctoral-granting departments (or programs) of statistics, biostatistics, and biometrics reporting a doctoral program.
Group V contains U.S. doctoral-granting departments (or programs) of applied mathematics/applied science,
operations research, and management science
Group Va is applied mathematics/applied science doctoralgranting departments; Group Vb, which is no longer surveyed as of 1998-99, was operations research and management science.
Group M or Master's contains U.S. departments granting a master's degree as the highest graduate degree.
Group B or Bachelor's contains U.S. departments granting a baccalaureate degree only.
Listings of the actual departments which comprise these groups are available on the AMS website at www. ams.org/ outreach.

[^1]the Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce.

For each boxplot the box shows the first quartile (Q1), the median (M), and the third quartile (Q3). The interquartile range (IQR) is defined as Q3-Q1. Think of constructing invisible fences $1.5 \boxtimes \mathrm{IQR}$ below Q1 and $1.5 \boxtimes \mathrm{IQR}$ above Q3. Whiskers are drawn from Q3 to the largest observation that falls below the upper invisible fence and from Q1 to the smallest observation that falls above the lower invisible fence. Think of constructing two more invisible fences, each falling $1.5 \otimes \mathrm{IQR}$ above or below the existing invisible fences. Any observation that falls between the fences on each end of the boxplots is called an outlier and is plotted as $\circ$ in the boxplots. Any observation that falls outside of both fences either above or below the box in the boxplot is called an extreme outlier and is marked as $\triangle$ in the boxplot.
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## Doctoral Degrees Conferred 2004-2005

## Supplementary List

The following list supplements the list of thesis titles published in the February 2006 Notices, pages 230-45.

ALABAMA
University of Alabama, Huntsville
(2)

## Mathematical Sciences

Park, Thomas, Age structure in epidemic models of vectorborne infections.
Wang, Yan, Acquisition numbers and completionacquisition numbers.

## CALIFORNIA

## University of California, Irvine (8)

## Mathematics

Koslover, Deborah, Quasiperiodic Jacobi matrices of magnetic orign.
Liu, Chiung-ju, Banodo-Futaki invariants on hypersurfaces and Tian-Yau-Zelditch expansions.
Nakamura, Remi, MLE of parameters in the drifted Brownian motion and its error.
Rooze, Matthew, The use of unabounded activationfunctions in neural networks and neural network approaches to nuisance parameter problems.
Sadovsky, Alexander, A biodynamical study of epidermal wound repair in embryos.
Schulteis, Melinda, Continuity of the Lyapunov exponent for quasiperiodic Jacobi matrices.
Sinek, John, Integrated multi-scale modeling of therapeutics delivery to cancerous lesions.
Xiaoming, Zheng, Adaptive finite-element/level-set methods of free boundary problems: applications to multiphase flows and reaction-diffusionmodels of tumor growth.

## University of California, Santa Cruz

## (3)

## Mathematics

Bass, Jamey, A Calabi-Yau analogue of the Dedekind Eta function.
Raske, David, Q-curvature on closed Riemannian manifolds of dimension greater than four.
Moura, Francisco, Three novel clustering algorithms and their application to microarray encephalogram data.

## Stanford University (7)

## Mathematics

Adams, Tarn, Flat chains in Banach spaces.
Godin, Veronique, A category of bordered fat graphs and the mapping class group of a bordered surface.
Grueneberg, Michel, The Yamabe flow on threemanifolds.
Kim, Byoung-Du, The parity conjecture and algebraic functional equations for elliptic curves at primes with supersingular reduction.
Lee, Dan Archibald, Connected sums of special Lagrangian submanifolds.
Shi, Danzhu, Capillary surfaces at a re-entrant corner.
Zhu, Ke, Degeneration of the moduli space of J-holomorphic discs and Legendrian contact homology.

## CONNECTICUT

## Yale University (7)

## Mathematics

Brenner, Eliot Philip, Grenier Domains for arithmetic groups and associated tilings.
Ershov, Mikhail V., On finite presentability of some pro-p groups on related questions
Kim, Sangjib, Standard monomial theory for flag algebras.
Salmasian, Hadi, A new notion of rank for unitary representation based on Kirillov's orbit method.
Samuels, Beth Sharon, Ramanujan complexes, their nonuniform quotients, and isospectrality.
Schul, Raanan, Subsets of rectifiable curves in Hilbert space and the analyst's TSP.

## MASSACHUSETTS

## Harvard University (8)

## Mathematics

Green, Peter, Geometricity of local $p$-adic representations.
Grigorov, Grigor, Kato's Euler system and the main conjecture.
Kaplan, Jonathan, Morphlets; a multiscale representation for diffeomorphisms.
Khosla, Deepak, Moduli spaces of curves with linear series and the slope conjecture.
Lef, Edward, A modular non-rigid Calabi-Yau threefold.
Mast, Jerrel, Pseudoholomorphic punctured spheres in the symplectization of a quotient.
Mohta, Vivek, Applications of Chiral perturbation theory.
Neel, Robert, The heat kernel at the cut locus.

## MICHIGAN

## Western Michigan University (5)

## Mathematics

Chaiyakarn, Archara, Structure preserving algorithms for computing the symplectic singular value decomposition.
Gera, Ralucca M., Stratification and domination in graphs and digraphs.
Noh, Jihwa, An investigation of secondary teachers' knowledge of rate of change in the context of teaching a standard-based curriculum.
Pacheenburawana, Pariwatana, Global optimality conditions in mathematical programming and optimal control.
Shafer, Kathryn, Two high school teachers' initial use of geometer's sketchpad: Issues of implementation.

## MINNESOTA

University of Minnesota,
Minneapolis (10)
Mathematics

Alexandrov, Oleg, Wave Propagation in optical fibers analysis and optimization.
Cho, Sungwon, Boundary behavior of solutions to second order elliptic and parabolic equation.
Erban, Radek, From individual to collective behavior in biological systems.
Galbraith, Michael, Geometric optics, convex functions, Carleman estimates and interfaces in the boundary control of the wave equation.
Hall, John, Combinatorial deformations of the full transformation semigroup.
Han, Young Ae, An efficient solver for problems of scattering.
Kang, Minchul, Temporal and spatial aspects of calcium dynamics in astrocyles.
Tarfulea, Nicolae, Constraint preserving boundary conditions for hyperbolic formulations of Einstein's equations.
Yenikaya, Bayram, Adapative methods for Hamilton-Jacobi equations.
Zhang, Jian, Scattering problems in inhomogeneous scalar wave equation.

## MISSOURI

## University of Missouri, Columbia (6)

## Mathematics

Batchenko, Volodymyr, On the spectra of Schrödinger and Jacobi operations with complex-valued quasi-peridoc algebra-geometric coefficients.
Bilyk, Dmytro, Distributional estimates for multilinear operatiors.
Cramer, David, Fredholm determinants and the Evans function.
Honzik, Petr, Maximal operators associated with Fourier multipliers.
Luo, Shangzhen, Filtering of hidden weak Markov chain and its application to finance.
Mayboroda, Svitlana, The Poisson problem in Lipschitz domains.

## NEW JERSEY

## Rutgers University, Graduate School (6)

## Statistics

Ganning, Kenneth, An examination of the mean and quantiles from a relational system with a fixed just unnoticeable difference representation.
Grothendieck, John, Tracking changes in language.
Heath, Susan, A new model for wireless telephony.
Lakshminarasimhan, Ramprasath, Statistical options-crash resistant financial contracts based on robust location estimators.
Wang, Hongwei, Selected topics inlongitudinal data analysis and modeling.
Xia, Qi, Exact methods applied to group sequential and other stratified comparative Poisson designs.

## NEW York

## Courant Institute, New York University (14)

## Mathematics

Apfaltrer, Felix, Population density methods in 2 spatial dimensions and application to neural networks with realistic synapitc kinetics.
Siefring Richard, Intersection theory of finite energy surfaces.
Eng, David, Scaling limits of random Schrodinger equations.
Feng, Fan-Fu, On the totally asymptotic zero range process.
Kobre, Elisha, Rates of diffusion in dynamical systems with random groups.
Rottenstreich, Sivan, Error bounds for the weak coupling Schrodinger equation.
Sun, Rongfeng, Convergence of coalescing nonsimple random walks to the Brownian web.
Wendl, Chris, Finite energy foliations and surgery on transverse links.
Cascini, Paolo, On the cotangent bundle of a projective variety.
Ko, Yueh Joy, Partially regular and singular solutions to the Landau-Lifshits (Gilbert) equations.
McGahagan, Helena, Some existence and uniqueness results for Schrodinger maps and Landau-Lifshitz equations.
Oliveira, Roberto, Preferential attachment.
Zygouras, Nikolaos, Limit Theorems: for a periodically or randomly driven semilinear equation.
Papazoglu-Statescu, Oana, Maximizing the expected utility of final time wealth with little trading.

## Polytechnic University (1)

## Mathematics

Pistoia, Marco, A unified mathematical model for stack- and role-based authorization systems.

## Syracuse University (1)

Mathematics
John, Thomas, Selection procedures for lognormal populations.

## TEXAS

## Rice University (6)

## Computational and Applied Mathematics

Castillo, Zenaida, A new algorithm for continuation and bifurcation analysis of large scale free surface flows.
Nguyen, Hoang, Domain decomposition methods for linearquadratic elliptic optimal control problems.
Padula, Anthony, Software design for simulation driven optimization.,
Teng, Cong, Model reduction of second linear dynamical systems.

Vincent-Finely, Rachel, A reduced basis method for molecular dynamics simulation.
Wrightman, Jennifer, Approximation and computation of the solution to themagneto-ionosphere coupling equation via mixed formulation.

## Southern Methodist University (4) <br> (4)

Statistical Science
Carmack, Patrick, Recursive partitioning in spatially correlated data.
Liu, Yushan, On estimation of the number of multinomial cells from cluster sampling.
Wang, Zhu, The application of the Kalman filter to nonstationary time series chirp process through exponential transformation.
Shen, Shuyi, Minimum $L_{2}$ estimation for Poisson mixtures.

## WASHINGTON

## University of Washington(6) <br> Biostatistics

Bergemann, Tracy Lee, Image analysis and signal extraction from cDNA microarrays.
Buzkova, Petra, Marginal regression analysis of longitudinal data with irregular, biased sampling.
Chen, Lu, Semiparametric analysis of failure time data from case-control family studies on candidate genes.
Haneuse, Sebastein, Ecological studies using supplemental case-control data.
Liu, Hao, Semiparametric marginal mean models for multivariate counting processes.
Zhang, Zheng, Semiparametric least-squares analysis of the receiver operating characteristic curve.

## WISCONSIN

## University of Wisconsin, Madison

(13)

## Mathematics

Benesh, Bret, Counting generators in finite groups that are generated by two subgroups of prime power order.
Taylor, Paul, Bochner-Riesz means with respect to a rough distance function.
Chatterjee, Rohit, On class polynomials and supersingular j-invariants.
Cossey, James, Generalizations of the Fong Swan Theorem.
Sutherland, Jamie, Values in university mathematics placement practice.
Stefansson, Narfi, The structure of sparse representations of images using tight frames.
El-Guindy, Ahmad, Weierstrass point on modular curves.
Halfpap, Jennifer, Contributions to the theory of the holomorphic extension of CR functions.
Laghi, Norberto, A topics in the regularity theory of fourier integral operators.


[^0]:    Ellen E. Kirkman is professor of mathematics, Wake Forest University. James W. Maxwell is AMS associate executive director for special projects. Colleen A. Rose is AMS survey analyst.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change, edited by Marvin L. Goldberger, Brendan A. Maher, and Pamela Ebert Flattau, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1995.
    ${ }^{2}$ These findings were published in An Assessment of Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, edited by Lyle V. Jones, Gardner Lindzey, and Porter E. Coggeshall, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1982. The information on mathematics, statistics, and computer science was presented in digest form in the April

