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## Introduction

The Annual Survey of the Mathematical Sciences collects information each year about departments, faculties, and students in the mathematical sciences at four-year colleges and universities in the United States. Definitions of the various groups surveyed in the Annual Survey can be found in the box on page 935 of this report. Departments in the former Group Vb are no longer surveyed. We present information about the faculties and instructional programs at the undergraduate and graduate levels in these departments for the 2002-2003 academic year. For 1999-2000 and earlier years, these data were presented as part of the Second Report.

Information about departments was gathered on a questionnaire called the Departmental Profile. This questionnaire was mailed to all departments in Groups I, II, III, IV, and Va and to stratified random samples from Groups M and B. The percentage of the departments responding in each of the doctoral groups was greater than 94 percent. Prior to 2001, if doctoral departments did not respond, simple projections were made to the whole population using the data from those departments who did respond. Beginning last year, if a department did not return the Departmental Profile questionnaire but had returned one within the last three years, the data from the most recent questionnaire was used. This change in procedure will produce even more accurate results than those in past reports for these doctoral departments.

The Departmental Profile questionnaire is mailed to a stratified random sample of departments drawn


#### Abstract

This Third Report of the 2002 Annual Survey gives information about faculty size, departmental enrollments, majors, and graduate students for departments of mathematical sciences in four-year colleges and universities in the United States. Prior to 2000, these data were included as part of the Second Report.

The 2002 Annual Survey represents the forty-sixth in an annual series begun in 1957 by the American Mathematical Society. The 2002 Survey is under the direction of the Data Committee, a joint committee of the American Mathematical Society, the American Statistical Association, the Institute of Mathematical Statistics, and the Mathematical Association of America. The current members of this committee are Amy Cohen-Corwin, Donald M. Davis, Lorraine Denby, Alexander J. Hahn, Naresh Jain, G. Samuel Jordan, Stephen F. Kennedy, Ellen E. Kirkman (chair), David J. Lutzer, and James W. Maxwell (ex officio). The committee is assisted by AMS survey analyst Kinda Remick Priestley and survey coordinator Colleen Rose. Comments or suggestions regarding this Survey Report may be directed to the committee.


from each of Groups M and B, and standard statistical projections are made using the data from the respondents. The stratification for Groups M and B is based on the enrollment of the school and whether it is a public or a private school. For the second year, standard errors are reported for several of the more important projections made in Groups M and B. The box on page 926 discusses these standard errors in more detail.

The careful reader will note that a row or column total may differ slightly from the sum of the individual entries. All the table entries are the rounded

[^0]
## Highlights

- The estimated total number of full-time doctoral positions under recruitment in Groups I, II, III, Va, M, and B combined is down to 1,867 from 2,314 last year (a drop of 19\%). Of these 1,867 full-time positions, 1,320 were tenured/tenure-track, down from 1,618 last year (a drop of $18 \%$ ). Of the 1,320 fulltime tenured/tenure-track doctoral positions, 1,124 were open to new doctorates, down from 1,459 last year (a drop of 23\%).
- The estimated total number of full-time doctoral positions filled with a doctoral hire in Groups I, II, III, Va, M, and B combined is down to 1,319 from 1,621 last year (a drop of 19\%). Groups I, II, III, and Va combined filled 593 doctoral positions, of which 254 (43\%) were tenured/tenure-track positions. Groups M and B combined filled 725 doctoral positions, of which 528 (73\%) were tenured/tenure-track. Last year Groups I, II, III, and Va combined filled 666 doctoral positions, of which 281 (42\%) were tenured/tenure-track positions, and Groups M and B combined filled an estimated 955 doctoral positions, of which 681 (71\%) were tenured/tenure-track.
- The estimated number of new doctoral hires into tenured/tenuretrack positions was up in Groups I, II, III, and Va combined (79 from 59 last year), and about the same in Groups M and B combined (258 from 259 last year). But the estimated number of not new doctoral hires into tenured/tenure-track positions was down in both Groups I, II, III, and Va combined (175 from 222 last year) and in Groups M and B combined (270 from 422 last year, a 36\% drop over last year).
- The total number of full-time faculty in Groups I, II, III, Va, M, and B combined is estimated at 20,007, with a standard error of 269; this total is up 295 from last year. The number of full-time faculty having doctorates in this total is estimated at 16,430 , up from 16,374 last year. The number of full-time doctoral non-tenure-track faculty in this total is estimated at 2,057 , up from 1,920 last year. The size of the standard error makes it possible that the changes observed are due to sampling error.
- The number of female full-time faculty in Groups I, II, III, Va, $M$, and $B$ combined is estimated at 5,019 , up from 4,795 last year. The number of nondoctoral full-time faculty is estimated at 3,577 , up from 3,338 last year. The estimated number of part-time doctoral faculty in this total is 7,771 , down from 8,057 last year. Detailed information is given in this report about these groups.
- The number of junior/senior mathematics majors in Groups I, II, III, Va, M, and B is estimated at 64,800 in 2002 (the highest since 1995), up 5,900 over the estimated 58,900 in 2001.
- The estimated number of full-time graduate students in Groups I, II, III, Va, and M combined increased to 12,647 from 12,127 last year. The estimated numbers of graduate students in Groups I, II, III, and Va combined who are first year, who are female, who are male, who are U.S. citizens, and who are nonU.S. citizens all were up over last year. The estimated number of first-year graduate students in Group M dropped from 1,236 to 1,012; however, the standard errors for the Group M estimates are large, making estimates vary simply due to the sampling variability rather than any real change.


## Remarks on Statistical Procedures

This report is based on information gathered from departments of mathematical sciences in the U.S., separated into groups by highest degree granted as defined on page 935. Groups for doctoral-granting departments are I (Public), I (Private), II, III, IV, and Va. Groups M and B consist of those departments offering master's and bachelor's degrees respectively.

While the questionnaire on which this report is based is sent to every doctoral department, it is sent to a stratified random sample in Group M and B departments.

The response rate is typically between 90 and 100 percent for the doctoral groups. Prior to last year, simple projections were made using the questionnaires that were returned to get estimated totals for the entire population. After a couple of years of experimentation, a new procedure was begun for the 2001 survey. If a doctoral department did not return its questionnaire this year but had returned one within the past three years, those numbers were used as its response for the current year. This procedure will give us even more accurate estimates than we have gotten in the past.

The stratified random sampling procedures used for Groups M and B were put in place four years ago. Beginning last year, standard errors were calculated for some of the key estimates. Standard errors are calculated using the variability in the data and can be used to crudely measure how closely our estimate is to the true value for the population. As an example, the number of fulltime faculty in Group M is estimated at 4,342, with a standard error of 121 . This means the actual number of full-time faculty in Group M is most likely between 4,342 plus or minus two standard errors, or between 4,100 and 4,584 . This is much more informative than simply giving the estimate of 4,342 .

Estimates are also given for parameters that are totals from all groups, such as the total number of full-time faculty. The values given for the doctoral groups are assumed to be the true parameters for these groups, because they are not sampled and hence are not subject to sampling variability. The only variability in a total of several groups comes from the sampling for Groups $M$ and $B$. Using the standard errors for $M$ and $B$, it is possible to calculate a standard error for the total. For example, an estimate of the total number of full-time faculty in all groups but group IV is 20,007, with a standard error of 269.

Standard errors, when calculated for an estimate, appear in the tables in parentheses underneath the estimate.

Table 1: Faculty Attrition,' Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { Public }}{\text { I }}$ | I Private | II | III | Va | $\begin{gathered} \text { I, II, III, } \\ \& \text { Va } \end{gathered}$ | M | B | $\begin{gathered} \text { I, II, III, } \\ \text { Va, M, \& B } \end{gathered}$ | IV |
| Full-time faculty who retired or died <br> Total number <br> (Standard error) <br> Percentage | 34 1.9 | 9 1.0 | 69 2.9 | 42 2.0 | 7 2.3 | 162 2.2 | $\begin{gathered} 135 \\ (16) \\ 3.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 310 \\ (90) \\ 3.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 607 \\ (92) \\ 3.0 \end{array}$ | 39 2.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Number and percentage of full-time faculty who were in the department in fall 2001 but were reported to have retired or died by fall 2002.
values of the individual projections associated with each entry, and the differences are the result of this rounding (as the sum of rounded numbers is not always the same as the rounded sum).

## Faculty Profile

The Departmental Profile, sent in fall 2002 to mathematical sciences departments at four-year colleges and universities as part of the Annual Survey, gathered information about faculties at these schools, which is reported in this section. The 2002 First Report presented data collected earlier about faculty salaries (pages 238-53 of the February 2003 issue of the Notices of the AMS.)

## Faculty Attrition

Table 1 displays losses of full-time mathematical sciences faculty due to retirements and deaths. The fall 2002 mathematics faculty attrition rate for Groups I, II, III, Va, M, and B combined was 3.0\%. Figure 1 shows the trend in the attrition rate for these departments during the years 1987 to 2002.

Figure 1: Percent of Full-Time Doctoral Faculty Who Retired or Died in Groups I, II, III, Va, M, \& B, Fall 1987 to Fall 2002


Table 2A: Recruitment of Doctoral Faculty, Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { I } \\ \text { Public } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{I} \\ \text { Private } \end{gathered}$ | II | III | Va | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{III}, \\ \& \& \mathrm{Va} \end{gathered}$ | M | B | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{III}, \\ \mathrm{Va,M}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | IV |
| Posted Doctoral Positions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number ${ }^{1}$ | 200 | 117 | 188 | 189 | 31 | 726 | 349 | 793 | 1867 | 169 |
| (Standard error) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (42) | (60) | (73) |  |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 77 | 33 | 112 | 145 | 18 | 386 | 313 | 621 | 1320 | 141 |
| Open to new doctoral recipients | 146 | 87 | 156 | 174 | 25 | 587 | 311 | 771 | 1670 | 121 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 33 | 10 | 86 | 129 | 15 | 272 | 278 | 573 | 1124 | 108 |
| Open at assoc/full level | 39 | 25 | 40 | 48 | 7 | 159 | 60 | 97 | 317 | 59 |
| Reported Hires for Above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number | 174 | 101 | 161 | 151 | 23 | 611 | 290 | 598 | 1499 | 110 |
| Male doctoral hires | 143 | 78 | 114 | 112 | 16 | 464 | 170 | 349 | 983 | 65 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 42 | 18 | 60 | 73 | 7 | 201 | 151 | 217 | 569 | 53 |
| Female doctoral hires | 30 | 22 | 43 | 30 | 4 | 129 | 73 | 133 | 335 | 45 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 7 | 1 | 22 | 22 | 1 | 53 | 65 | 95 | 213 | 34 |
| Male temporary hires | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 81 | 110 | 0 |
| Female temporary hires | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 30 | 34 | 70 | 0 |
| Total new doctoral hires | 103 | 64 | 71 | 59 | 12 | 309 | 102 | 212 | 624 | 58 |
| Male new doctoral hires | 83 | 47 | 56 | 45 | 10 | 241 | 79 | 136 | 455 | 29 |
| Tenured/tenure-track |  | 2 | 21 | 34 | 4 | 63 | 76 | 96 | 235 | 25 |
| Female new doctoral hires | 21 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 2 | 69 | 24 | 76 | 168 | 29 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 16 | 22 | 64 | 101 | 23 |
| Unfilled positions | 26 | 16 | 27 | 38 | 7 | 115 | 58 | 195 | 368 | 59 |

[^1]After a significant increase from 1997 to 1998, the overall rate has remained relatively stable over the last five years. However, the rates vary quite a bit from group to group and from year to year within each of the groups. For fall 2002, Group I Private had the lowest attrition rate at $1.0 \%$, while Group B the highest at $3.8 \%$.

## Faculty Recruitment

Table 2A contains detailed information on the number of full-time doctoral faculty positions in mathematical sciences departments under recruitment in 2001-2002 for employment beginning in the academic year 2002-2003. Among mathematics departments (Groups I, II, III, Va, M, and B), 1,867 positions were under recruitment in 2001-2002 for employment beginning in the academic year 2002-2003, down $19 \%$ compared to last year. Of

Table 2B: A Summary of Recruitment of Doctoral Faculty, Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | I, II, III, \& Va | M \& B | IV |
| Posted Doctoral Positions |  |  |  |
| Total number | 726 | $\mathbf{1 1 4 2}$ | 169 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 386 | 934 | 141 |
| Open to new doctoral recipients | 587 | 1082 | 121 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 272 | 851 | 108 |
| Reported Hires for Above |  |  |  |
| Total new doctoral hires | 309 | 314 | 58 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 79 | 258 | 48 |
| Male | 241 | 215 | 29 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 63 | 172 | 25 |
| Female | 69 | 100 | 29 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 16 | 86 | 23 |
| Total not new doctoral hires | 284 | 411 | 52 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 175 | 270 | 39 |
| Male | 223 | 304 | 36 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 138 | 196 | 28 |
| Female | 60 | 106 | 16 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 37 | 74 | 11 |

Table 2C: Percentage Tenured/Tenure-Track for Positions Posted and Filled, Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | I, II, III, \& Va | M \& B | IV |
| New Doctoral Positions |  |  |  |
| Positions posted | 587 | 1082 | 121 |
| \% tenured/tenure-track | 46 | 79 | 89 |
| Positions filled | 309 | 314 | 58 |
| \% tenured/tenure-track | 25 | 82 | 83 |
| Not New Doctoral Positions |  |  |  |
| Positions posted ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | - | - | - |
| \% tenured/tenure-track | - | - | - |
| Positions filled | 284 | 411 | 52 |
| \% tenured/tenure-track | 62 | 66 | 75 |

[^2]those 1,867 positions, $1,670(89 \%)$ were available to new doctoral recipients, and of those 1,670 positions, 1,124 (67\%) were tenured/tenure-track positions. The 1,124 tenured/tenure-track positions open to new doctoral recipients was down $23 \%$ from the 1,459 such positions under recruitment in 2000-2001; the biggest drop was in Groups M and B, where the total number of tenured/tenure-track positions open to new doctoral recipients dropped from 1,192 last year to 851 this year (a $29 \%$ drop). The total number of tenured/tenure-track full-time doctoral positions under recruitment in Groups I, II, III, Va, M, and B combined is 1,320 , down from last year's 1,618 (a drop of 18\%). In Groups I, II, III, and Va combined, the total number of posted doctoral positions open at the associate/full level rose from 138 last year to 159 this year.

Table 2B condenses the information in Table 2A. It also reorganizes the doctoral hires into one section for new doctoral hires and another for other doctoral hires (so excludes posted doctoral positions that were temporarily filled with a person without a doctorate). Table 2C is derived from Table 2B with the percentage of the filled positions that were tenured/tenure-track included in the table.

From Table 2B we find that the total number of full-time doctoral positions filled in Groups I, II, III, $\mathrm{Va}, \mathrm{M}$, and B combined is down to 1,319 from 1,621 last year (a drop of 19\%). Groups I, II, III, and Va combined filled 593 doctoral positions, of which 254 (43\%) were tenured/tenure-track positions. Groups M and B combined filled 725 doctoral positions, of which 528 ( $73 \%$ ) were tenured/tenuretrack. Last year Groups I, II, III, and Va combined filled 666 doctoral positions, of which 281 (42\%) were tenured/tenure-track positions, and Groups M and B combined filled 955 doctoral positions, of which 681 (71\%) were tenured/tenure-track.

The number of tenured/tenure-track new doctoral hires was up in Groups I, II, III, and Va combined ( 79 from 59 last year), and about the same in Groups M and B combined ( 258 from 259 last year). But the number of tenured/tenure-track not new doctoral hires was down in both Groups I, II, III, and Va combined ( 175 from 222 last year) and in Groups M and B combined ( 270 from 422 last year, a $36 \%$ drop over last year).

From Tables 2B and 2C we can compare the hiring patterns of Groups I, II, III, and Va with that of Groups M and B. In Groups I, II, III, and Va $52 \%$ of the positions hired went to new doctoral recipients (last year $47 \%$ ), while in Groups M and B $43 \%$ of the positions hired went to new doctoral recipients (last year 40\%). In Groups I, II, III, and Va only $26 \%$ of the hires of new doctoral recipients were in tenured/tenure-track positions (last year it was $19 \%$ ), while in Groups M and B $82 \%$ of the hires were in tenured/tenure-track positions (last year it was $69 \%$ ).

From Table 2B we find that of the new doctoral recipients hired in Groups I, II, III, and Va combined, $26 \%$ of the males and $23 \%$ of the females took tenured/tenure-track positions. For new doctoral recipients hired in Groups M and B combined, $80 \%$ of the males and $86 \%$ of the females took tenured/tenure-track positions. Even though $46 \%$ of the positions available in doctoral departments (Groups I, II, III, and Va) for new doctoral recipients were tenure-track positions, only $26 \%$ of the new doctoral recipients hired were given tenured/tenure-track positions. At the same time, 284 of those hired were not new doctoral recipients and $62 \%$ had tenured/tenure-track positions.

Figure 2: Number of Full-Time Doctoral Positions under Recruitment: Total, Tenured/Tenure-Track, and Unfilled in Groups I, II, III, Va, M, \& B Combined, Fall 1990 to Fall 2002


Note: The tenured/tenure-track status of positions under recruitment was not surveyed until 1992.

Table 3A: Total Faculty Size, Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { I } \\ \text { Public } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { I } \\ \text { Private } \end{gathered}$ | II | III | Va | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{III}, \\ & \& \& \mathrm{Va}, \end{aligned}$ | M | B | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{III}, \\ \mathrm{Va}, \mathrm{M}, \& \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | IV |
| Total full-time faculty (Standard error) | 1752 | 949 | 2422 | 2068 | 320 | 7511 | $\begin{aligned} & 4342 \\ & (121) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8154 \\ & (240) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20007 \\ (269) \end{array}$ | 1397 |
| Doctoral full-time faculty | 1693 | 945 | 2179 | 1764 | 309 | 6890 | 3464 | 6076 | 16430 | 1355 |
| Tenured | 1163 | 536 | 1567 | 1292 | 182 | 4740 | 2361 | 3858 | 10959 | 812 |
| Untenured, tenure-track | 162 | 97 | 269 | 314 | 34 | 876 | 827 | 1711 | 3414 | 308 |
| Non-tenure-track (Standard error) | 368 | 312 | 343 | 158 | 93 | 1274 | $\begin{aligned} & 276 \\ & (62) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 507 \\ & (71) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2057 \\ (94) \end{array}$ | 235 |
| Nondoctoral full-time faculty | 59 | 4 | 243 | 304 | 11 | 621 | 878 | 2078 | 3577 | 42 |
| Total part-time faculty (Standard error) | 222 | 57 | 443 | 747 | 35 | 1504 | $\begin{aligned} & 2150 \\ & (175) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4117 \\ & (254) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7771 \\ & (309) \end{aligned}$ | 172 |

Table 3B: Female Faculty Size, Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{1}{\text { Public }}$ | $\stackrel{1}{\text { Private }}$ | II | III | Va | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{III}, \\ \& \mathrm{Va} \end{gathered}$ | M | B | $\begin{gathered} \text { I, II, III, } \\ \text { Va, M, \& B } \end{gathered}$ | IV |
| Female full-time faculty (Standard error) | 219 | 100 | 422 | 451 | 46 | 1238 | $\begin{array}{r} 1277 \\ (62) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2504 \\ (115) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5019 \\ (131) \end{gathered}$ | 354 |
| Doctoral full-time faculty | 181 | 99 | 279 | 274 | 39 | 872 | 811 | 1473 | 3156 | 332 |
| Tenured | 87 | 26 | 114 | 147 | 18 | 392 | 452 | 791 | 1635 | 125 |
| Untenured, tenure-track | 27 | 12 | 57 | 86 | 4 | 186 | 252 | 500 | 938 | 110 |
| Non-tenure-track | 67 | 61 | 108 | 41 | 17 | 294 | 107 | 182 | 583 | 97 |
| Nondoctoral full-time faculty | 38 | 1 | 143 | 177 | 6 | 365 | 467 | 1031 | 1863 | 23 |
| Female part-time faculty | 85 | 11 | 175 | 251 | 8 | 530 | 810 | 1855 | 3195 | 63 |

Table 3C: Number and Percentage of Full-Time Faculty, Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { I } \\ \text { Public } \end{gathered}$ | Private | II | III | Va | M | B | IV | TOTAL |
| Full-Time Faculty <br> Number Percentage of total full-time faculty | $\begin{array}{r} 1752 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 949 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2422 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2068 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 320 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4342 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8154 \\ 38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1397 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21403 \\ 100 \end{array}$ |
| Female Full-Time Faculty <br> Number <br> Percentage of female full-time faculty | $\begin{array}{r} 219 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 422 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 451 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1277 \\ 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2504 \\ 47 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 354 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5373 \\ 100 \end{array}$ |
| Female Full-Time Faculty Percentage female full-time faculty by group | 13 | 11 | 17 | 22 | 14 | 29 | 31 | 25 | 25 |

Table 3D: Number, and Percentage of Those Female, of Non-tenure-track Doctoral Full-Time Faculty and Part-Time Faculty by Group, Fall 1996 to Fall 2002

|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groups I, II, III, \& Va |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-tenure-track doctoral full-time faculty | 672 | 708 | 904 | 1014 | 993 | 1233 | 1274 |
| Percentage female | 25 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 20.6 | 21 | 23 |
| Part-time faculty | 1093 | 954 | 1141 | 1217 | 1399 | 1467 | 1504 |
| Percentage female | 37 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 37 | 38 | 35 |
| Group M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-tenure-track doctoral full-time faculty | 138 | 216 | 140 | 146 | 262 | 183 | 276 |
| Percentage female | 24 | 30 | 27 | 56 | 29 | 24 | 39 |
| Part-time faculty | 1879 | 1612 | 1768 | 1906 | 2323 | 2393 | 2150 |
| Percentage female | 41 | 46 | 43 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 |
| Group B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-tenure-track doctoral full-time faculty | 419 | 385 | 427 | 514 | 407 | 504 | 507 |
| Percentage female | 23 | 26 | 31 | 24 | 30 | 29 | 36 |
| Part-time faculty | 3055 | 3107 | 3585 | 3298 | 3580 | 4197 | 4117 |
| Percentage female | 44 | 46 | 42 | 41 | 40 | 43 | 45 |

Figure 2 shows the number of full-time doctoral positions available in all groups except Group IV, as well as the number of those that were tenured/tenure-track and the number unfilled for the years 1990 to 2002. There was a sharp decrease in available positions in the first few years of the 1990s, but the number of positions and the number of tenured/tenure-track positions had been increasing steadily until this year.

## Faculty Size

Table 3A gives the number of faculty for different categories of faculty broken down by group. Table 3B gives the same information for females only. The estimated total number of full-time faculty in Groups I, II, III, Va, M, and B combined is 20,007 , up 295 from last year, with a standard error of 269 . We can be quite confident that the actual total number of faculty in these groups is in the interval $20,007+/-538$. The doctoral departments I, II, III, and Va were up 79 full-time faculty members, Group M was up 6 faculty members, and Group B was up 210. Since the standard errors for the total number of full-time faculty in Groups M
and B are 121 and 240 respectively, there may not be an actual change, as these increases are well within the variability we expect with standard errors of 121 and 240.

Table 3C gives some percentages based on the information in Tables 3A and 3B.

The number of non-tenure-track doctoral fulltime faculty and the number of part-time faculty have been increasing in recent years, though this year the estimated number of part-time faculty is down to 7,771 from 8,057 last year. Table 3D gives a seven-year history of these two types of faculty for Groups I, II, III, and Va combined; for Group M; and for Group B. Also shown for each number in this table is the percentage of females. Table 3D shows that over the last seven years, the percentage in non-tenure-track doctoral full-time faculty has increased by $90 \%$ in Groups I, II, III, and Va; $100 \%$ in Group M; and $21 \%$ in Group B, and the percentage of part-time faculty has increased in these groups $38 \%, 14 \%$, and $35 \%$, respectively. This increase in non-tenure-track full-time doctoral positions continues a disturbing trend highlighted in "Staffing shifts in mathematical sciences
departments, 1990-2000" (David J. Lutzer and James W. Maxwell, Notices of the AMS, June/July 2003, pages 683-6).

Table 3E gives a summary of the various types of faculty found in departments of mathematical sciences by sex and group.

Tables 3F and 3G give more information about two types of faculty: full-time faculty without a doctorate and part-time faculty. The top half of Table 3 F is a somewhat condensed version of the doctoral full-time faculty in Table 3A broken down by sex. The bottom half of Table 3F shows this same information for the 3,576 full-time faculty who do not have doctoral degrees. The majority of these faculty, 2,956 (83\%), are found in Group M and B departments. Table 3G shows the part-time faculty broken down by sex and whether they have a doctoral degree.

## Faculty Profile for Females

Table 3B gives a complete breakdown of all categories of female faculty by group and shows increasing estimated numbers of female faculty in most categories. The estimated total number of fulltime faculty in all groups for 2002-2003 is 21,403 , of which 5,373 (25\%) are females, up from 5,135 (24\%) last year. In the B group doctoral female faculty dropped to 1,473 from 1,527 last year, tenured female faculty dropped from 870 last year to 791 this year, and non-tenuretrack doctoral female faculty rose from 147 last year to 182 this year. In the M group non-tenure-track female doctoral faculty rose from 43 last year to 107 this year.

Table 3C shows the number and percentage of all full-time and female full-time faculty that fall in each group for 2002-2003. The number of faculty in each group and the percentage who are female are given in the bottom section of Table 3C. The number of females as a percentage of full-time faculty varies considerably among the groups, from 11\% for Group I Private to $31 \%$ for Group B. This is the same pattern as reported last year. Note: In Table 3C the percentages for each group in rows 2 and 4 are of the row totals. The percentages in row 6 are column percentages using the numbers in rows 1 and 3.

Table 3D contains information about non-tenuretrack doctoral full-time faculty and part-time

Table 3E: Summary of Full-Time and Part-Time Faculty by Sex, Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | I, II, III, \& Va | M \& B |  | IV |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
|  | $\mathbf{6 2 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3 8}$ | 8714 | 3781 | $\mathbf{1 0 4 3}$ | 354 |
| Percentage | 84 | 16 | 70 | 30 | 75 | 25 |
| Doctoral full-time faculty | 6018 | 872 | 7256 | 2284 | 1023 | 332 |
| Percentage | 87 | 13 | 76 | 24 | 76 | 24 |
| $\quad$ Tenured | 4348 | 392 | 4977 | 1243 | 687 | 125 |
| Percentage | 92 | 8 | 80 | 20 | 85 | 15 |
| $\quad$ Untenured, tenure-track | 690 | 186 | 1786 | 752 | 199 | 110 |
| $\quad$ Percentage | 79 | 21 | 70 | 30 | 64 | 36 |
| Non-tenure-track | 980 | 294 | 493 | 289 | 137 | 97 |
| $\quad$ Percentage | 77 | 23 | 63 | 37 | 59 | 41 |
| Nondoctoral full-time faculty | 255 | 365 | 1459 | 1497 | 19 | 23 |
| Percentage | 41 | 59 | 49 | 51 | 46 | 54 |
| Part-time faculty | 973 | 530 | 3602 | 2665 | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ |
| Percentage | 65 | 35 | 57 | 43 | 63 | 37 |

Table 3F: Doctoral and Nondoctoral Full-Time Faculty Size, Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I, II, III, \& Va |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{M}$ \& B |  | TOTAL |  |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{6 0 1 8}$ | 872 | 7256 | 2284 | 13274 | 3156 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured | 4348 | 392 | 4977 | 1243 | 9325 | 1635 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Untenured, tenure-track | 690 | 186 | 1786 | 752 | 2476 | 938 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-tenure-track | 980 | 294 | 493 | 289 | 1473 | 583 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nondoctoral full-time faculty | 255 | 365 | 1459 | 1497 | 1714 | $\mathbf{1 8 6 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenured | 19 | 10 | 483 | 337 | 502 | 347 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Untenured, tenure-track | 5 | 2 | 172 | 244 | 177 | 246 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-tenure-track | 231 | 353 | 803 | 916 | 1034 | 1269 |  |  |  |  |  |

faculty for the years 1997 to 2002 for Groups I, II, III, and Va combined, M, and B. This table includes the total number for each category as well as the percentage female for each number.

Table 3E gives the male/female breakdown by count and percentage for Groups I, II, III, and Va combined for various categories of faculty in columns 2 and 3 . The same breakdowns are given for Groups M and B combined in columns 4 and 5 and for Group IV in columns 6 and 7.

Table 3G: Part-Time Faculty Size, Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I, II, III, \& Va |  |  |  |  |  | M \& B |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |
|  | 400 | 130 | 834 | 331 | $\mathbf{1 6 9 5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Nondoctoral part-time faculty | 573 | 400 | 2768 | 2334 | $\mathbf{6 0 7 5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{9 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 0}$ | 3602 | $\mathbf{2 6 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 7 1}$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 4A: Undergraduate and Graduate Enrollments (thousands), Fall 2002


Table 4B: Total Undergraduate Enrollments (thousands), Fall 1997 to Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I <br> Public | I <br> Private | II | III | Va | M | B | IV | TOTAL |  |
|  | 173 | 42 | 247 | 220 | $24^{1}$ | 561 | 701 | 69 | 2037 |  |
| 1998 | 182 | 43 | 258 | 214 | $20^{1}$ | 585 | 741 | 78 | 2121 |  |
| 1999 | 182 | 45 | 271 | 251 | 13 | 568 | 810 | 92 | 2232 |  |
| 2000 | 175 | 47 | 279 | 241 | 13 | 526 | 729 | 77 | 2087 |  |
| 2001 | 176 | 42 | 279 | 246 | 12 | 513 | 743 | 81 | 2092 |  |
| 2002 | 187 | 41 | 275 | 250 | 16 | 507 | 774 | 76 | 2125 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Prior to 1999, Group Va was combined with Group Vb, which is no longer surveyed. Separate Group Va figures for these years are not available.

Table 3F shows that of the 3,576 nondoctoral full-time faculty in Groups I, II, III, Va, M, and B, $1,862(52 \%)$ are females. In Table 3G we see that in these same groups there are 7,771 part-time faculty, of which 3,195 (41\%) are females.

## Enrollment Profile and <br> Undergraduate <br> Degrees/Majors Profile

## Enrollment

The Departmental Profile Survey obtained information about enrollments and distribution of instructional effort among various course categories in mathematical sciences departments. Table 4A

Table 4C: Undergraduate and Graduate Enrollments per Full-Time Faculty Member, Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I Public | $\underset{\text { Private }}{\text { I }}$ | II | III | Va | M | B | IV |
| Undergraduate Course Enrollments Number per full-time faculty member | 107 | 43 | 114 | 121 | 50 | 117 | 95 | 55 |
| Graduate Course Enrollments <br> Number per full-time faculty member | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 3 | - | 20 |

Table 4D: Undergraduate Enrollments per Full-Time Faculty Member, Fall 1997 to Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I <br> Public | I <br> Private | II | III | Va | M | B | IV |  |
| 1997 | 110 | 52 | 115 | 113 | -1 | 106 | 96 | 57 |  |
| 1998 | 109 | 52 | 114 | 108 | -1 | 117 | 94 | 60 |  |
| 1999 | 115 | 54 | 111 | 122 | 43 | 127 | 114 | 68 |  |
| 2000 | 107 | 52 | 117 | 119 | 39 | 110 | 95 | 56 |  |
| 2001 | 101 | 47 | 114 | 120 | 41 | 118 | 94 | 57 |  |
| 2002 | 107 | 43 | 114 | 121 | 50 | 117 | 95 | 55 |  |

[^3]gives the total undergraduate and total graduate enrollments in mathematics courses for each group that is part of the Annual Survey. Each enrollment in this and other tables in this section is projected from schools responding to the survey, as discussed on page 926. In fall 2002, for the fourth year the projections for Groups M and B were made from those schools responding in the stratified random sample for each of these groups. This makes it possible to calculate standard errors for the estimated enrollments for these groups and for the estimated total enrollment for all groups. These standard errors, available for the second year, are also found in Table 4A. The estimated total enrollment for all groups is $2,125,000$, with a standard error of 27,000 , indicating

Table 5A: Undergraduate Degrees Awarded and Junior/Senior Majors (hundreds), Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { Public }}{\text { I }}$ | Private | II | III | Va | M | B | $\begin{gathered} \text { I, II, III, } \\ \text { Va, M, \& B } \end{gathered}$ | IV |
| Total Undergraduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degrees awarded (hundreds) | 18 | 8 | 18 | 15 | 2 | 45 | 109 | 217 | 3 |
| (Standard error) |  |  |  |  |  | (6) | (7) | (9) |  |
| Computer science only | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 31 | 48 | 0 |
| Junior/senior majors (hundreds) | 57 | 19 | 51 | 52 | 6 | 162 | 302 | 648 | 10 |
| (Standard error) |  |  |  |  |  | (14) | (17) | (22) |  |
| Computer science only | 5 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 38 | 95 | 148 | 0 |
| Female Undergraduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degrees awarded (hundreds) | 7 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 20 | 46 | 91 | 1 |
| Computer science only | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 0 |
| Junior/senior majors (hundreds) | 20 | 5 | 21 | 22 | 2 | 70 | 129 | 270 | 4 |
| Computer science only | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 28 | 41 | 0 |

Table 5B: Undergraduate Degrees Awarded and Junior/Senior Majors (hundreds) in Groups I, II, III, Va, M \& B Combined, Fall 1993 to Fall 2002

|  | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Undergraduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degrees awarded (hundreds) | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | - | ${ }^{-}$ | 217 |
| Junior/senior majors (hundreds) | 696 | 669 | 678 | 631 | 596 | 590 | 568 | 599 | 589 | 648 |
| Female Undergraduate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degrees awarded (hundreds) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 91 |
| Percentage female | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 42 |
| Junior/senior majors (hundreds) | 301 | 287 | 286 | 273 | 257 | 255 | 248 | 244 | 242 | 270 |
| Percentage female | 43 | 43 | 42 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 44 | 41 | 41 | 42 |

that the actual total enrollment is likely within 2,125,000 +/- 54,000. Table 4B gives these totals for fall 1997 to fall 2002.

Beginning with this 2002 survey, the Departmental Profile form no longer requests a breakdown of the total undergraduate enrollments into eight subcategories of courses. For a comprehensive survey of specific undergraduate courses, please refer to the report of the 2000 CBMS survey, Statistical Abstract of Undergraduate Programs in the Mathematical Sciences in the U.S.: Fall 2000 CBMS Survey (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002). This publication is available on the AMS website at www.ams.org/cbms/

Table 4C gives the undergraduate enrollments per faculty member and the graduate enrollments per faculty member for each group. Table 4D gives the undergraduate enrollments per faculty member in each group for fall 1997 to fall 2002.

Looking at the historical data among the enrollment tables just presented for fall 1997 to fall 2002, one sees no major trends. This has been a relatively stable period for enrollments.

## Undergraduate Degrees and Majors

Table 5A gives the number of undergraduate degrees awarded and the number of junior/senior majors, and the number of each that are female
and that are in computer science for each group. Table 5B presents the trends in these data for fall 1993 to fall 2002. This year for the first time our table includes "undergraduate degrees awarded", as this statistic may be more accurate than "junior/ senior majors"; future comparisons will be to undergraduate degrees awarded. The number of junior/senior mathematics majors in Groups I, II, III, Va, M, and B dropped from 69,600 in 1993 to 56,800 in 1999 but has been higher in the past three years; it is estimated at 64,800 in 2002 (the highest since 1995), up 5,900 over the estimated 58,900 in 2001. The percentage of the junior/ senior majors who are females remained relatively stable for the years 1993 to 2002, from a low of $41 \%$ to a high of $44 \%$.

The reader should be aware that at least 50 of the 192 departments in the 2002 Group M population and at least 270 of the 1,029 departments in the 2002 Group B population also offer a computer science program in addition to their offerings in mathematics. In some instances, these computer programs account for a major fraction of the department's undergraduate degrees and majors. This year's estimated 64,800 majors includes an estimated 14,800 majors in computer science programs that are located in mathematics departments,
and this year's estimated 21,700 undergraduate degrees awarded includes 4,800 in computer science.

The report of the 2000 CBMS survey provides a more comprehensive study of departmental bachelor's degrees.

## Graduate Student Profile

Table 6A summarizes information gathered about graduate students by the 2002 Departmental Profile survey. This table gives the number of full-time, full-time first year, and part-time graduate students for each type of graduate department. These same numbers are also given for female graduate students and for U.S. citizen graduate students.

The total number of full-time graduate students in Groups I, II, III, Va, and M combined increased from 2001 to 2002, with 12,127 and 12,647 respectively. In general, in Table 6A there were gains in every group except Groups I Private and M. Firstyear full-time graduate students in Groups I, II, III,

Va, and M combined decreased by 103 to 4,008, a decrease of $3 \%$; this decrease occurred entirely in Groups Va and M. Female full-time graduate students in Groups I, II, III, Va, and M combined increased from 4,088 to 4,328 , a $6 \%$ increase. U.S. citizen full-time graduate students in these same groups increased by $10 \%$ to 6,724 . There is a great deal of variability in the number of full-time graduate students in Group M, even in universities that are roughly the same size. Evidence of this is the standard error of 336 . We can also expect substantial variation in the total number of all full-time graduate students from year to year due to the large variation in Group M.

Part-time graduate students in Groups I, II, III, and Va held steady at 1,490 this year. The figure for last year, 1,475 , had been a considerable drop from the 1,600 reported in 2000. Group III has 779 (52\%) of the part-time graduate students in these groups. In these doctoral groups, $37 \%$ of the parttime graduate students are females and $75 \%$ are U.S. citizens. Group M part-time graduate students decreased from 3,682 to 3,064, down 17\%. Last year's

Table 6A: Graduate Students, Fall 2002

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { Public } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Private }}{\text { I }}$ | II | III | Va | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{III}, \\ \& \mathrm{Va}, \end{gathered}$ | M | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{III}, \\ & \mathrm{Va}, \& \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | IV |
| Total Graduate Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number who are first-year | 723 | 414 | 888 | 772 | 199 | 2996 | 1012 | 4008 | 1402 |
| Number who are part-time (Standard error) | 164 | 185 | 299 | 779 | 63 | 1490 | $\begin{aligned} & 3064 \\ & (806) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4554 \\ & (806) \end{aligned}$ | 916 |
| Female Graduate Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number who are full-time | 673 | 326 | 991 | 860 | 286 | 3136 | 1192 | 4328 | 2151 |
| Number who are first-year | 199 | 118 | 338 | 312 | 71 | 1038 | 416 | 1454 | 782 |
| Number who are part-time | 74 | 40 | 121 | 302 | 15 | 552 | 1349 | 1901 | 522 |
| U.S. Citizen Graduate Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number who are full-time | 1391 | 617 | 1516 | 1079 | 452 | 5055 | 1669 |  | 1707 |
| (Standard error) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (193) | (193) |  |
| Number who are first-year | 433 | 165 | 531 | 384 | 117 | 1630 | 642 | 2272 | 624 |
| Number who are part-time (Standard error) | 115 | 119 | 243 | 598 | 49 | 1124 | $\begin{aligned} & 2515 \\ & (723) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3639 \\ & (723) \end{aligned}$ | 721 |

Table 6B: Full-Time Graduate Students in Groups I, II, III, \& Va by Sex and Citizenship, Fall 1993 to Fall 2002

|  | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total full-time graduate students | 10525 | 10185 | 9761 | 9476 | 9003 | 8791 | 8838 | 9637 | 9361 |
| First-year full-time | 2762 | 2668 | 2601 | 2443 | 2386 | 2458 | 2664 | 2839 | 2875 |
| First-year full-time U.S. citizen | 1700 | 1664 | 1551 | 1465 | 1316 | 1349 | 1401 | 1527 | 1517 |
| Female full-time graduate students | 2990 | 2927 | 2877 | 2760 | 2691 | 2770 | 2766 | 3016 | 2899 |
| Male full-time graduate students | 7535 | 7258 | 6884 | 6716 | 6312 | 6021 | 6072 | 6621 | 6462 |
| U.S. citizen full-time graduate students | 5865 | 5945 | 5623 | 5445 | 4947 | 4831 | 4668 | 5085 | 4631 |
| Non-U.S. citizen full-time graduate students | 4660 | 4240 | 4138 | 4031 | 4056 | 3960 | 4170 | 4552 | 4730 |

figure of 3,682 had been an increase of $76 \%$ from the 2000 figure of 2,091 part-time graduate students in Group M. The standard error for parttime graduate students in Group M departments is 806 , indicating huge differences in the number of part-time graduate students from department to department. This also means we can expect to see large differences from year to year in the total number of part-time graduate students in all groups. For Group M, $44 \%$ of the part-time graduate students are females, and $82 \%$ are U.S. citizens.

Table 6B gives the total number of full-time, of full-time first-year, of full-time female, of full-time male, of full-time U.S. citizen, and of full-time nonU.S. citizen graduate students in Groups I, II, III, and Va combined for fall 1993 through 2002. All of these had increases this year compared to 2001. All of these had substantial increases from 1999 to 2000, with a leveling off from 2000 to 2001. This year showed increases again in every category.

## Previous Annual Survey Reports

The 2002 Annual Survey First and Second Reports were published in the Notices of the AMS in the February and August 2003 issues respectively. For the last version of this report, the 2001 Annual Survey Third Report was published in the Notices of the AMS in the September 2002 issue. These reports and earlier reports, as well as a wealth of other information from these surveys, are available on the AMS website at www.ams.org/ employment/surveyreports.htm7.
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## Definitions of the Groups

As has been the case for a number of years, much of the data in these reports is presented for departments divided into groups according to several characteristics, the principal one being the highest degree offered in the mathematical sciences. Doctoral-granting departments of mathematics are further subdivided according to their ranking of "scholarly quality of program faculty" as reported in the 1995 publication Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change. ${ }^{1}$ These rankings update those reported in a previous study published in $1982 .{ }^{2}$ Consequently, the departments which now comprise Groups I, II, and III differ significantly from those used prior to the 1996 survey.

The subdivision of the Group I institutions into Group I Public and Group I Private was new for the 1996 survey. With the increase in number of the Group I departments from 39 to 48, the Data Committee judged that a further subdivision of public and private would provide more meaningful reporting of the data for these departments.

Brief descriptions of the groupings are as follows:
Group I is composed of 48 departments with scores in the 3.00-5.00 range. Group I Public and Group I Private are Group I departments at public institutions and private institutions respectively.
Group II is composed of 56 departments with scores in the 2.00-2.99 range.

Group III contains the remaining U.S. departments reporting a doctoral program, including a number of departments not included in the 1995 ranking of program faculty.
Group IV contains U.S. departments (or programs) of statistics, biostatistics, and biometrics reporting a doctoral program.
Group V contains U.S. departments (or programs) in applied mathematics/applied science, operations research, and management science which report a doctoral program.
Group Va is applied mathematics/applied science; Group Vb, which is no longer surveyed as of 1998-99, was operations research and management science.
Group M contains U.S. departments granting a master's degree as the highest graduate degree.
Group B contains U.S. departments granting a baccalaureate degree only.
Listings of the actual departments which comprise these groups are available on the AMS website at www.ams.org/employment/surveyreports.htm1.

[^4]
[^0]:    Ellen E. Kirkman is professor of mathematics, Wake Forest University. James W. Maxwell is AMS associate executive director for Membership and Programs. Kinda Remick Priestley is AMS survey analyst.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Number of full-time doctoral positions under recruitment in 2001-2002 to be filled for 2002-2003.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The current survey requests the number of positions posted and the number open to new doctoral recipients. As some positions are open to both new and not new doctoral recipients, there is no way to use the data collected to identify positions open to not new doctoral recipients only.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prior to 1999, Group Va was combined with Group Vb, which is no longer surveyed. Separate Group Va figures for these years are not available.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change, edited by Marvin L. Goldberger, Brendan A. Maher, and Pamela Ebert Flattau, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1995.
    ${ }^{2}$ These findings were published in An Assessment of ResearchDoctorate Programs in the United States: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, edited by Lyle V. Jones, Gardner Lindzey, and Porter E. Coggeshall, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1982. The information on mathematics, statistics, and computer science was presented in digest form in the April 1983 issue of the Notices, pages 257-67, and an analysis of the classifications was given in the June 1983 Notices, pages 392-3.

