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Don O. Loftsgaarden, James W. Maxwell, and Kinda Remick Priestley

This Third Report of the 2001 Survey gives information about faculty size, departmental enrollments, majors, and graduate students for departments of mathematical sciences in four-year colleges and universities in the United States. Prior to 2000, these data were included as part of the Second Report.

The 2001 Annual Survey represents the forty-fifth in an annual series begun in 1957 by the American Mathematical Society. The 2001 Survey is under the direction of the Data Committee, a joint committee of the American Mathematical Society, the American Statistical Association, the Institute of Mathematical Statistics, and the Mathematical Association of America. The current members of this committee are Lorraine Denby, J. Douglas Faires, Mary W. Gray, Alexander J. Hahn, Peter E. Haskell, G. Samuel Jordan, Stephen F. Kennedy, Ellen E. Kirkman, Don O. Loftsgaarden (chair), and James W. Maxwell (ex officio). The committee is assisted by AMS survey analyst Kinda Remick Priestley and survey coordinator Colleen Rose. Comments or suggestions regarding this Survey Report may be directed to the committee.

## Introduction

The Annual Survey of the Mathematical Sciences collects information each year about departments, faculties, and students in the mathematical sciences at four-year colleges and universities in the United States. Definitions of the various groups surveyed in the Annual Survey can be found in the box on page 944 of this report. Departments in the former Group Vb are no longer surveyed. (See the 1999 First Report in the February 2000 Notices of the $A M S$.) We present information about the faculties and instructional programs at the undergraduate and graduate levels in these departments for the 2001-2002 academic year. For 1999-2000 and earlier years, these data were presented as part of the Second Report.

Information about departments was gathered on a questionnaire called the Departmental Profile. This questionnaire was mailed to all departments in Groups I, II, III, IV, and Va and to stratified random samples from Groups M and B. The percentage of the departments responding in each of the doctoral groups was between 90 and 100 percent. Prior to this year, if doctoral departments did not respond, simple projections were made to the whole population using the data from those departments who did respond. Beginning this year, if a department did not return the Departmental Profile questionnaire but had returned one within the last two years, the data from the most recent questionnaire was used. This change in procedure will produce even more accurate results than those in past reports for these doctoral departments.

The Departmental Profile questionnaire is mailed to a stratified random sample of departments drawn from each of Groups M and B and standard statistical projections are made using the data from the respondents. The stratification for Groups M and B is based on the enrollment of the school and whether it is a public or a private school. This year, for the first time, standard errors are calculated for several of the more important projections made in Groups M and $B$ and these standard errors are reported. The box on page 935 discusses these standard errors in more detail.

[^0]
## Remarks on New Statistical Procedures

This report is based on information gathered from departments of mathematical sciences in the U.S., separated into groups by highest degree granted as defined on page 944. Groups for doctoral-granting departments are I(Public), I(Private), II, III, IV, and Va. Groups M and B consist of those departments offering masters and bachelors degrees, respectively.

While the questionnaire on which this report is based is sent to every doctoral department, it is sent to a stratified random sample in Group M and B departments.

The response rate is typically between 90 and 100 percent for the doctoral groups. Prior to this year, simple projections were made using the questionnaires that were returned to get estimated totals for the entire population. After a couple of years of experimentation a new procedure is being used. If a doctoral department did not return their questionnaire this year but had returned one within the past two years, those numbers were used as their response for the current year. This procedure will give us even more accurate estimates than we have gotten in the past.

The stratified random sampling procedures used for Groups M and B were put in place three years ago. Beginning this year, standard errors have been calculated for some of the key estimates. Standard errors are calculated using the variability in the data, and can be used to crudely measure how closely our estimate is to the true value for the population. As an example, the number of fulltime faculty in Group M is estimated at 4,336 with a standard error of 118 . This means the actual number of full-time faculty in Group M is most likely between 4,336 plus or minus two standard errors, or between 4,100 and 4,572 . This is much more informative than simply giving the estimate of 4,336 .

Estimates are also given for parameters that are totals from all groups, such as the total number of full-time faculty. The values given for the doctoral groups are assumed to be the true parameters for these groups because they are not sampled and hence are not subject to sampling variability. The only variability in a total of several groups comes from the sampling for Groups $M$ and $B$. Using the standard errors for $M$ and $B$, it is possible to calculate a standard error for the total. For example, an estimate of the total number of full-time faculty in all groups but group IV is 19,712, with a standard error of 285.

Standard errors, when calculated for an estimate, appear in the tables in parentheses underneath the estimate.

## Highlights

Standard errors for key estimates in Groups M and B are calculated for the first time this year.

Groups I, II, III, and Va had 2,314 full-time doctoral positions available, of which 1,618 were tenured/tenuretrack. Groups $M$ and $B$ had 1,514 full-time doctoral positions available, of which 1,233 were tenured/tenure-track.

Of the 2,051 positions which were open to new doctoral recipients, 1,459 were tenured/tenure-track, up $27.3 \%$ from the 1,146 such positions under recruitment in 1999-2000.

Groups I, II, III, and Va hired 312 new doctoral recipients for fall 2001 and 59 (18.9\%) filled tenured/tenure-track positions. Groups $M$ and $B$ hired 374 new doctoral recipients and 259 (69.3\%) filled tenured/tenure-track positions.

The estimated number of full-time faculty for all groups surveyed is 21,128 , only 38 less than reported last year. The number of females is 5,135 ; the number having a doctorate is 17,753 ; the number of doctoral non-tenure-track is 2,188 .

Detailed information is given in this report about the 3,338 non-doctoral full-time faculty and the 8,057 part-time faculty in all groups except Group IV.

The number of junior/senior majors in Groups I, II, III, Va, $M$, and $B$ is 58,900 , down 1,000 from last year.

Groups I, II, III, and Va had slightly more full-time firstyear graduate students and non-U.S. citizen full-time graduate students than last year and are down slightly for other types of graduate students.

Standard errors for Group M departments are large for all types of graduate students. This makes possible substantial increases or decreases in Group M numbers from year to year simply due to the sampling variability, rather than any real changes.

## Faculty Profile

The Departmental Profile, sent in fall 2001 to mathematical sciences departments at four-year colleges and universities as part of the Annual Survey, gathered information about faculties at these schools, which is reported in this section. The 2001 First Report presented data collected earlier about faculty salaries (pages 217-231 of the February 2002 issue of the Notices of the AMS.)

## Faculty Attrition

Table 1 displays losses of full-time mathematical sciences faculty due to retirements and deaths. The fall 2001 mathematics faculty attrition rate for Groups I, II, III, Va, M, and B combined was 2.9\% compared with fall 2000, 1999, and 1998 values of $3.0 \%, 3.0 \%$, and $3.1 \%$. Group I Private had the lowest attrition rate at $0.5 \%$ and Group B the highest at $3.6 \%$. These rates vary quite a bit from year to year for each of the groups. Figure 1 shows the trend in this attrition rate for mathematics departments during the years 1986 to 2001.

Table 1: Faculty Attrition,' Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { I } \\ \text { Public } \end{gathered}$ | I <br> Private | II | III | Va | I, II, III, \& Va | M | B | $\begin{gathered} \text { I, II, III, } \\ \text { Va, M, \& B } \end{gathered}$ | IV |
| Full-time faculty who retired or died <br> Total number <br> (Standard error) <br> Percentage | 32 1.8 | 4 0.5 | 74 3.0 | 49 2.4 | 9 3.1 | 168 2.3 | $\begin{array}{r} 113 \\ (12) \\ 2.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 288 \\ (42) \\ 3.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 569 \\ (43) \\ 2.9 \end{array}$ | 25 1.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Number and percentage of full-time faculty who were in the department in fall 2000 but were reported to have retired or died by fall 2001.
Figure 1: Percent of Full-Time Doctoral Faculty Who Retired or Died in Groups I, II, III, Va, M, \& B, Fall 1986 to Fall 2001


Table 2A: Recruitment of Doctoral Faculty, Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { Public }}{\text { I }}$ | $\underset{\text { Private }}{\text { I }}$ | II | III | Va | $\begin{gathered} \text { I, II, III, } \\ \& \mathrm{Va} \end{gathered}$ | M | B | $\begin{gathered} \text { I, II, III, } \\ \text { Va, M, \& B } \end{gathered}$ | IV |
| Posted Doctoral Positions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number ${ }^{1}$ | 235 | 128 | 222 | 182 | 33 | 800 | 476 | 1038 | 2314 | 203 |
| (Standard error) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (49) | (83) | (96) |  |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 86 | 38 | 107 | 137 | 17 | 385 | 436 | 797 | 1618 | 144 |
| Open to new doctoral recipients | 152 | 105 | 174 | 140 | 26 | 597 | 473 | 981 | 2051 | 143 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 40 | 21 | 81 | 113 | 12 | 267 | 424 | 768 | 1459 | 114 |
| Open at assoc/full level | 45 | 15 | 29 | 42 | 7 | 138 | 93 | 101 | 332 | 75 |
| Reported Hires for Above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number | 208 | 119 | 186 | 137 | 29 | 679 | 342 | 844 | 1865 | 131 |
| Male doctoral hires | 171 | 100 | 144 | 102 | 28 | 545 | 204 | 438 | 1187 | 85 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 62 | 26 | 61 | 70 | 12 | 231 | 178 | 257 | 666 | 57 |
| Female doctoral hires | 32 | 19 | 37 | 32 | 1 | 121 | 88 | 225 | 434 | 45 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 7 | 6 | 11 | 25 | 1 | 50 | 79 | 167 | 296 | 27 |
| Male nondoctoral hires | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 118 | 149 | 1 |
| Female nondoctoral hires | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 26 | 66 | 97 | 0 |
| Total new doctoral hires | 97 | 83 | 75 | 44 | 13 | 312 | 112 | 262 | 686 | 54 |
| Male new doctoral hires | 79 | 69 | 57 | 32 | 12 | 249 | 63 | 185 | 497 | 29 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 3 | 6 | 9 | 25 | 5 | 48 | 56 | 103 | 207 | 29 |
| Female new doctoral hires | 18 | 14 | 18 | 12 | 1 | 63 | 49 | 77 | 189 | 25 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 48 | 52 | 111 | 16 |
| Unfilled positions | 28 | 8 | 36 | 45 | 4 | 121 | 135 | 193 | 449 | 73 |
| Temporarily filled positions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 7 | 10 | 25 | 13 | 2 | 57 | 58 | 148 | 263 | 7 |
| Female | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 45 | 103 | 159 | 5 |

${ }^{1}$ Number of full-time doctoral positions under recruitment in 2000-2001 to be filled for 2001-2002.
such information has been reported by the Annual Survey and there are some interesting and surprising results found in these data.

Table 2B condenses the information in Table 2 A . It also reorganizes the doctoral hires into one section for new doctoral hires, and another for other doctoral hires. Table 2C is derived from Table 2B with the percentage of the filled positions that were tenured/tenure-track included in the table.

From Table 2B we find that Groups I, II, III, and Va combined filled 666 doctoral positions of which 281 (42.2\%) were tenured/tenure-track positions. Groups M and B combined filled 955 doctoral positions of which 681 (71.3\%) were tenured/tenuretrack. From Table 2C we see that these same two percentages for new doctoral recipients only are $18.9 \%$ and $69.3 \%$ respectively. For other doctoral hires these same two percentages are $62.7 \%$ and $72.6 \%$ respectively.

From Table 2B we find that of the new doctoral recipients hired in groups I, II, III, and Va combined, $19.3 \%$ of the males and $17.5 \%$ of the females took tenured/tenure-track positions. For new doctoral recipients hired in Groups M and B combined, $64.1 \%$ of the males and $79.4 \%$ of the females took tenured/tenure-track positions. Even though 44.7\% of the positions available in doctoral departments (Groups I, II, III, and Va) for new doctoral recipients were tenure-track positions, only $18.9 \%$ of the new doctoral recipients hired were given tenured/tenuretrack positions. At the same time, 354 of those hired were not new doctoral recipients and $62.7 \%$ had tenured/tenure-track positions.

Figure 2 shows the number of full-time doctoral positions available in all groups except Group IV, as well as the number of those that were tenured/tenure-track and the number unfilled for the years 1990 to 2001. There was a sharp decrease in available positions in the first three years of the decade of the 1990s, but the number of positions and the number of tenured/tenure-track positions have been increasing since then.

## Faculty Size

Table 3A gives the number of faculty for different categories of faculty broken down by group. Table 3B gives the same information for females only. The estimated total number of full-time faculty in Groups I, II, III, Va, M, and B combined is 19,712, down 67 from last year. The standard error for the 19,712 , available for the first time this year, is 285. We can be quite confident that the actual total number of faculty in these groups is in the interval 19,712 +/- 570. The doctoral departments I, II, III, and Va were up 133 full-time faculty members, Group M was down 439 faculty members, and Group B was up 239. In a periodic reclassification of departments, several of last years Group M de-
partments became Group B departments. This probably accounts for much of the drop in full-time faculty members at Group M departments and some of the increase in faculty at Group B departments. The standard errors for the total number of full-time faculty in Groups M and B are 118 and 260 respectively. These indicate there is substantial variation in the number of full-time faculty members in the departments in Groups M and B, even in departments at schools that have approximately the same total enrollments. The standard error for Group M indicates that there is a real drop in the number of faculty members in Group M departments. Although Group B was up 239 fulltime faculty this year, there may not be a actual change as this increase is well within the variability we expect with a standard error of 260 .

Table 3C gives some percentages based on the information in tables 3A and 3B.

The number of non-tenure-track doctoral fulltime faculty and the number of part-time faculty

Table 2B: A Summary of Recruitment of Doctoral Faculty, Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I, II, III, \& Va | M \& B | IV |
| Posted Doctoral Positions |  |  |  |
| Total number | 800 | 1514 | 203 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 385 | 1233 | 144 |
| Open to new doctoral recipients | 597 | 1454 | 143 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 267 | 1192 | 114 |
| Reported Hires for Above |  |  |  |
| Total new doctoral hires | 312 | 374 | 54 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 59 | 259 | 45 |
| Male | 249 | 248 | 29 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 48 | 159 | 29 |
| Female | 63 | 126 | 25 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 11 | 100 | 16 |
| Total not new doctoral hires | 354 | 581 | 76 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 222 | 422 | 39 |
| Male | 296 | 394 | 56 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 183 | 276 | 28 |
| Female | 58 | 187 | 20 |
| Tenured/tenure-track | 39 | 146 | 11 |

Table 2C: Percentage Tenured/Tenure-track for Positions Posted and Filled, Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | I, II, III, \& Va | M \& B | IV |
| New Doctoral Positions |  |  |  |
| Positions posted | 597 | 1454 | 143 |
| \% tenured/tenure-track | 44.7 | 82.0 | 79.7 |
| Positions filled | 312 | 374 | 54 |
| \% tenured/tenure-track | 18.9 | 69.3 | 83.3 |
| Not New Doctoral Positions |  |  |  |
| Positions posted | --- | --- | -- |
| \% tenured/tenure-track |  |  |  |
| Positions filled | 354 | 581 | 76 |
| \% tenured/tenure-track | 62.7 | 72.6 | 51.3 |

Figure 2: Number of Full-Time Doctoral Positions under Recruitment: Total, Tenured/Tenure-Track, and Unfilled in Groups I, II, III, Va, M, \& B Combined, Fall 1990 to Fall 2001


Note: The tenured/tenure-track status of positions under recruitment was not surveyed until 1992.
have been increasing in recent years. Table 3D gives a six-year history of these two types of faculty for Groups I, II, III, and Va combined, for Group M and for Group B. Also shown for each number in this table is the percentage of females. This increase in non-tenure-track full-time doctoral positions continues a disturbing trend reported in "Changes in Mathematics Faculty Composition, Fall 1990-Fall 1996" (James W. Maxwell, Notices of the $A M S$, November 1997, pages 1321-3). Tables 2B and 2C have information in them that may help in understanding this issue. They give details about the doctoral hires for fall 2001 and how many were tenured/tenure-track positions. There has also been substantial growth in part-time faculty in recent years.

Table 3A: Faculty Size, Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { Public }}{\text { I }}$ | I <br> Private | II | III | Va | $\begin{gathered} \text { I, II, III, } \\ \text { \& Va } \end{gathered}$ | M | B | $\begin{gathered} \text { I, II, III, } \\ \text { Va, M, \& B } \end{gathered}$ | IV |
| Full-time faculty (Standard error) | 1746 | 902 | 2456 | 2055 | 273 | 7432 | $\begin{aligned} & 4336 \\ & (118) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7944 \\ & (260) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19712 \\ (285) \end{array}$ | 1416 |
| Doctoral full-time faculty | 1670 | 898 | 2205 | 1786 | 272 | 6831 | 3374 | 6169 | 16374 | 1379 |
| Tenured | 1171 | 537 | 1613 | 1318 | 139 | 4778 | 2346 | 4115 | 11239 | 836 |
| Untenured, tenure-track | 149 | 89 | 248 | 305 | 29 | 820 | 845 | 1550 | 3215 | 275 |
| Non-tenure-track (Standard error) | 350 | 272 | 344 | 163 | 104 | 1233 | $\begin{aligned} & 183 \\ & (24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 504 \\ & (73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ (76) \end{array}$ | 268 |
| Non-doctoral full-time faculty | 76 | 4 | 251 | 269 | 1 | 601 | 962 | 1775 | 3338 | 37 |
| Part-time faculty (Standard error) | 214 | 53 | 459 | 719 | 22 | 1467 | $\begin{aligned} & 2393 \\ & (262) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4197 \\ & (297) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8057 \\ & (396) \end{aligned}$ | 171 |

Table 3B: Female Faculty Size, Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { Public }}{\text { I }}$ | I Private | II | III | Va | $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{III},$ $\& \mathrm{Va}$ | M | B | $\begin{gathered} \text { I, II, III, } \\ \text { Va, M, \& B } \end{gathered}$ | IV |
| Full-time female faculty | 209 | 92 | 409 | 407 | 27 | 1144 | 1368 | 2283 | 4795 | 340 |
| Doctoral full-time female faculty | 165 | 90 | 248 | 260 | 27 | 790 | 769 | 1527 | 3086 | 321 |
| Tenured | 73 | 26 | 119 | 142 | 7 | 367 | 465 | 870 | 1702 | 121 |
| Untenured, tenure-track | 21 | 12 | 45 | 79 | 6 | 163 | 261 | 510 | 934 | 94 |
| Non-tenure-track | 71 | 52 | 84 | 39 | 14 | 260 | 43 | 147 | 450 | 106 |
| Non-doctoral full-time faculty | 44 | 2 | 161 | 147 | 0 | 354 | 599 | 756 | 1709 | 19 |
| Part-time female faculty | 89 | 10 | 173 | 275 | 7 | 554 | 895 | 1803 | 3252 | 57 |

Table 3C: Number and Percentage of Full-Time Faculty, Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { I } \\ \text { Public } \end{gathered}$ | Private | II | III | Va | M | B | IV | Total All Groups |
| Full-Time Faculty | 1746 | 902 | 2456 | 2055 | 273 | 4336 | 7944 | 1416 |  |
| Percentage of total full-time faculty | 8.3 | 4.3 | 11.6 | 9.7 | 1.3 | 20.5 | 37.6 | 6.7 | 2100.0 |
| Female Full-Time Faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 209 | 92 | 409 | 407 | 27 | 1368 | 2283 | 340 | 5135 |
| Percentage of female full-time faculty | 4.1 | 1.8 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 0.5 | 26.6 | 44.5 | 6.6 | 100.0 |
| Female Full-Time Faculty Percentage female full-time faculty by group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.0 | 10.2 | 16.7 | 19.8 | 10.0 | 31.5 | 28.7 | 24.0 | 24.3 |

Table 3D: Number, and Percentage of Those Female, of Non-tenure-track Doctoral Full-Time Faculty and Part-Time Faculty by Group, Fall 1996 to Fall 2001

|  | 1996 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Groups I, II, III, \& Va |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-tenure-track doctoral full-time faculty | 672 | 708 | 904 | 1014 | 993 | 1233 |
| Percentage female | 24.9 | 21.5 | 20.7 | 21.7 | 20.6 | 21.1 |
| Part-time faculty | 1093 | 954 | 1141 | 1217 | 1399 | 1467 |
| Percentage female | 36.7 | 36.8 | 38.0 | 37.8 | 37.0 | 37.8 |
| Group M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-tenure-track doctoral full-time faculty | 138 | 216 | 140 | 146 | 262 | 183 |
| Percentage female | 23.9 | 29.6 | 27.1 | 56.2 | 29.0 | 23.5 |
| Part-time faculty | 1879 | 1612 | 1768 | 1906 | 2323 | 2393 |
| $\quad$ Percentage female | 41.4 | 45.5 | 42.8 | 35.2 | 36.2 | 37.4 |
| Group B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-tenure-track doctoral full-time faculty | 419 | 385 | 427 | 514 | 407 | 504 |
| Percentage female | 22.9 | 26.2 | 31.1 | 23.7 | 30.2 | 29.2 |
| Part-time faculty | 3055 | 3107 | 3585 | 3298 | 3580 | 4197 |
| Percentage female | 44.0 | 46.0 | 42.3 | 40.7 | 40.4 | 43.0 |

Table 3E gives a summary of the various types of faculty found in departments of mathematical sciences by sex and group. Non-doctoral full-time faculty have been added to this table this year and make the partition of full-time faculty complete.

Tables 3F and 3G give more information about two types of faculty: full-time faculty without a doctorate and part-time faculty. The top half Table 3 F is a somewhat condensed version of the doctoral full-time faculty in Table 3A broken down by sex. The bottom half of Table 3F shows this same information for the 3,338 full-time faculty who do not have doctoral degrees. The majority of these faculty, 2,737 (82.0\%), are found in Group $M$ and $B$ departments.

Table 3E: Summary of Full-Time and Part-Time Faculty by Sex, Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | I, II, III, \& Va |  | M \& B |  | IV |  |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Full-time faculty | 6288 | 1144 | 8629 | 3651 | 1076 | 340 |
| Percentage | 84.6 | 15.4 | 70.3 | 29.7 | 76.0 | 24.0 |
| Doctoral full-time faculty | 6041 | 790 | 7247 | 2296 | 1058 | 321 |
|  | 88.4 | 11.6 | 75.9 | 24.1 | 76.7 | 23.3 |
| Tenured | 4411 | 367 | 5126 | 1335 | 715 | 121 |
| Percentage | 92.3 | 7.7 | 79.3 | 20.7 | 85.5 | 14.5 |
| Untenured, tenure-track | 657 | 163 | 1624 | 771 | 181 | 94 |
| Percentage | 80.1 | 19.9 | 67.8 | 32.2 | 65.8 | 34.2 |
| Non-tenure-track | 973 | 260 | 497 | 190 | 162 | 106 |
| Percentage | 78.9 | 21.1 | 72.3 | 27.7 | 60.4 | 39.6 |
| Non-doctoral full-time faculty | 247 | 354 | 1382 | 1355 | 18 | 19 |
| Percentage | 41.1 | 58.9 | 50.5 | 49.5 | 48.6 | 51.4 |
| Part-time faculty | 913 | 554 | 3892 | 2698 | 114 | 57 |
| Percentage | 62.2 | 37.8 | 59.1 | 40.9 | 66.7 | 33.3 |

Table 3F: Doctoral and Non-doctoral Full-Time Faculty Size, Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | I, II, III, \& Va |  | M \& B |  | Total |  |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
|  | $\mathbf{6 0 4 1}$ | 790 | 7247 | $\mathbf{2 2 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 8 8}$ | 3086 |
| Tenured | 4411 | 367 | 5126 | 1335 | 9537 | 1702 |
| Untenured, tenure-track | 657 | 163 | 1624 | 771 | 2281 | 934 |
| Non-tenure-track | 973 | 260 | 497 | 190 | 1470 | 450 |
| Non-doctoral full-time faculty | 247 | 354 | 1382 | $\mathbf{1 3 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 0 9}$ |
| Tenured | 22 | 15 | 516 | 262 | 538 | 277 |
| Untenured, tenure-track | 4 | 3 | 149 | 171 | 153 | 174 |
| Non-tenure-track | 221 | 336 | 717 | 922 | 938 | 1258 |

faculty varies considerable among the groups, from $10.2 \%$ and $10.0 \%$ for Groups I Private and Va to $31.5 \%$ and $28.7 \%$ for Groups $M$ and $B$ respectively. This is the same pattern as reported last year. Note: In Table 3C, the percentages for each group in rows 2 and 4 are of the row totals. The percentages in row 6 are column percentages using the numbers in rows 1 and 3.

Table 3D contains information about non-tenure-track doctoral

There are 8,057 part-time faculty in the mathematical sciences departments in Groups I, II, III, Va, M , and B. Table 3G shows where these part-time faculty are found broken down by sex and whether they have a doctoral degree.

With the addition of new information gathered in this years annual survey, and the addition of three new tables in this section, this report contains the most complete picture of the faculty in mathematical sciences in the U.S. that has ever been published in this series of reports.

## Faculty Profile for Females

Table 3B gives a complete breakdown of all categories of female faculty by group. The total number of full-time faculty in all groups for 2001-2002 is 21,128 , of which $5,135(24.3 \%)$ are females.

Table 3C shows the number and percentage of all full-time and female full-time faculty that fall in each group for 2001-2002. The number of faculty in each group and the percentage who are female is given in the bottom section of Table 3C. The number of females as a percentage of full-time

Table 3G: Part-Time Faculty Size, Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I, II, III, \& Va |  |  |  |  |  | M \& B |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |
|  | 384 | 142 | 833 | 222 | 1581 |  |  |  |  |
| Non-doctoral full-time faculty | 529 | 412 | 3059 | 2476 | $\mathbf{6 4 7 6}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 913 | 554 | 3892 | 2698 | 8057 |  |  |  |  |

full-time faculty and part-time faculty for the years 1996 to 2001 for Groups I, II, III, and Va combined, M , and B . This table includes the total number for each category as well as the percentage female for each number.

Table 3E gives the male/female breakdown by count and percentage for Groups I, II, III, and Va combined for various categories of faculty in columns 2 and 3. The same breakdowns are given for Groups M and B combined in columns 4 and 5 and for Group IV in columns 6 and 7.

Table 3F shows that of the 3,338 non-doctoral full-time faculty in Groups I, II, III, Va, M, and B, $1,709(51.2 \%)$ are females. In Table 3G we see that in these same groups there are 8,057 part-time faculty, of which 3,252 (40.4\%) are females.

## Enrollment Profile and Undergraduate Majors Profile

## Enrollment

The Departmental Profile Survey obtained information about enrollments and distribution of instructional effort among various course categories in mathematical sciences departments. Table 4A gives the total undergraduate and total graduate enrollments in mathematics courses for each group that is part of the Annual Survey. Each enrollment in this and other tables in this section is projected from schools responding to the survey as discussed on page 934. In fall 2001, for the third year,

Table 4A: Undergraduate and Graduate Enrollments (thousands), Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { I } \\ \text { Public } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{I}{\text { Private }}$ | II | III | Va | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{III}, \\ & \& \mathrm{Va}, \end{aligned}$ | M | B | IV | Total All Groups |
| Undergraduate Course Enrollments Total number (thousands) (Standard error) | 176 | 42 | 279 | 246 | 12 | 755 | $\begin{aligned} & 513 \\ & \text { (19) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 743 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | 81 | $\begin{array}{r} 2092 \\ (32) \end{array}$ |
| Graduate Course Enrollments Total number (thousands) | 7 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 32 | 14 |  | 26 | 72 |

Table 4B: Distribution of Undergraduate Enrollments (thousands), Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { Public }}{\text { I }}$ | I <br> Private | II |  | III |  | Va |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { I, II, III, } \\ \& \text { Va } \end{gathered}$ |  | M |  | B |  | IV |  | Total All Groups |
| Remedial Mathematics ${ }^{1}$ <br> Total number (thousands), ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ | 127 | 01 | 17 | 6 | 31 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 8 | 80 | 16 | 101 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 24112 |
| Precalculus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number (thousands), \% | 3319 | 13 | 67 | 24 | 59 |  | 1 |  | 161 | 22 | 129 | 25 | 144 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 43521 |
| 1 st -Year Calculus (mainstream) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number (thousands), \% | 4626 | 1536 | 53 | 19 | 35 |  | 3 | 23 | 152 | 20 | 46 | 9 | 95 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 29314 |
| 1 st-Year Calculus (nonmainstream) Total number (thousands), \% | $20 \quad 12$ | 511 | 34 | 12 | 25 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 34 | 6 | 28 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1477 |
| Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number (thousands), \% | 31 | 25 | 13 | 5 | 18 | 7 | 2 | 21 |  | 5 | 49 | 10 | 85 | 11 | 76 | 93 | 24812 |
| Computer Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number (thousands), \% | 21 | $0 \quad 1$ | 1 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 2 |  | 2 | 24 | 5 | 72 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1095 |
| Other Enrollments for Majors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number (thousands), \% | 3621 | 1125 | 42 | 15 | 30 | 12 | 4 | 32 | 123 |  | 45 | 9 | 76 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 24612 |
| Remaining Undergraduate Enroll. Total number (thousands), \% | 2413 | 818 | 52 | 19 | 38 | 16 | 2 | 15 | 124 |  | 106 | 21 | 142 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 37318 |
| Total Enrollments | 176 | 42 | 279 |  | 246 |  | 12 |  | 755 |  | 513 |  | 743 |  | 81 |  | 2092 |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Arithmetic, high school algebra, geometry.
${ }^{2}$ Percents are "column percents" describing relative enrollments within the respective survey groups of the different types of undergraduate courses.
the projections for Groups M and B were made from those schools responding in the stratified random sample for each of these groups. This makes it possible to calculate standard errors for the estimated enrollments for these groups and for the estimated total enrollment for all groups. This was done for the first time for fall 2001 and these standard errors are also found in Table 4A. The estimated total enrollment for all groups is $2,092,000$ with a standard error of 32,000 indicating that the actual total enrollment is likely within 2,092,000 +/- 64,000.

Table 4B presents a further breakdown of the undergraduate enrollments into eight categories of courses. For each group, the percentage of the total enrollment in the group that is in each of these eight categories is also given. Column totals in Table 4B give the total enrollments for each group, and they are the numbers given in the first row of Table 4A. Table 4C gives these totals for fall 1996 to fall

Table 4C: Total Undergraduate Enrollments (thousands), Fall 1996 to Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I <br> Public | I <br> Private | II | III | Va | M | B | IV | Total |  |
| 1996 | $25^{2}$ | 245 | 212 | $21^{2}$ | 589 | 705 | 98 | 2085 |  |  |
| 1997 | 173 | 42 | 247 | 220 | $24^{2}$ | 561 | 701 | 69 | 2037 |  |
| 1998 | 182 | 43 | 258 | 214 | $20^{2}$ | 585 | 741 | 78 | 2121 |  |
| 1999 | 182 | 45 | 271 | 251 | 13 | 568 | 810 | 92 | 2232 |  |
| 2000 | 175 | 47 | 279 | 241 | 13 | 526 | 729 | 77 | 2087 |  |
| 2001 | 176 | 42 | 279 | 246 | 12 | 513 | 743 | 81 | 2092 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Prior to 1997, Group I was not separated into Public and Private.
2 Prior to 1999, Group Va was combined with Group Vb, which is no longer surveyed. Separate Group Va figures for these years are not available.

Table 4D: Distribution of Undergraduate Enrollments (thousands), Fall 1992 to Fall 2001

|  | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Remedial Mathematics | 300 | 294 | 279 | 275 | 269 | 274 | 322 | 281 | 265 |
| Precalculus | 356 | 341 | 342 | 336 | 332 | 303 | 347 | 429 | 403 |
| 1 st-Year Calculus (mainstream) | 315 | 319 | 298 | 314 | 312 | 309 | 325 | 321 | 309 |
| 1 st-Year Calculus (nonmainstream) | 127 | 138 | 131 | 145 | 144 | 146 | 148 | 151 | 154 |
| Statistics | 213 | 215 | 199 | 209 | 218 | 233 | 233 | 282 | 236 |
| Computer Science | 141 | 111 | 119 | 108 | 119 | 113 | 116 | 142 | 129 |
| Other Enrollments for Majors | 270 | 258 | 233 | 257 | 263 | 233 | 218 | 235 | 220 |
| Remaining Undergraduate Enroll. | 392 | 353 | 353 | 411 | 428 | 426 | 412 | 391 | 371 |
| Total Enrollments | 2114 | 2029 | 1954 | 2055 | 2085 | 2037 | 2121 | 2232 | 2087 |

Table 4E: Undergraduate and Graduate Enrollments per Full-Time Faculty Member, Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { Public }}{\text { I }}$ | I <br> Private | II | III | Va | M | B | IV |
| Undergraduate Course Enrollments Number per full-time faculty member | 101 | 47 | 114 | 120 | 41 | 118 | 94 | 57 |
| Graduate Course Enrollments <br> Number per full-time faculty member | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 3 |  | 18 |

2001. Row totals in Table 4B give the total enrollments in each of the eight categories of courses for all mathematical sciences departments. Table 4D shows these same enrollments for fall 1992 to fall 2001. In the annual reports for 1999 and 2000, the

Table 4F: Undergraduate Enrollments per Full-Time Faculty Member, Fall 1996 to Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I <br> Public | I <br> Private | II | III | Va $^{2}$ | M | B | IV |  |
| 1996 |  | $88^{1}$ | 110 | 108 | --- | 112 | 100 | 69 |  |
| 1997 | 110 | 52 | 115 | 113 | --- | 106 | 96 | 57 |  |
| 1998 | 109 | 52 | 114 | 108 | --- | 117 | 94 | 60 |  |
| 1999 | 115 | 54 | 111 | 122 | 43 | 127 | 114 | 68 |  |
| 2000 | 107 | 52 | 117 | 119 | 39 | 110 | 95 | 56 |  |
| 2001 | 101 | 47 | 114 | 120 | 41 | 118 | 94 | 57 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Prior to 1997, Group I was not separated into Public and Private.
2 Prior to 1999, Group Va was combined with Group Vb, which is no longer surveyed. Group Va figures for these years are not available.
authors said they felt that the 2,232,000 estimated total enrollment for fall 1999 was too high. With the standard error for total enrollment available in this report, (and assuming it would have had a similar value in fall 1999), it appears even more certain this is true. The estimated total enrollment for fall 1999 is probably at least 80,000 too many.

Table 4E gives the undergraduate enrollments per faculty member and the graduate enrollments per faculty member for each group. Table 4F gives the undergraduate enrollments per faculty member in each group for fall 1996 to fall 2001.

Looking at the historical data among the enrollment tables just presented for fall 1992 to fall 2001, no major trends can be seen. This has been a very stable decade for enrollments.

## Majors

Table 5A gives the number of junior/senior majors and the number of female junior/senior majors for each group. Table 5B gives the total number of junior/senior majors and female junior/senior majors for fall 1992 to fall 2001. The number of junior/senior mathematics majors in Groups I, II, III,

Table 5A: Undergraduate Junior/Senior Majors (hundreds), Fall 2001

|  | GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { Public }}{\text { I }}$ | I <br> Private | II | III | Va | M | B | $\begin{gathered} \text { I, II, III, } \\ \text { Va, M, \& B } \end{gathered}$ | IV |
| Total Undergraduate Junior/senior majors (hundreds) (Standard error) | 55 | 17 | 48 | 57 | 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 121 \\ \text { (9) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 287 \\ & (21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 589 \\ & (23) \end{aligned}$ | 11 |
| Female Undergraduate <br> Junior/senior majors (hundreds) | 20 | 5 | 20 | 23 | 1 | 53 | 120 | 242 | 4 |

Table 5B: Junior/Senior Majors (hundreds) in Groups I, II, III, Va, M \& B Combined, Fall 1992 to Fall

|  | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Undergraduate <br> Junior/senior majors (hundreds) | 732 | 696 | 669 | 678 | 631 | 596 | 590 | 568 | 599 |
| Female Undergraduate <br> Junior/senior majors (hundreds) <br> Percentage female | 320 | 301 | 287 | 286 | 273 | 257 | 255 | 248 | 244 |

Va, M, and B dropped from 73,200 in 1992 to 56,800 in 1999, but has been higher in the past two years with 59,900 in 2000 and 58,900 in 2001. The percentage of the junior/senior majors who are females remained relatively constant, near 43\% during the years 1991 to 1999, but dropped $3.0 \%$ in 2000 to $40.7 \%$. There was a slight increase in 2001 to $41.1 \%$.

The reader should be aware that at least 50 of the 202 departments in the 2001 Group M population and at least 260 of the 1,025 departments in the 2001 Group B population also offer a computer science program in addition to their offerings in mathematics. In some instances, these computer programs account for a major fraction of the department's undergraduate majors (and even the degrees awarded by the departments.) This year's Departmental Profile questionnaire was the first to request that departments give a break out of the computer science majors from the total majors. These data are not considered reliable enough to report this year. However, a preliminary analysis of the data clearly shows that the number of computer science majors is substantial.

The report of the 2000 CBMS survey, Statistical Abstract of Undergraduate Programs in the Mathematical Sciences in the U.S.: Fall 2000 CBMS Survey (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002), provides a more comprehensive study of departmental bachelors degrees.

## Graduate Student Profile

Table 6A summarizes information gathered about graduate students by the 2001 Departmental Profile survey. This table gives the number of full-time, full-time first year, and part-time graduate students for each type of graduate department. These same numbers are also given for female graduate students and for U.S. citizen graduate students.

The total number of full-time graduate students in Groups I, II, III, Va, and M combined held steady from 2000 to 2001 , with 12,123 and 12,127 respectively. In general, in Table 6A there were gains in Group I Private, M, and IV, with losses in the other groups. First-year full-time graduate students in Groups I, II, III, Va, and M combined increased by

Table 6A: Graduate Students, Fall 2001


Table 6B: Full-time Graduate Students in Groups I, II, III, \& Va by Sex and Citizenship, Fall 1992 to Fall 2001

|  | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total full-time graduate students | 10595 | 10525 | 10185 | 9761 | 9476 | 9003 | 8791 | 8838 | 9637 |
| First-year full-time graduate students | 2840 | 2762 | 2668 | 2601 | 2443 | 2386 | 2510 | 2664 | 2839 |
| Female full-time graduate students | 3045 | 2990 | 2927 | 2877 | 2760 | 2691 | 2770 | 2766 | 3016 |
| Male full-time graduate students | 7550 | 7535 | 7258 | 6884 | 6716 | 6312 | 6021 | 6072 | 6621 |
| U.S. citizen full-time graduate students | 6020 | 5865 | 5945 | 5623 | 5445 | 4947 | 4831 | 4668 | 5085 |
| Non-U.S. citizen full-time graduate students | 4575 | 4660 | 4240 | 4138 | 4031 | 4056 | 3960 | 4170 | 4552 |

301 to 4,111, an increase of $7.9 \%$. Full-time female graduate students in Groups I, II, III, Va, and M combined decreased from 4,184 to 4,088 , a $2.3 \%$ decrease. U.S. citizen full-time graduate students in these same groups decreased by $7.0 \%$ to 6,128 . There is a great deal of variability in the number

## Definitions of the Groups

As has been the case for a number of years, much of the data in these reports is presented for departments divided into groups according to several characteristics, the principal one being the highest degree offered in the mathematical sciences. Doctoral-granting departments of mathematics are further subdivided according to their ranking of "scholarly quality of program faculty" as reported in the 1995 publication Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change. ${ }^{1}$ These rankings update those reported in a previous study published in 1982.2 Consequently, the departments which now comprise Groups I, II, and III differ significantly from those used prior to the 1996 survey.

The subdivision of the Group I institutions into Group I Public and Group I Private was new for the 1996 survey. With the increase in number of the Group I departments from 39 to 48, the Data Committee judged that a further subdivision of public and private would provide more meaningful reporting of the data for these departments.

Brief descriptions of the groupings are as follows:
Group I is composed of 48 departments with scores in the 3.00-5.00 range. Group I Public and Group I Private are Group I departments at public institutions and private institutions respectively.
Group II is composed of 56 departments with scores in the 2.00-2.99 range.
Group III contains the remaining U.S. departments reporting a doctoral program, including a number of departments not included in the 1995 ranking of program faculty.
Group IV contains U.S. departments (or programs) of statistics, biostatistics, and biometrics reporting a doctoral program.
Group V contains U.S. departments (or programs) in applied mathematics/applied science, operations research, and management science which report a doctoral program.
Group Va is applied mathematics/applied science; Group Vb, which is no longer surveyed as of 1998-99, was operations research and management science.
Group M contains U.S. departments granting a master's degree as the highest graduate degree.
Group B contains U.S. departments granting a baccalaureate degree only.
Listings of the actual departments which comprise these groups are available on the AMS Website at www. ams.org/employment/.

[^1]of full-time graduate students in Group M, even in universities that are roughly the same size. Evidence of this is the standard error of 347. We can also expect substantial variation in the total number of all full-time graduate students from year to year due to the large variation in Group M.

Part-time graduate students in Groups I, II, III and Va decreased from 1,600 to 1,475 , down $7.8 \%$ from last year. Group III has 731 (49.6\%) of the part-time graduate students in these groups. In these doctoral groups, $38.9 \%$ of the part-time graduate students are females and $76.5 \%$ are U.S. citizens. Group M part-time graduate students increased from 2,091 to 3,682 , up $76.1 \%$. The standard error for part-time graduate students in Group M departments is 594, indicating huge differences in the number of part-time graduate students from department to department. This also means we can expect to see large differences from year to year in the total number of part-time graduate students in all groups. For Group M, 47.1\% of the part-time graduate students are females, and $85.6 \%$ are U.S. citizens.

Table 6B gives the total number of full-time, of full-time first-year, of full-time female, of full-time male, of full-time U.S. citizen, and of full-time nonU.S. citizen graduate students in Groups I, II, III, and Va combined for fall 1992 through 2001. All of these had substantial increases from 1999 to 2000 with a leveling off from 2000 to 2001. Only firstyear full-time graduate students and non-U.S. citizen full-time graduate students had increases this year, while the other four types of graduate students had small decreases.
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