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## Update on the 2001 New Doctoral Recipients

## Introduction

The Annual Survey of the Mathematical Sciences collects information each year about departments, faculties, and students in the mathematical sciences at four-year colleges and universities in the United States. Definitions of the various groups surveyed in the Annual Survey can be found in the box on page 814 of this report. Departments in the former Group Vb (operations research and management science) are no longer being surveyed.

This Second Report includes data from two parts of the 2001 Annual Survey. First, we update information about new doctoral recipients reported earlier in the February 2002 issue. Second, we present the starting salaries of the new doctoral recipients who responded to a follow-up survey. Prior to 2000 this report contained a third part presenting information about the faculties and instructional programs at the undergraduate and graduate levels in these departments for the 2001-2002 academic year. Starting with the 2000 survey, we chose to present this data in a separate report that will be published in the September issue of the Notices of the AMS.

The names of the 2000-2001 doctoral recipients and their thesis titles were published in "Doctoral Degrees Conferred" (Notices of the AMS, February 2002, pages 241-57). This list has been supplemented by 57 additional new doctorates that have been reported since the original list was published. The supplemental listing appears at the end of


#### Abstract

This Second Report of the 2001 Survey gives an update of the 2000-2001 new doctoral recipients from the First Report, which appeared in the Notices of the AMS in February 2002, pages 217-31. Prior to 2000 this report included information about faculty size, departmental enrollments, majors, and graduate students for departments of mathematical sciences in four-year colleges and universities in the United States. This information is now published as a third report in the September Notices of the AMS. The First Report gave salary data for faculty members in these same departments. It also had a section on new doctoral recipients in statistics that is not updated here.

The 2001 Annual Survey represents the forty-fifth in an annual series begun in 1957 by the American Mathematical Society. The 2001 Survey is under the direction of the Annual Survey Data Committee, a joint committee of the American Mathematical Society, the American Statistical Association, the Institute of Mathematical Statistics, and the Mathematical Association of America. The current members of this committee are Lorraine Denby, J. Douglas Faires, Alexander J. Hahn, Peter E. Haskell, G. Samuel Jordan, Stephen F. Kennedy, Ellen E. Kirkman, Don O. Loftsgaarden (chair), and James W. Maxwell (ex officio). The committee is assisted by AMS survey analyst Kinda Remick Priestley and survey coordinator Colleen Rose. Comments or suggestions regarding this Survey Report may be directed to the committee.


this report on pages $815-16$. The response rate from doctoral-granting departments was lower than normal at the time the First Report was published. An aggressive follow-up for nonresponding departments resulted in the reporting of 57 more new doctoral recipients for 2000-2001, a much higher increase than most previous Second Reports.
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## Highlights

There were 1,065 new doctoral recipients from U.S. institutions for 2000-2001, down 62 from the previous year. This is the smallest number of new doctoral recipients since 1993-1994, when there were 1,034 .

The final 2000-2001 unemployment rate for new doctoral recipients was $3.7 \%$, up from $3.3 \%$ last year.

During the past four years the number of new doctoral recipients has dropped from 1,176 to 1,065 . Nearly all of this drop is due to a drop in non-U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients, which have dropped from 639 to 533.

Females totaled 311 of the new doctoral recipients, up slightly from 304 last year and the second highest number ever recorded. Females make up $29.2 \%$ of the new doctoral recipients. The 754 male new doctoral recipients is down 69 from 823 last year.

There were 532 U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients, which is $50.0 \%$ of the total. This percentage has been very close to $50 \%$ for the past three years. There were 166 female U.S. citizen doctoral recipients, up 2 from last year and second only to the 188 two years ago. The number of male U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients was 366 , down from 402 last year. The percentage of female U.S. citizens, $31.2 \%$, is the second highest percentage ever, second only to the $33.6 \%$ reported two years ago.

Of the 914 new doctoral recipients known to have employment in October 2001, 818 (89.5\%) were employed in the U.S. Of these 818 employed in the U.S., 574 have academic employment (including research institutes and other nonprofits.) This number is down 16 from last year and down 36 from two years ago.

Among the 818 new doctoral recipients having employment in the U.S., 29.8\% took nonacademic positions (government or business and industry), compared to $31.1 \%$ last year.

Median salaries for new doctoral recipients taking 9-10-month positions in U.S. academic institutions remained at $\$ 41,300$ for females, while males rose from $\$ 41,500$ to $\$ 43,000$.

The median age for new doctoral recipients is 31.0 , and the average age is 32.4 , both up slightly from last year.

Information about recipients of doctoral degrees awarded between July 1, 2000, and June 30, 2001, was collected from doctorate-granting departments beginning in late spring 2001 and from a follow-up census of individual degree recipients beginning in October. The "2001 Annual Survey First Report" (Notices of the AMS, February 2002, pages 217-31) presented survey results obtained about new doctoral recipients from the departments. Here we update information for new doctoral recipients using data gathered with a questionnaire, Employment Experiences of New Doctoral Recipients (EENDR.) The EENDR was sent in early October 2001 to all new doctoral recipients whose address was known. When a new doctoral recipient did not respond or no address was known, information supplied by the department was used.

## Updated Employment Status of U.S. New Doc-

 toral Recipients, 2000-2001Table 1A shows the fall and final counts of new doctoral recipients in the mathematical sciences awarded by U.S. institutions from 1992 through 2001. Final counts include those new doctoral recipients reported from departments who missed the

Table 1A: U.S. New Doctoral Recipients, Fall and Final Counts, 1992 to 2001

| Year | Fall | Final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1992-1993$ | 1104 | 1116 |
| $1993-1994$ | 1025 | 1034 |
| $1994-1995$ | 1148 | 1157 |
| $1995-1996$ | 1098 | 1099 |
| $1996-1997$ | 1123 | 1130 |
| $1997-1998$ | 1163 | 1176 |
| $1998-1999$ | 1133 | 1135 |
| $1999-2000$ | 1119 | 1127 |
| $2000-2001$ | 1008 | 1065 |

deadline for inclusion in the First Report. Numbers in this table have been revised from previous reports to exclude new doctorates data from Group Vb departments, which are no longer surveyed.

Table 1 B: Citizenship of New Doctoral Recipients, 1998-2001

| Year | U.S. | Non-U.S. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1997-1998$ | 537 | 639 | 1176 |
| $1998-1999$ | 560 | 575 | 1135 |
| $1999-2000$ | 566 | 561 | 1127 |
| $2000-2001$ | 532 | 533 | 1065 |

Table 1B shows trends in the number of new doctoral recipients for the past four years broken down by U.S. citizens and non-U.S. citizens. There has been a drop of 111 new doctorates during those four years, and nearly all of this drop can be explained by a drop of 106 non-U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients. These trends bear watching in the

Table 1C: 2000-2001 U.S. New Doctoral Recipients by Type of Degree-Granting Department

|  | I (Pu) | I (Pr) | II | III | IV | Va |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 235 | 132 | 207 | 138 | 272 | 81 |
| $\%$ | 22.1 | 12.4 | 19.4 | 13.0 | 25.5 | 7.6 |

future. The all-time high number of non-U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients is 679 in 1992-1993.

Table 1C gives a breakdown of the 1,065 doctoral degrees awarded in the mathematical sciences between July 1, 2000, and June 30, 2001, by type of degree-granting department.

Table 2A: 2000-2001 U.S. Doctoral Recipients: Field of Thesis by Fall 2001 Employment Status, April 2002

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER |  | FIELD OF THESIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Algebra Number Theory | Real, Comp. Funct., \& Harmonic Analysis | Geometry/ Topology | Discr. Math./ Combin./ Logic/ Comp. Sci. | Probability | Statistics | Applied Math. | Numerical <br> Analysis/ <br> Approxi- <br> mations | Linear Nonlinear Optim./ Control | Differential, Integral, \& Difference Equations | Math. Education | Other/ Unknown |  |
| Group I (Public) |  | 16 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 78 |
| Group I (Private) |  | 13 | 6 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 61 |
| Group II |  | 10 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 53 |
| Group III |  | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Group IV |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 49 |
| Group Va |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Master's |  | 9 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 63 |
| Bachelor's |  | 24 | 16 | 14 | 19 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 115 |
| Two-Year College |  | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 17 |
| Other Academic Dept. |  | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 37 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 79 |
| Research Institute/ Other Nonprofit |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| Government |  | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| Business and Industry |  | 11 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 87 | 25 | 15 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 194 |
| Non-U.S. Academic Non-U.S. Nonacademic |  | 11 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 80 |
|  |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Not Seeking Employment Still Seeking Employment Unknown (U.S.) Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{1}$ |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
|  |  | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
|  |  | 5 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 62 |
|  |  | 7 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| COLUMN TOTAL |  | 142 | 85 | 97 | 89 | 43 | 295 | 97 | 55 | 29 | 95 | 25 | 13 | 1065 |
| COLUMN SUBTOTALS | Male | 109 | 72 | 69 | 63 | 35 | 174 | 75 | 43 | 22 | 70 | 15 | 7 | 754 |
|  | Female | 33 | 13 | 28 | 26 | 8 | 121 | 22 | 12 | 7 | 25 | 10 | 6 | 311 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".

Table 2B: 2000-2001 U.S. Doctoral Recipients: Type of Degree-Granting Department by Fall 2001 Employment Status, April 2002

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER |  | TYPE OF DOCTORAL DEGREE-GRANTING DEPARTMENT |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ROW } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ | ROW SUBTOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group I (Public) Math | Group I (Private) Math | Group II Math | Group III Math | Group IV Statistics | Group Va Applied Math |  |  |  |
| Group I (Public) |  | 45 | 11 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 78 | 59 | 19 |
| Group I (Private) |  | 27 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 61 | 51 | 10 |
| Group II |  | 18 | 5 | 18 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 53 | 37 | 16 |
| Group III |  | 11 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 22 | 18 | 4 |
| Group IV |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 49 | 32 | 17 |
| Group Va |  | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 9 | 2 |
| Master's |  | 4 | 3 | 26 | 19 | 8 | 3 | 63 | 46 | 17 |
| Bachelor's |  | 28 | 8 | 43 | 30 | 5 | 1 | 115 | 76 | 39 |
| Two-Year College |  | 6 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 11 | 6 |
| Other Academic Dept. |  | 10 | 6 | 14 | 8 | 31 | 10 | 79 | 52 | 27 |
| Research Institute/ Other Nonprofit |  | 4 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 26 | 17 | 9 |
| Government |  | 3 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 21 | 4 | 50 | 28 | 22 |
| Business and Industry |  | 28 | 15 | 27 | 26 | 75 | 23 | 194 | 150 | 44 |
| Non-U.S. Academic Non-U.S. Nonacademic |  | 20 | 15 | 18 | 5 | 16 | 6 | 80 | 61 | 19 |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 14 | 2 |
| Not Seeking Employment Still Seeking Employment Unknown (U.S.) Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{1}$ |  | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 9 | 8 |
|  |  | 7 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 36 | 19 | 17 |
|  |  | 10 | 4 | 12 | 9 | 23 | 4 | 62 | 42 | 20 |
|  |  | 3 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 36 | 23 | 13 |
| COLUMN TOTAL |  | 235 | 132 | 207 | 138 | 272 | 81 | 1065 | 754 | 311 |
| COLUMN SUBTOTALS | Male | 174 | 110 | 150 | 101 | 160 | 59 | 754 |  |  |
|  | Female | 61 | 22 | 57 | 37 | 112 | 22 | 311 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".

Table 2C: 2000-2001 New Doctoral Recipients: Field of Thesis by Type of Degree-Granting Department, April 2002

| TYPE OF DOCTORAL DEGREEGRANTING DEPARTMENT | FIELD OF THESIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algebra Number Theory | Real, Comp. Funct., \& Harmonic Analysis | Geometry/ Topology | Discr. Math./ Combin./ Logic/ Comp. Sci. | Probability | Statistics | Applied Math. | Numerical Analysis/ Approximations | Linear Nonlinear <br> Optim./ <br> Control | Differential, Integral, \& Difference Equations | Math. Education | Other/ Unknown |  |
| Group I (Public) | 60 | 30 | 39 | 27 | 8 | 7 | 15 | 8 | 5 | 29 | 0 | 7 | 235 |
| Group I (Private) | 33 | 8 | 30 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 132 |
| Group II | 39 | 30 | 18 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 24 | 18 | 11 | 20 | 8 | 1 | 207 |
| Group III | 8 | 14 | 9 | 13 | 4 | 25 | 13 | 12 | 4 | 19 | 17 | 0 | 138 |
| Group IV | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 244 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 272 |
| Group Va | 2 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 9 | 24 | 12 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| Total | 142 | 85 | 97 | 89 | 43 | 295 | 97 | 55 | 29 | 95 | 25 | 13 | 1065 |

Table 2D: Percentage of Total Employed New Doctoral Recipients by General Employment Sector, Fall 1998 to Fall 2001

| $\%$ | U.S. |  | Non-U.S. |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Academic | Nonacademic | Academic | Nonacademic |  |
| Fall 1998 | 56.7 | 29.3 | 11.9 | 2.1 | 965 |
| Fall 1999 | 63.9 | 23.5 | 10.7 | 2.0 | 955 |
| Fall 2000 | 61.7 | 27.8 | 9.6 | 0.9 | 957 |
| Fall 2001 | 62.8 | 26.7 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 914 |

Tables 2A, 2B, and 2C display updates of employment data, found in these same tables in the First Report, for the fall count of 2000-2001 doctoral recipients plus 57 additional doctoral recipients reported late. These tables are parti-
tioned by field of thesis research, by the survey group of their degree department, and by type of employer. At the time of this Second Report, the fall 2001 employment status of 967 of the 1,065 doctoral recipients was known.

The fall 2001 unemployment rate for new doctoral recipients, based on information gathered by the time of the Second Report, was $3.7 \%$. The unemployment rate rose steadily in the early 1990s and reached its all-time high of $10.7 \%$ in 1994 and held that rate through 1995. It began to decrease in 1996, reaching $3.3 \%$ for 2000 , the lowest it has been in the past ten years. Figure 1 presents the fall 1978 through fall 2001 trend in the final unemployment rate of new doctoral recipients. The counts on which these rates are determined do not include those new doctoral recipients whose fall employment status

Figure 1: Percentage of New Doctoral Recipients Unemployed, As Reported in the Respective Annual Survey Second Reports, 1978-2001

was unknown at the time of the Second Report. Note that prior to 1999 the new doctoral recipients from Group Vb are included in the total unemployment rate for each year.

Of the 967 new doctoral recipients whose employment is known, 818 were employed in the U.S., 96 were employed outside the U.S., 36 were still seeking employment, and 17 were not seeking employment.

Table 2D presents the trend in the percentage of employed new doctoral recipients by general employment sector for the last four years. Academic employment includes those employed by research institutes and other nonprofits.

Among new doctoral recipients who are employed, the percentage taking nonacademic employment (U.S. government, U.S. business and industry, and non-U.S. nonacademic) varied significantly by field of thesis. For those whose field of thesis is in the first three columns in Table 2A, this percentage is the lowest at $13.3 \%$, while the percentage for those with theses in probability or statistics is the highest at 44.9\%.

Tables 3A through 3E first appeared in the First Report for 2000-2001, although they do not have

Table 3A: Number of New Doctoral Recipients Taking Positions in U.S. Business and Industry by Type of Degree-Granting Department, Fall 1998 to Fall 2001

| Group | I (Pu) | I (Pr) | II | III | IV | Va | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall 1998 | 37 | 27 | 44 | 25 | 75 | 26 | $\mathbf{2 3 4}$ |
| Fall 1999 | 32 | 24 | 28 | 21 | 66 | 14 | $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ |
| Fall 2000 | 33 | 28 | 37 | 24 | 83 | 18 | $\mathbf{2 2 3}$ |
| Fall 2001 | 28 | 15 | 27 | 26 | 75 | 23 | $\mathbf{1 9 4}$ |

the same table numbers in that report. They have all been updated with information obtained from the individual new doctoral recipients who responded to a follow-up questionnaire. The next few paragraphs discuss some of the information presented in these tables.

Table 3B: Number of New Doctoral Recipients Taking U.S. Academic Positions by Type of Degree-Granting Department, Fall 1998 to Fall 2001

| Group | I (Pu) | I (Pr) | II | III | IV | Va | Total |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall 1998 | 133 | 100 | 138 | 61 | 85 | 30 | 547 |
| Fall 1999 | 166 | 91 | 146 | 82 | 86 | 39 | $\mathbf{6 1 0}$ |
| Fall 2000 | 144 | 82 | 126 | 79 | 131 | 28 | 590 |
| Fall 2001 | 159 | 71 | 126 | 80 | 108 | 30 | $\mathbf{5 7 4}$ |

Table 3A shows that 29 fewer new doctoral recipients accepted jobs in U.S. business and
industry compared to last year, a decrease of 13.0\%.

From Table 3B we see that 16 fewer new doctoral recipients were hired in U.S. academic institutions than last year, a decrease of $2.7 \%$.

Table 3C: U.S. Academic Positions Filled by New Doctoral Recipients by Type of Hiring Department, Fall 1998 to Fall 2001

| Group | I-III | IV | Va | M\&B | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall 1998 | 187 | 36 | 5 | 203 | 116 | $\mathbf{5 4 7}$ |
| Fall 1999 | 233 | 47 | 19 | 193 | 118 | $\mathbf{6 1 0}$ |
| Fall 2000 | 216 | 51 | 11 | 180 | 132 | $\mathbf{5 9 0}$ |
| Fall 2001 | 214 | 49 | 11 | 178 | 122 | $\mathbf{5 7 4}$ |

Table 3C shows that Groups I-III, IV, Va, and M\&B all hired approximately the same number of new doctoral recipients as they did last year, while "Other" hired 10 fewer.

Table 3D gives information about the production and hiring of female new doctoral recipients in the

Table 3D: Females as a Percentage of New Doctoral Recipients Produced and Hired by Doctoral-Granting Departments, Fall 2001

| $\%$ | I (Pu) | I (Pr) | II | III | IV | Va | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Produced | 26.0 | 16.7 | 27.5 | 26.8 | 41.2 | 27.2 | $\mathbf{2 9 . 2}$ |
| Hired | 24.4 | 16.4 | 30.2 | 18.2 | 34.7 | 18.2 | $\mathbf{2 4 . 8}$ |

doctoral-granting departments of this survey. From Table 2B we see that $27.0 \%$ of the new doctoral recipients hired by Group M departments were female, while $33.9 \%$ of those hired by Group B departments were female.

Table 3E shows that the new doctoral recipients from Group Va departments have the highest
Table 3E: Percentage of Unemployed New
Doctoral Recipients by Type of
Degree-Granting Department,
Fall 1998 to Fall 200 1

| $\%$ | I (Pu) | I (Pr) | II | III | IV | Va | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall 1998 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 4.9 |
| Fall 1999 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| Fall 2000 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 3.3 |
| Fall 2001 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 7.0 | 3.7 |

unemployment rate this year at $7.0 \%$, while those from Group II departments have the lowest unemployment rate at $2.1 \%$. Overall, $3.7 \%$ of the new doctoral recipients were unemployed.

Table 3F: 2000-2001 Male New Doctoral Recipients: Type of Citizenship by Fall 2001 Employment Status

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER | CITIZENSHIP |  |  |  | MALE DOCTORAL RECIPIENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. CITIZENS | NON-U.S. CITIZENS |  |  |  |
|  |  | Permanent Visa | Temporary Visa | Unknown Visa |  |
| U.S. Employer | 317 | 49 | 206 | 14 | 586 |
| U.S. Academic | 231 | 30 | 141 | 6 | 408 |
| Groups I, II, III, and Va | 92 | 14 | 65 | 3 | 174 |
| Group IV | 7 | 5 | 18 | 2 | 32 |
| Non-Ph.D. Department | 121 | 11 | 52 | 1 | 185 |
| Research Institute/Other Nonprofit | 11 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 17 |
| U.S. Nonacademic | 86 | 19 | 65 | 8 | 178 |
| Non-U.S. Employer | 8 | 1 | 60 | 6 | 75 |
| Non-U.S. Academic | 7 | 0 | 50 | 4 | 61 |
| Non-U.S. Nonacademic | 1 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 14 |
| Not Seeking Employment | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| Still Seeking Employment | 15 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 19 |
| SUBTOTAL | 345 | 53 | 270 | 21 | 689 |
| Unknown (U.S.) | 20 | 4 | 16 | 2 | 42 |
| Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 23 |
| TOTAL | 366 | 57 | 305 | 26 | 754 |

' Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".
Table 3G: 2000-2001 Female New Doctoral Recipients: Type of Citizenship by Fall 2001 Employment Status

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER | CITIZENSHIP |  |  |  | FEMALE DOCTORAL RECIPIENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. CITIZENS | NON-U.S. CITIZENS |  |  |  |
|  |  | Permanent Visa | Temporary Visa | Unknown Visa |  |
| U.S. Employer | 140 | 26 | 59 | 7 | 232 |
| U.S. Academic | 104 | 17 | 42 | 3 | 166 |
| Groups I, II, III, and Va | 26 | 6 | 19 | 0 | 51 |
| Group IV | 8 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 17 |
| Non-Ph.D. Department | 65 | 7 | 16 | 1 | 89 |
| Research Institute/Other Nonprofit | 365 | 91 | $17^{3}$ | 40 | $66 \quad 9$ |
| U.S. Nonacademic | 36 | 9 | 17 | 4 | 66 |
| Non-U.S. Employer | 3 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 21 |
| Non-U.S. Academic | 3 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 19 |
| Non-U.S. Nonacademic | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Not Seeking Employment | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Still Seeking Employment | 4 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 17 |
| SUBTOTAL | 155 | 29 | 87 | 7 | 278 |
| Unknown (U.S.) | 11 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 20 |
| Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 13 |
| TOTAL | 166 | 32 | 104 | 9 | 311 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".

## Updated Information about 2000-2001 New

 Doctoral Recipients by Sex and CitizenshipTables 3F and 3G show the sex and citizenship of the 1,065 new doctoral recipients and the fact that 818 new doctoral recipients found jobs in the U.S. this year. This is $89.5 \%$ of the 914 new doctoral recipients known to have jobs in October 2001.

Sex and citizenship is known for all of the 1,065 new doctoral recipients. The final count of new doctoral recipients who are U.S. citizens is 532 (50.0\%). For the past three years, this figure has remained very close to $50 \%$, the largest percentages reported by the Annual Survey since the mid-1980s. Pages 222-5 of the First Report present further
information related to the citizenship of the 2000-2001 new doctoral recipients.

Of the 532 U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients, 166 are female and 366 are male. The 166 female new doctoral recipients comprise $31.2 \%$ of the

Table 3H: 2000-2001 New Doctoral Recipients Having Fall 2001 Employment in the U.S. by Citizenship and Type of Employer

| Employer | U.S. | Non-U.S. | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| U.S. Academic, Groups I-Va | 133 | 141 | $\mathbf{2 7 4}$ |
| U.S. Academic, Other | 202 | 98 | 300 |
| U.S. Nonacademic | 122 | 122 | $\mathbf{2 4 4}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 8}$ |

U.S. citizen total for 2000-2001, an increase from last year's count of 164 , or $29.0 \%$ of the U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients. The number of U.S. citizen males, 366, is down 36 (9.0\%) from 402 last year.

Table 3H shows that while U.S. academic doctoral departments, Groups I through Va, hired 48.5\% U.S. citizens, U.S. academic positions other than in the doctoral departments hired 67.3\% U.S. citizens. The percentage of U.S. citizens hired for nonacademic positions in the U.S. was $50.0 \%$. Among those 818 2000-2001 doctoral recipients taking employment in the U.S., 29.8\% took nonacademic employment (government or business and industry). This is down from 31.1\% in 1999-2000.

## New Information from the EENDR Survey

Of the 1,008 new doctoral recipients reported in the First Report, the 939 whose addresses were known were sent the Employment Experiences of New Doctoral Recipients (EENDR) survey in October 2001, and $530(56.4 \%)$ responded. The response rates varied considerably among the various subgroups of new doctoral recipients defined by their employment status as reported by departments. Among those who were employed, the highest response rate, $68.1 \%$, was from those in academia in the U.S., while the lowest, $46.2 \%$, was from those in foreign nonacademia.

The EENDR gathered details on employment experiences not available through departments. The

Figure 2: Age Distribution of 2000-2001 EENDR Respondents

rest of this section presents the additional information available on this subset of the 2000-2001 doctoral recipients.

Table 4 shows the citizenship of the 530 new doctoral recipients who responded to the EENDR.

Of the 530 total respondents to the EENDR, 473 were employed in the U.S., 42 were employed outside the U.S., 7 were still seeking employment, and 8 were not seeking employment, as of the week of October 10, 2001. The unemployment rate for those responding to the EENDR is $1.3 \%$. Among those employed in the U.S., 456 were employed full-time and 16 were employed part-time (one individual did not answer this question). Of the 16 reporting parttime employment, 8 reported that they were working part-time because a suitable full-time job was not available, while 4 reported they were working part-time while they pursued additional education.

Table 4: 2000-2001 EENDR Respondents: Type of Citizenship by Fall 2001 Employment Status

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER | CITIZENSHIP |  |  |  | TOTAL EENDR RESPONDENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. CITIZENS | NON-U.S. CITIZENS |  |  |  |
|  |  | Permanent Visa | Temporary Visa | Unknown Visa |  |
| U.S. Employer | 290 | 34 | 149 | 0 | 473 |
| U.S. Academic | 224 | 22 | 114 | 0 | 360 |
| Groups I, II, III, and Va | 75 | 7 | 54 | 0 | 136 |
| Group IV | 10 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 30 |
| Non-Ph.D. Department | 127 | 10 | 40 | 0 | 177 |
| Research Institute/Other Nonprofit | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 17 |
| U.S. Nonacademic | 66 | 12 | 35 | 0 | 113 |
| Non-U.S. Employer | 6 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 42 |
| Non-U.S. Academic | 6 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 36 |
| Non-U.S. Nonacademic | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| Not Seeking Employment | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Still Seeking Employment | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| SUBTOTAL | 307 | 36 | 186 | 1 | 530 |
| Unknown (U.S.) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 307 | 36 | 186 | 1 | 530 |

' Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".

Among the 473 employed in the U.S., 266 reported obtaining a permanent position and 205 a temporary position (two individuals did not answer this question). Of the 205 in temporary positions, 93 (45.4\%) reported taking temporary employment because a suitable permanent position was not available and 163 ( $79.5 \%$ ) classified their position as postdoctoral. Furthermore, among those in postdoctoral positions, $30.7 \%$ responded that they took the position because a suitable permanent position was not available.

Among the 266 who reported obtaining a permanent position in the U.S., $61.7 \%$ were employed in academia (including $3.8 \%$ in research institutes and other nonprofits), $32.3 \%$ in business or industry, and $6.0 \%$ in government. Women held $32.0 \%$ of the permanent positions.

Among the 205 individuals with temporary employment in the U.S., $95.1 \%$ were employed in academia (including 3.4\% in research institutes and other nonprofits), $0.5 \%$ in business or industry, and $4.4 \%$ in government.

Among the 42 individuals employed outside the U.S., $85.7 \%$ were employed in academia (including $9.5 \%$ in research institutes and other nonprofits) and the other $14.3 \%$ were in business or industry. None were employed in government. Six of those employed outside the U.S. were U.S. citizens, 5 of which were in temporary positions, while none were U.S. permanent residents.

Figure 2 gives the age distribution of the 522 new doctoral recipients who responded to this question. The median age of new doctoral recipients was 31.0, while the mean age was 32.4. The first and third quartiles were 28 and 35 respectively. These figures are very similar to those reported in previous years.

## Starting Salary Survey of New Doctoral Recipients

The starting salary figures for 2001 were compiled from information gathered on the EENDR questionnaires sent to individuals who received doctoral degrees in the mathematical sciences during the 2000-2001 academic year from universities in the United States (see previous section for more details).

The questionnaires were distributed to 939 recipients of degrees using addresses provided by the departments granting the degrees; 530 individuals responded between late October and April. Responses with insufficient data or from individuals who indicated they had part-time employment were considered unusable. Numbers of usable responses for each salary category are reported in the following tables.

Readers should be warned that the data in this report are obtained from a self-selected sample, and inferences from them may not be representative of the population.

Key to Tables. Salaries are listed in hundreds of dollars. Nine-month salaries are based on 9-10 months' teaching and/or research, not adding extra stipends for summer grants or summer teaching or the equivalent. Years listed are the academic year in which the doctorate was received. M and F are male and female respectively. Some persons receiving a doctoral degree had been employed in their present position for several years, so those who had "one year or less experience" were analyzed separately from the total. Male and female figures are not provided when the number of salaries available for analysis in a particular category was five or fewer. Also, quartile figures are not available for 1965 through 1980. All categories of "Teaching or Teaching and Research" and "Research" contain only those recipients employed at academic institutions. The "Research, 9-10-Month Salaries" table was dropped as of 1998 because so few recipients respond in this category that the data was not considered meaningful. Starting salaries for those reporting a postdoctoral position are available for a fifth year. These salaries are also included within the academic tables and boxplots on pages 811-13.

Graphs. The graphs show standard boxplots summarizing salary distribution information for the years 1994 through 2001. Values plotted for 1994 through 2000 are converted to 2001 dollars using the implicit price deflator prepared annually by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce.

For each boxplot the box shows the first quartile (Q1), the median (M), and the third quartile (Q3). The interquartile range (IQR) is defined as Q3-Q1. Think of constructing invisible fences 1.5 xIQR below Q1 and 1.5 xIQR above Q3. Whiskers are drawn from Q3 to the largest observation that falls below the upper invisible fence and from Q1 to the smallest observation that falls above the lower invisible fence. Think of constructing two more invisible fences, each falling 1.5 xIQR above or below the existing invisible fences. Any observation that falls between the fences on each end of the boxplots is called an outlier and is plotted as $\underline{o}$ in the boxplots. Any observation that falls outside of both fences either above or below the box in the boxplot is called an extreme outlier and is marked as * in the boxplot.

Academic Teaching/Teaching and Research
9-10-Month Salaries
(in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in $2001 \$$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 70 | --- | 80 | --- | 105 | 368 |
| 1970 | 85 | --- | 110 | --- | 195 | 414 |
| 1975 | 90 | 120 | 128 | 135 | 173 | 350 |
| 1980 | 105 | 155 | 171 | 185 | 250 | 328 |
| 1985 | 170 | 230 | 250 | 270 | 380 | 371 |
| 1990 | 230 | 305 | 320 | 350 | 710 | 405 |
| 1994 | 150 | 330 | 350 | 375 | 730 | 399 |
| 1995 | 220 | 320 | 350 | 382 | 640 | 390 |
| 1996 | 240 | 333 | 360 | 400 | 636 | 394 |
| 1997 | 180 | 340 | 366 | 400 | 840 | 393 |
| 1998 | 140 | 340 | 370 | 410 | 700 | 392 |
| 1999 | 180 | 360 | 400 | 430 | 700 | 418 |
| 2000 | 250 | 380 | 415 | 450 | 650 | 424 |
| 2001 | 259 | 400 | 420 | 461 | 660 | 420 |
| 1997 M | 180 | 340 | 367 | 400 | 571 | --- |
| 1997 F | 180 | 340 | 366 | 396 | 840 | --- |
| 1998 M | 140 | 340 | 370 | 411 | 700 | --- |
| 1998 F | 250 | 350 | 377 | 409 | 600 | --- |
| 1999 M | 220 | 370 | 400 | 430 | 700 | --- |
| 1999 F | 180 | 350 | 390 | 420 | 540 | --- |
| 2000 M | 250 | 380 | 415 | 450 | 650 | --- |
| 2000 F | 321 | 380 | 413 | 450 | 620 | --- |
| Total (158 male/68 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 M | 259 | 400 | 430 | 475 | 660 | 430 |
| 2001 F | 310 | 390 | 413 | 443 | 620 | 413 |
| One year or less experience (133 male/60 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 M | 259 | 400 | 430 | 465 | 660 | 430 |
| 2001 F | 310 | 390 | 415 | 439 | 620 | 415 |



Academic Teaching/Teaching and Research
11-12-Month Salaries (in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2001 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 78 | - | 104 | --- | 121 | 478 |
| 1970 | 95 | --- | 128 | --- | 200 | 482 |
| 1975 | 87 | --- | 145 | --- | 204 | 396 |
| 1980 | 143 | --- | 195 | --- | 350 | 374 |
| 1985 | 220 | 230 | 273 | 300 | 470 | 405 |
| 1990 | 225 | 318 | 365 | 404 | 670 | 462 |
| 1994 | 365 | 391 | 480 | 503 | 510 | 547 |
| 1995 | 300 | 354 | 410 | 478 | 600 | 457 |
| 1996 | 150 | 302 | 340 | 390 | 720 | 372 |
| 1997 | 260 | 370 | 400 | 497 | 650 | 429 |
| 1998 | 275 | 403 | 480 | 578 | 700 | 509 |
| 1999 | 200 | 374 | 420 | 469 | 650 | 439 |
| 2000 | 300 | 400 | 485 | 600 | 1170 | 496 |
| 2001 | 350 | 420 | 465 | 615 | 870 | 465 |
| 1997 M | 260 | 360 | 400 | 420 | 635 | --- |
| 1997 F | 260 | 393 | 447 | 505 | 650 | --- |
| 1998 M | 275 | 410 | 495 | 573 | 700 | --- |
| 1998 F | 300 | 395 | 464 | 575 | 630 | --- |
| 1999 M | 280 | 370 | 420 | 458 | 650 | --- |
| 1999 F | 200 | 393 | 435 | 590 | 630 | --- |
| 2000 M | 300 | 390 | 460 | 650 | 1170 | --- |
| 2000 F | 395 | 465 | 500 | 570 | 750 | --- |
| Total (38 male/18 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 M | 350 | 420 | 443 | 498 | 870 | 443 |
| 2001 F | 380 | 465 | 588 | 658 | 750 | 588 |
| One year or less experience ( 34 male/15 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 M | 350 | 413 | 440 | 485 | 870 | 440 |
| 2001 F | 380 | 465 | 566 | 650 | 750 | 566 |



19941995199619971998199920002001

| Academic Research Only 11-12-Month Salaries (in hundreds of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2001 \$ |
| 1965 | 81 | --- | 93 | --- | 107 | 428 |
| 1970 | 90 | -- | 120 | --- | 205 | 452 |
| 1975 | 90 | --- | 119 | --- | 180 | 325 |
| 1980 | 120 | --- | 180 | --- | 321 | 345 |
| 1985 | 190 | 295 | 342 | 400 | 520 | 508 |
| 1990 | 180 | 280 | 300 | 365 | 546 | 379 |
| 1994 | 210 | 330 | 350 | 400 | 490 | 399 |
| 1995 | 196 | 280 | 340 | 370 | 587 | 379 |
| 1996 | 192 | 270 | 330 | 400 | 585 | 361 |
| 1997 | 190 | 300 | 350 | 400 | 600 | 376 |
| 1998 | 200 | 333 | 360 | 428 | 617 | 382 |
| 1999 | 270 | 390 | 440 | 500 | 720 | 460 |
| 2000 | 300 | 384 | 400 | 555 | 1000 | 409 |
| 2001 | 300 | 367 | 420 | 625 | 800 | 420 |
| 1997 M | 210 | 300 | 350 | 406 | 500 | --- |
| 1997 F | 190 | 313 | 350 | 386 | 600 | --- |
| 1998 M | 200 | 340 | 360 | 400 | 600 | --- |
| 1998 F | 285 | 330 | 360 | 540 | 617 | --- |
| 1999 M | 270 | 383 | 400 | 493 | 600 | --- |
| 1999 F | 340 | 468 | 530 | 581 | 720 | --- |
| 2000 M | 300 | 390 | 400 | 486 | 1000 | --- |
| 2000 F | 300 | 360 | 410 | 580 | 630 | --- |
| Total (20 male/7 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 M | 300 | 348 | 425 | 655 | 800 | 425 |
| 2001 F | 342 | 400 | 420 | 588 | 700 | 420 |
| One year or less experience (18 male/5 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 M | 300 | 343 | 395 | 628 | 800 | 395 |
| 2001 F | --- | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | $\qquad$ | $9419$ |  | $9719$ |  | $20002001$ |


| Government 11-12-Month Salaries (in hundreds of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2001 \$ |
| 1965 | 70 | --- | 126 | --- | 160 | 580 |
| 1970 | 100 | --- | 150 | --- | 223 | 565 |
| 1975 | 78 | --- | 182 | --- | 247 | 497 |
| 1980 | 156 | --- | 244 | --- | 501 | 468 |
| 1985 | 263 | 294 | 325 | 381 | 440 | 482 |
| 1990 | 320 | 345 | 378 | 430 | 587 | 478 |
| 1994 | 250 | 355 | 455 | 530 | 576 | 518 |
| 1995 | 370 | 440 | 494 | 507 | 650 | 551 |
| 1996 | 360 | 420 | 427 | 504 | 650 | 467 |
| 1997 | 350 | 454 | 573 | 600 | 750 | 615 |
| 1998 | 320 | 475 | 540 | 736 | 1250 | 572 |
| 1999 | 400 | 495 | 550 | 651 | 720 | 575 |
| 2000 | 440 | 540 | 600 | 640 | 830 | 613 |
| 2001 | 400 | 580 | 644 | 758 | 920 | 644 |
| 1997 M | 370 | 476 | 573 | 608 | 750 | -- |
| 1997 F | 350 | 465 | 560 | 586 | 680 | - |
| 1998 M | 320 | 500 | 568 | 756 | 1250 | --- |
| 1998 F | --- | - | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1999 M | 400 | 495 | 540 | 587 | 720 | --- |
| 1999 F | --- | -- | - | --- | --- | --- |
| 2000 M | 440 | 563 | 620 | 649 | 830 | --- |
| 2000 F | 530 | 545 | 566 | 593 | 650 | --- |
| Total (17 male/7 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 M | 400 | 590 | 647 | 780 | 920 | 647 |
| 2001 F | 450 | 550 | 630 | 670 | 896 | 630 |
| One year or less experience (15 male/6 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 M | 400 | 570 | 640 | 710 | 920 | 640 |
| 2001 F | 500 | 608 | 640 | 680 | 896 | 640 |
|  | $\square$ | $3419$ | 8 $51996$ | $9719$ | $1999$ | $\text { ו } 20002000$ |


| Business and Industry 11-12-Month Salaries (in hundreds of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2001 \$ |
| 1965 | 100 | - | 136 | - | 180 | 626 |
| 1970 | 96 | --- | 170 | --- | 235 | 640 |
| 1975 | 114 | --- | 187 | --- | 240 | 511 |
| 1980 | 190 | --- | 284 | --- | 400 | 545 |
| 1985 | 260 | 360 | 400 | 420 | 493 | 594 |
| 1990 | 320 | 438 | 495 | 533 | 700 | 626 |
| 1994 | 200 | 418 | 525 | 600 | 750 | 598 |
| 1995 | 288 | 480 | 568 | 690 | 1250 | 633 |
| 1996 | 250 | 510 | 580 | 610 | 1000 | 634 |
| 1997 | 300 | 483 | 600 | 658 | 1000 | 644 |
| 1998 | 240 | 550 | 650 | 750 | 2250 | 689 |
| 1999 | 360 | 600 | 680 | 761 | 2450 | 711 |
| 2000 | 200 | 640 | 720 | 800 | 1500 | 736 |
| 2001 | 475 | 716 | 770 | 865 | 1850 | 770 |
| 1997 M | 300 | 490 | 600 | 670 | 1000 | --- |
| 1997 F | 400 | 460 | 540 | 620 | 900 | --- |
| 1998 M | 240 | 550 | 650 | 750 | 1250 | --- |
| 1998 F | 305 | 565 | 662 | 765 | 2250 | --- |
| 1999 M | 360 | 626 | 700 | 763 | 2450 | --- |
| 1999 F | 440 | 580 | 644 | 676 | 1100 | --- |
| 2000 M | 200 | 640 | 730 | 800 | 1500 | --- |
| 2000 F | 200 | 645 | 690 | 788 | 980 | --- |
| Total (63 male/19 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 M | 520 | 717 | 788 | 875 | 1700 | 788 |
| 2001 F | 475 | 710 | 750 | 850 | 1850 | 750 |
| One yea | less | perien | (52 ma | fem |  |  |
| 2001 M | 550 | 734 | 795 | 885 | 1700 | 795 |
| 2001 F | 475 | 715 | 750 | 850 | 1050 | 750 |
|  | (Not | ree sa $4199$ | ries abov <br> * | 50,0 <br> 719 |  | hown.) $\begin{array}{ll}  & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

Academic Postdoctorates
9-10-Month Salaries
(in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. <br> Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported <br> Median in <br> $2001 \$$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 180 | 350 | 385 | 410 | 450 | 404 |
| 1998 | 290 | 350 | 390 | 420 | 500 | 404 |
| 1999 | 130 | 365 | 400 | 418 | 540 | 408 |
| 2000 | 300 | 385 | 420 | 450 | 550 | 420 |
| 2001 | 250 | 400 | 425 | 450 | 566 | 425 |
| 1997 M | 250 | 350 | 380 | 405 | 446 | --- |
| 1997 F | 180 | 350 | 385 | 408 | 450 | --- |
| 1998 M | 290 | 340 | 390 | 430 | 500 | --- |
| 1998 F | 310 | 361 | 375 | 390 | 436 | --- |
| 1999 M | 220 | 373 | 400 | 428 | 540 | --- |
| 1999 F | 130 | 350 | 390 | 410 | 475 | --- |
| 2000 M | 300 | 390 | 420 | 450 | 550 | --- |
| 2000 F | 360 | 389 | 448 | 458 | 544 | --- |
| Total (54 male/18 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 M | 250 | 400 | 430 | 454 | 566 | 430 |
| 2001 F | 310 | 395 | 421 | 438 | 490 | 395 |
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## Definitions of the Groups

As has been the case for a number of years, much of the data in these reports is presented for departments divided into groups according to several characteristics, the principal one being the highest degree offered in the mathematical sciences. Doctoralgranting departments of mathematics are further subdivided according to their ranking of "scholarly quality of program faculty" as reported in the 1995 publication Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change. ${ }^{1}$ These rankings update those reported in a previous study published in 1982. ${ }^{2}$ Consequently, the departments which now comprise Groups I, II, and III differ significantly from those used prior to the 1996 survey.

The subdivision of the Group I institutions into Group I Public and Group I Private was new for the 1996 survey. With the increase in number of the Group I departments from 39 to 48 , the Annual Survey Data Committee judged that a further subdivision of public and private would provide more meaningful reporting of the data for these departments.

Brief descriptions of the groupings are as follows:
Group I is composed of 48 departments with scores in the 3.00-5.00 range. Group I Public and Group I Private are Group I departments at public institutions and private institutions respectively.
Group II is composed of 56 departments with scores in the 2.00-2.99 range.

Group III contains the remaining U.S. departments reporting a doctoral program, including a number of departments not included in the 1995 ranking of program faculty.
Group IV contains U.S. departments (or programs) of statistics, biostatistics, and biometrics reporting a doctoral program.
Group V contains U.S. departments (or programs) in applied mathematics/applied science, operations research, and management science which report a doctoral program.
Group Va is applied mathematics/applied science; Group Vb, which is no longer surveyed as of 1998-99, was operations research and management science.
Group M contains U.S. departments granting a master's degree as the highest graduate degree.
Group B contains U.S. departments granting a baccalaureate degree only.
Listings of the actual departments which comprise these groups are available on the AMS Website at
www.ams.org/employment/.

[^1]
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## Doctoral Degrees Conferred 2000-2001 <br> Supplementary List

The following list supplements the list of thesis titles published in the February 2002 Notices, pages 241-58.

## CALIFORNIA

## University of California, Berkeley

Statistics
Benjamin, Morris, Random walks in convex sets.
Cawley, Simon, Statistical models for DNA sequencing and analysis.
Gat, Yoram, Overfit bounds for classification algorithms.
Hui, Wang, Multiple shrinkage estimator.
Kwon, Jaimyoung, Calculus of statistical efficiency in general setting; kernal plug-in estimation for Markov chains; hidden Markov modeling of freeway traffic.
Li, Wei, Modelling marked point processes with an application to currency exchange rates.
Liang, Chyng-lan, The detection of stellar occulations by Kuiper belt objects.
Schweinsburg, Jason, Coalescents with simultaneous multiple collisions.

## University of California, Santa

Barbara (2)

## Mathematics

He, Chiyu, Moment problems and operator theory.
Stanger, Adrian, Vector spaces of modular functions and powers of the partition function.

## DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

George Washington University (4)

## Statistics

Chen, Xuejun, The estimation and asymptotic theory of the multiplicative frailty model.
Moriarity, Christopher, Statistical properties of statistical matching.
Sellers, Kimberly, Vague coherent systems.

Yu, Binbing, Some problems arising in observational studies: Potential effect of selection bias and omitted variables.

## MASSACHUSETTS

## Harvard University (3)

## Biostatistics

Bellamy, Scarlett, Clustered data methods with applications to community-based research.
French, Jonathan, Analysis of environmental health data with missing values.
Morales, Knashawn, Statistical methods for risk assessment based on epidemiological data.

## MISSOURI

## University of Missouri, Columbia (3)

## Mathematics

Goward, Russel, A simple algorithm for principalization of monomial ideals.
Hollenbeck, Brian, Best constants for operators involving the Hilbert transform.
Stanislavova, Milena, Spectral mappings theorems and invariant manifolds for infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems.

## NEW YORK

## Columbia University (3)

## Mathematics

Chau, Albert, Flow on noncompact Kahler Einstein metrics.
Kamizono, Kenji, Hedging and optimization under transaction costs.

Langmead, Gregory, A supersymmetric quantum field theory formulation of the Donaldson polynomial invariants.

## OHIO

## University of Cincinnati (1)

Mathematical Sciences

Gonchigdanzan, Khurelbaater, Almost sure central limit theorems.

## OREGON

## Oregon State University (3)

## Statistics

Hamilton, Evan, A linear programming and sampling approach to the cutting-order problem.
Ritter, Kerry, Statistical aspects of two measurement problems: Defining taxonomic richness and testing with unanchored responses.
Suh, Euh-Young, Semiparametric maximum likelihood for nonlinear regression with measurement errors.

## PENNSYLVANIA

## Carnegie Mellon University (8)

## Statistics

DiMatteo, Ilaria, Bayesian curve fitting using tree-knot splines.
DiPietro, Michele, Bayesian inference for discretely sampled diffusion processes with financial applications.

Huang, Tzee-Ming, Convergence rates for posterior distributions.
Johnson, Matthew S., Parametric and nonparametric extensions to unfolding response models.
Jones, Bobby L., Analyzing longitudinal data with mixture models: A trajectory approach.
Lockwood, John R., III, Estimating joint distributions of contaminants in U.S. community water system sources.
Nichols, Thomas E., Spatiotemporal modeling in positron emission tomography.
Tang, Feng, A model-based Bayesian fault diagnostic system with applications to semiconductor manufacturing processes.

## Temple University (5)

## Statistics

Hyslop, Terry, The assessment of individual and population bioequivalence in crossover designs.
Kwagyan, John, Further investigations of the disposition model for correlated binary outcomes.
Lupinacci, Paul, D-optimal designs for a class of nonlinear models.
Xie, Yang, Split-plot type residual effects designs.
Zhang, Daozhi, Pareto optimal designs in behavioral experiments.

## TENNESSEE

## University of Memphis (8)

## Mathematical Sciences

Ackeriman, Michael, On the diameter of graphs after vertex and edge deletion.
Balog, Jozsef, Graph properties and bootstrap percolation.
Ingram, Debra, The construction of generalized minimum aberration designs by efficient algorithm.
Li, Yingfu, Construction of generalized minimum aberration designs through Hadamard matrices and orthogonal arrays.
Soeharyadi, Yudi, Regularity for hyperbolic balance laws.
Wang, Wei, Stochastic and state space model in carcinogenesis and cell population.
Yang, Wenjian, On some exact statistical procedures for analyzing correlated binary.
Zhang, Zhaohua, Natural language sensing and metacognition modeling in software agents.

## TEXAS

## Rice University (4)

## Statistics

Boekenhaver, Rachel, Estimating nonlinear functionals of a random field.
Cramer, Roxy, Parameter estimation for discretely observed continuous-time Markov chains.
Shaw, Chad A., Genealogical methods for multitype branching processes with applications in biology.
Wojciechowski, William C., Robust modeling.

## UTAH

## Utah State University (5)

## Mathematics and Statistics

Cui, Xiangchen, MSE bounds and perfect sampling for conditional coding.
Florin, Catrina, Positive solutions obtained as local minima via symmetries, for nonlinear elliptic equations.
Moisen, Gretchen. Comparing nonlinear and nonparametric modeling techniques for mapping and stratification in forest inventories of the interior western USA.
Yan, Huey, Generalized minimum penalized Hellinger distance estimation and generalized penalized Hellinger deviance testing for discrete generalized linear models.
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[^0]:    Don O. Loftsgaarden is professor emeritus of mathematics, University of Montana. James W. Maxwell is AMS associate executive director for Professional Services. Kinda Remick Priestley is AMS survey analyst.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change, edited by Marvin L. Goldberger, Brendan A. Maher, and Pamela Ebert Flattau, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1995.
    ${ }^{2}$ These findings were published in An Assessment of ResearchDoctorate Programs in the United States: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, edited by Lyle V. Jones, Gardner Lindzey, and Porter E. Coggeshall, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1982. The information on mathematics, statistics, and computer science was presented in digest form in the April 1983 issue of the Notices, pages 257-67, and an analysis of the classifications was given in the June 1983 Notices, pages 392-3.

