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## Update on the 2000 New Doctoral Recipients

## Introduction

The Annual Survey of the Mathematical Sciences collects information each year about departments, faculties, and students in the mathematical sciences at four-year colleges and universities in the United States. Definitions of the various groups surveyed in the Annual Survey can be found in the box on page 719 of this report. For the second year, departments in Group Vb (operations research and management science) are no longer being surveyed. More discussion of this can be found in the 2000 First Report in the February 2001 Notices of the AMS, pp. 195-208.

This Second Report includes data from two parts of the 2000 Annual Survey. First, we update information about new doctoral recipients reported earlier in the February 2001 issue. Second, we present the starting salaries of the new doctoral recipients who responded to a followup survey. In past years this report would contain a third part presenting information about the faculties and instructional programs at the undergraduate and graduate levels in these departments for the 2000-2001 academic year. Starting with the 2000 survey, we have chosen


#### Abstract

This Second Report of the 2000 Survey gives an update of the 1999-2000 new doctoral recipients from the First Report, which appeared in the Notices of the AMS in February 2001, pages 195-208. In prior years, this report included information about faculty size, departmental enrollments, majors, and graduate students for departments of mathematical sciences in four-year colleges and universities in the United States. This information will now be part of a third report to be published in the September 2001 Notices of the AMS. The First Report gave salary data for faculty members in these same departments. It also had a section on new doctoral recipients in statistics that is not updated here.

The 2000 Annual Survey represents the forty-fourth in an annual series begun in 1957 by the American Mathematical Society. The 2000 Survey is under the direction of the Annual Survey Data Committee, a joint committee of the American Mathematical Society, the American Statistical Association, the Institute of Mathematical Statistics, and the Mathematical Association of America. The current members of this committee are Lorraine Denby, J. Douglas Faires, Mary W. Gray, Alfred W. Hales, Peter E. Haskell, Ellen E. Kirkman, James M. Kister, James Lewis, Don O. Loftsgaarden (chair), James W. Maxwell (ex officio), and Yashaswini Mittal. The committee is assisted by AMS survey analyst Kinda Remick Priestley and survey coordinator Colleen Rose. Comments or suggestions regarding this Survey Report may be directed to the committee.


to present this data in a separate report which is expected to be published in the September issue of the Notices of the AMS.

The names of the 1999-2000 doctoral recipients and their thesis titles were published in "Doctoral Degrees Conferred" (Notices of the AMS, February 2001, pages 219-237).

Information about recipients of doctoral degrees awarded between July 1, 1999, and June 30, 2000, was collected from doctorate-granting departments beginning in late spring 2000 and from a follow-up census of individual degree recipients beginning in October. The "2000

## Highlights

There were 1,127 new doctoral recipients from U.S. institutions for 1999-2000, down 8 from the previous year.

The final 1999-2000 unemployment rate for new doctoral recipients was $3.3 \%$, down from $3.8 \%$ last year and the lowest rate in the past ten years.

Females totaled 304 of the new doctoral recipients, down from 318 last year, but still the second highest number ever recorded. The number of males was 823 , up six from last year.

There were 566 U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients, which was $50.2 \%$ of the total and the highest percentage since 1986-87. There were 164 female U.S.citizen doctoral recipients, down from a record high of 188 last year, but again the second-highest number ever recorded. The number of male U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients was 402 , an increase of 30 from last year.

Of the 957 new doctoral recipients known to have employment in October 2000, 89.4\% found jobs in the U.S. Among the 856 new doctoral recipients taking employment in the U.S., $31.1 \%$ took nonacademic positions (government or business and industry), compared to 26.9\% last year. Thirty-eight more new doctoral recipients accepted jobs in business and industry than last year.

The number of new doctoral recipients finding academic employment (including research institutes and other nonprofits) in the U.S. was 590, a drop of 20 from last year.

Median salaries for new doctoral recipients taking 9-10-month positions in U.S. academic institutions increased from $\$ 39,000$ to $\$ 41,300$ for females, while males increased from $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 41,500$ over last year.

The median age for new doctoral recipients is 30.0, and the average age is 31.7. These are nearly identical to last year's figures.

Annual Survey First Report" (Notices of the AMS, February 2001, pages 195-208) presents the survey results obtained about new doctoral recipients from the departments. Here we update information for new doctoral recipients since the First Report, using data gathered from a questionnaire, Employment Experiences of New Doctoral Recipients (EENDR), which was sent in early October 2000 to all new doctoral recipients whose address was known. When a new doctoral recipient did not respond or no address was known, information supplied by the department was used. This questionnaire has a number of questions on it that are the same as those on a questionnaire used by several other scientific disciplines, so that results from this questionnaire can be compared with those in other fields.

## Updated Employment Status of U.S. New Doctoral Recipients, 1999-2000

Table 1A shows the fall and final counts of new doctoral recipients in the mathematical sciences awarded by U.S. institutions from 1992 through 2000. Final counts include those new doctoral recipients reported from departments who missed the deadline for inclusion in the First Report. Numbers in this table have been
revised from previous reports to exclude new doctorates data from Group Vb departments, which are no longer surveyed.

Table 1A: U.S. New Doctoral Recipients, Fall and Final Counts, 1992 to 2000

| Year | Fall | Final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1992-93$ | 1104 | 1116 |
| $1993-94$ | 1025 | 1034 |
| $1994-95$ | 1148 | 1157 |
| $1995-96$ | 1098 | 1099 |
| $1996-97$ | 1123 | 1130 |
| $1997-98$ | 1163 | 1176 |
| $1998-99$ | 1133 | 1135 |
| $1999-00$ | 1119 | 1127 |

Table 1B gives a breakdown of the 1,127 doctoral degrees awarded in the mathematical sciences between July 1, 1999, and June 30, 2000, by type of degree-granting department.

Table 1 B: U.S. New Doctoral Recipients by Type of Degree-Granting Department, 1999-2000

|  | I (Pu) | I (Pr) | II | III | IV | Va |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 257 | 157 | 222 | 134 | 290 | 67 |
| $\%$ | 22.8 | 13.9 | 19.7 | 11.9 | 25.7 | 5.9 |

Tables 2A, 2B, and 2C display updates of employment data, found in these same tables in the First Report, for the fall count of 1999-2000 doctoral recipients plus eight additional doctoral recipients reported late. These tables are partitioned by field of thesis research and by the survey group of their degree department. At the time of this Second Report, the fall 2000 employment status of 1,000 of the 1,127 doctoral recipients was known.

The fall 2000 unemployment rate for new doctoral recipients, based on information gathered by the time of the Second Report, was $3.3 \%$. The unemployment rate rose steadily in the early 1990s and reached its all-time high of $10.7 \%$ in 1994 and held that rate through 1995. It began to decrease in 1996, reaching $3.3 \%$ for 2000 , the lowest it has been in the past ten years. Figure 3 presents the fall 1978 through fall 2000 trend in the final unemployment rate of new doctoral recipients. The counts on which these rates are
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Table 2A: Fall 2000 Employment Status of 1999-2000 U.S. Doctoral Recipients in the Mathematical Sciences, Updated April 2001

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER |  | FIELD OF THESIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Algebra Number Theory | Real, Comp. Funct., \& Harmonic Analysis | Geometry/ Topology | Discr. Math./ Combin./ Logic/ Comp. Sci. | Probability | Statistics | Applied Math. | Numerical Analysis/ Approximations | Linear Nonlinear Optim./ Control | Differential, Integral, \& Difference Equations | Math. Education | Other/ Unknown |  |
| Group I (Public) |  | 16 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| Group I (Private) |  | 14 | 6 | 18 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| Group II |  | 9 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| Group III |  | 9 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 34 |
| Group IV |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| Group Va |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Master's |  | 17 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 60 |
| Bachelor's |  | 35 | 16 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 120 |
| Two-Year College |  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| Other Academic Dept. |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 45 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 79 |
| Research Institute/ Other Nonprofit Government Business and Industry |  | 12 | 2 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 15 22 | 0 8 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 0 | 0 | 39 43 |
|  |  | 22 | 0 10 | 8 | 3 14 | 15 | 22 94 | 8 27 | 16 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 43 223 |
| Non-U.S. Academic Non-U.S. Nonacademic |  | 15 | 9 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 19 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 92 |
|  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Not Seeking Employment Still Seeking Employment Unknown (U.S.) Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{1}$ |  | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
|  |  | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
|  |  | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 22 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
|  |  | 8 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 58 |
| COLUMN TOTAL |  | 178 | 95 | 110 | 87 | 42 | 311 | 105 | 59 | 27 | 94 | 16 | 3 | 1127 |
| COLUMN SUBTOTALS | Male | 139 | 81 | 89 | 68 | 35 | 187 | 78 | 42 | 22 | 72 | 8 | 2 | 823 |
|  | Female | 39 | 14 | 21 | 19 | 7 | 124 | 27 | 17 | 5 | 22 | 8 | 1 | 304 |

' Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".

Table 2B: Fall 2000 Employment Status of 1999-2000 U.S. Doctoral Recipients by Type of Degree-Granting Department, Updated April 2001

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER |  | TYPE OF DOCTORAL DEGREE-GRANTING DEPARTMENT |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROW } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROW } \\ & \text { SUBTOTAL } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Group (Public) Math | Group I (Private) Math | Group II Math | Group III Math | Group IV Statistics | Group Va Applied Math |  |  |  |
|  |  | 38 | 21 | 11 |  | 1 | 0 | 75 | 65 | 10 |
| Group I (Private) |  | 24 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 57 | 48 | 9 |
| Group II |  | 21 | 9 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 50 | 41 | 9 |
| Group III |  | 8 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 34 | 25 | 9 |
| Group IV |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 1 | 51 | 23 | 28 |
| Group Va |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 2 |
| Master's |  | 9 | 2 | 29 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 60 | 40 | 20 |
| Bachelor's |  | 26 | 9 | 44 | 32 | 7 | 2 | 120 | 76 | 44 |
| Two-Year College |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 10 | 4 |
| Other Academic Dept. |  | 5 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 45 | 8 | 79 | 53 | 26 |
| Research Institute/ Other Nonprofit |  | 8 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 39 | 23 | 16 |
| Government |  | 4 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 43 | 29 | 14 |
| Business and Industry |  | 33 | 28 | 37 | 24 | 83 | 18 | 223 | 180 | 43 |
| Non-U.S. Academic Non-U.S. Nonacademic |  | 35 | 16 | 16 | 4 | 18 | 3 | 92 | 73 | 19 |
|  |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| Not Seeking Employment Still Seeking Employment Unknown (U.S.) Unknown (non-U.S.)' |  | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
|  |  | 12 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 33 | 23 | 10 |
|  |  | 14 | 6 | 13 | 14 | 16 | 6 | 69 | 48 | 21 |
|  |  | 13 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 58 | 46 | 12 |
| COLUMN TOTAL |  | 257 | 157 | 222 | 134 | 290 | 67 | 1127 | 823 | 304 |
| COLUMN SUBTOTALS | Male | 197 | 139 | 156 | 102 | 178 | 51 | 823 |  |  |
|  | Female | 60 | 18 | 66 | 32 | 112 | 16 | 304 |  |  |

' Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".

Table 2C: 1999-2000 New Doctoral Recipients: Field of Thesis by Type of Degree-Granting Department, Updated April 2001

| TYPE OF DOCTORAL DEGREEGRANTING DEPARTMENT | FIELD OF THESIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Algebra Number Theory | Real, Comp. Funct., \& Harmonic Analysis | Geometry/ Topology | Discr. Math./ Combin./ Logic/ Comp. Sci. | Probability | Statistics | Applied Math. | Numerical Analysis/ Approximations |  | Differential, Integral, \& Difference Equations | Math. Education | Other/ Unknown |  |
| Group I (Public) | 74 | 32 | 46 | 26 | 9 | 4 | 18 | 16 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 2 | 257 |
| Group I (Private) | 42 | 18 | 33 | 16 | 9 | 2 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 157 |
| Group II | 48 | 32 | 20 | 20 | 7 | 4 | 31 | 18 | 7 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 222 |
| Group III | 13 | 12 | 10 | 17 | 4 | 24 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 19 | 12 | 1 | 134 |
| Group IV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 272 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 290 |
| Group Va | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 25 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| Total | 178 | 95 | 110 | 87 | 42 | 311 | 105 | 59 | 27 | 94 | 16 | 3 | 1127 |

determined do not include those new doctoral recipients whose fall employment status was unknown at the time of the Second Report. Note that prior to 1999 the new doctoral recipients from Group Vb are included in the total unemployment rate for each year.

Of the 1,000 new doctoral recipients whose employment is known, 856 were employed in the U.S., 101 were employed outside the U.S., 33 were still seeking employment, and 10 were not seeking employment.

Table 2D presents the trend in the percentage of employed new doctoral recipients by general employment sector for the last three years. Academic employment includes those employed by research institutes and other nonprofits. The total number of new doctoral recipients known to be employed at the time of the Second Report was 965,955 , and 957 , for 1998,1999 , and 2000 respectively.

Table 2D: Percentage of Total Employed New Doctoral Recipients by General Employment Sector, Fall 1998 to Fall 2000

| $\%$ | U.S. |  | Non-U.S. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Academic | Nonacademic | Academic | Nonacademic |
| Fall 1998 | 56.7 | 29.3 | 11.9 | 2.1 |
| Fall 1999 | 63.9 | 23.5 | 10.7 | 2.0 |
| Fall 2000 | 61.7 | 27.8 | 9.6 | 0.9 |

Among new doctoral recipients who are employed, the percentage taking nonacademic employment (U.S. government, U.S. business and industry, and non-U.S. nonacademic) varied significantly by field of thesis. For those whose field of thesis is in the first three columns in Table 2A, this percentage is the lowest at $13.9 \%$, while the percentage for those with theses in probability or statistics is the highest at 43.5\%.

Figure 3: Percentage of New Doctoral Recipients Unemployed, As Reported in the Respective Annual Survey Second Reports, 1978-2000


Tables 4A through 4E first appeared in the First Report for 1999-2000, although they do not have the same table numbers in that report. They have all been updated with information obtained from the individual new doctoral recipients who responded to a follow-up questionnaire. The next few paragraphs give a few things we can glean from these tables.

Table 4A shows that 38 more new doctoral recipients accepted jobs in business and industry compared to last year, an increase of $20.5 \%$.

Table 4A: Number of New Doctoral Recipients Taking Positions in U.S. Business and Industry by Type of Degree-Granting Department, Fall 1998 to Fall 2000

| Group | I (Pu) | I (Pr) | II | III | IV | Va | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall 1998 | 37 | 27 | 44 | 25 | 75 | 26 | $\mathbf{2 3 4}$ |
| Fall 1999 | 32 | 24 | 28 | 21 | 66 | 14 | $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ |
| Fall 2000 | 33 | 28 | 37 | 24 | 83 | 18 | $\mathbf{2 2 3}$ |

From Table 4B we see that 20 fewer new doctoral recipients were hired in U.S. academic institutions than last year, a decrease of $3.3 \%$.

Table 4B: Number of New Doctoral Recipients Taking U.S. Academic Positions by Type of Degree-Granting
Department, Fall 1998 to Fall 2000

| Group | I (Pu) | I (Pr) | II | III | IV | Va | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall 1998 | 133 | 100 | 138 | 61 | 85 | 30 | 547 |
| Fall 1999 | 166 | 91 | 146 | 82 | 86 | 39 | 610 |
| Fall 2000 | 144 | 82 | 126 | 79 | 131 | 28 | 590 |

Table 4C shows that Group I, II, and III departments combined hired 17 fewer new doctoral recipients this year than they did last year, a decrease of $7.3 \%$, while the number of new doctoral recipients hired by Group M and B departments is down by 13 (6.7\%) compared to last year.

Table 4C: U.S. Academic Positions Filled by New Doctoral Recipients by Type of Hiring Department,

Fall 1998 to Fall 2000

| Group | I-III | IV | Va | M\&B | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall 1998 | 187 | 36 | 5 | 203 | 116 | 547 |
| Fall 1999 | 233 | 47 | 19 | 193 | 118 | 610 |
| Fall 2000 | 216 | 51 | 11 | 180 | 132 | 590 |

Table 4D gives information about the production and hiring of female new doctoral recipients in the doctoral-granting departments of this survey.

Table 4D: Females as a Percentage of New Doctoral Recipients Produced and Hired by Doctoral-Granting Departments, Fall 2000

| $\%$ | I (Pu) | I (Pr) | II | III | IV | Va | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Produced | 23.3 | 11.5 | 29.7 | 23.9 | 38.6 | 23.9 | $\mathbf{2 7 . 0}$ |
| Hired | 13.3 | 15.8 | 18.0 | 26.5 | 54.9 | 18.2 | $\mathbf{2 4 . 1}$ |

Table 4E shows that the new doctoral recipients from Group Va departments have the highest unemployment rate this year at $5.5 \%$, while those from Group III departments have the lowest unemployment rate at $1.8 \%$.

## Table 4E: Percentage of Unemployed New Doctoral Recipients by Type of Degree-Granting Department, Fall 1998 to Fall 2000

| $\%$ | I (Pu) | I (Pr) | II | III | IV | Va | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall 1998 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 4.9 |
| Fall 1999 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| Fall 2000 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 3.3 |

## Updated Information about New Doctoral Recipients by Sex and Citizenship

Tables 4 F and 4 G show the sex and citizenship of the 1,127 new doctoral recipients and the fact that 856 new doctoral recipients found jobs in the U.S. this year. This is $89.4 \%$ of the 957 new doctoral recipients known to have jobs in October 2000.

Sex and citizenship is known for all of the 1,127 new doctoral recipients. The final count of new doctoral recipients who are U.S. citizens is 566. At $50.2 \%$, up slightly from $49.3 \%$ last year, this is the largest percentage reported by the Annual Survey since 1986-87. The final count of new doctoral recipients who are non-U.S. citizens decreased from 575 last year to 561 this year and remains well below the record high of 679 reported in the final count for 1992-93. Pages 200-202 of the First Report present further information related to the citizenship of the 1999-2000 new doctoral recipients.

Of the 566 U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients, 164 are female and 402 are male. The $164 \mathrm{fe}-$ male new doctoral recipients comprise $29.0 \%$ of the U.S. citizen total for 1999-2000, a decrease from last year's count of 188 , which was $33.6 \%$ of the U.S. citizen new doctoral recipients. The number of U.S. citizen males, 402 , increased by 30 (8.1\%) from 372 last year.

Table 4 H shows that while U.S. academic doctoral departments, Groups I through Va, hired $51.1 \%$ U.S. citizens, U.S. academic positions other than in the doctoral departments hired 69.2\% U.S.

Table 4F: Employment Status of 1999-2000 Male U.S. New Doctoral Recipients by Type of Citizenship

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER | CITIZENSHIP |  |  |  | MALE DOCTORAL RECIPIENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. CITIZENS | NON-U.S. CITIZENS |  |  |  |
|  |  | Permanent Visa | Temporary Visa | Unknown Visa |  |
| U.S. Employer | 344 | 48 | 210 | 20 | 622 |
| U.S. Academic | 247 | 29 | 123 | 15 | 414 |
| Groups I, II, III, and Va | 95 | 16 | 68 | 9 | 188 |
| Group IV | 17 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 24 |
| Non-Ph.D. Department | 125 | 11 | 39 | 4 | 179 |
| Research Institute/Other Nonprofit | 10 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 23 |
| U.S. Nonacademic | 97 | 19 | 87 | 5 | 208 |
| Non-U.S. Employer | 21 | 1 | 47 | 10 | 79 |
| Non-U.S. Academic | 20 | 1 | 44 | 8 | 73 |
| Non-U.S. Nonacademic | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| Not Seeking Employment | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| Still Seeking Employment | 8 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 23 |
| SUBTOTAL | 375 | 53 | 270 | 31 | 729 |
| Unknown (U.S.) | 27 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 48 |
| Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{1}$ | 0 | 2 | 35 | 9 | 46 |
| TOTAL | 402 | 63 | 316 | 42 | 823 |

Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".
Table 4G: Employment Status of 1999-2000 Female U.S. New Doctoral Recipients by Type of Citizenship

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER | CITIZENSHIP |  |  |  | FEMALE DOCTORAL RECIPIENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. CITIZENS | NON-U.S. CITIZENS |  |  |  |
|  |  | Permanent Visa | Temporary Visa | Unknown Visa |  |
| U.S. Employer | 137 | 24 | 65 | 8 | 234 |
| U.S. Academic | 111 | 13 | 47 | 5 | 176 |
| Groups I, II, III, and Va |  | 3 | 16 | 1 | 39 |
| Group IV | 11 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 27 |
| Non-Ph.D. Department | 71 | 6 | 15 | 2 | 94 |
| Research Institute/Other Nonprofit | 10 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 16 |
| U.S. Nonacademic | 26 | 11 | 18 | 3 | 58 |
| Non-U.S. Employer | 4 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 22 |
| Non-U.S. Academic | 4 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 19 |
| Non-U.S. Nonacademic | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Not Seeking Employment | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Still Seeking Employment | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 |
| SUBTOTAL | 149 | 26 | 86 | 10 | 271 |
| Unknown (U.S.) | 14 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 21 |
| Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 12 |
| TOTAL | 164 | 31 | 97 | 12 | 304 |

' Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".
citizens. The percentage of U.S. citizens hired for nonacademic positions in the U.S. was $46.2 \%$. Among those 856 1999-2000 doctoral recipients taking employment in the U.S., $31.1 \%$ took nonacademic employment (government or business and industry). This is up from $26.9 \%$ in 1998-99.

## Table 4H: New Doctoral Recipients Having Employment in the U.S by Type of Employer and Citizenship, Fall 2000

| Employer | U.S. | Non-U.S. | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Academic, Groups I-Va | $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ | 136 | $\mathbf{2 7 8}$ |
| U.S. Academic, Other | 216 | 96 | $\mathbf{3 1 2}$ |
| U.S. Nonacademic | 123 | 143 | $\mathbf{2 6 6}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 6}$ |

## New Information from the EENDR Survey

Of the 1,127 new doctoral recipients reported in the First Report, the 1,046 whose addresses were known were sent the Employment Experiences of New Doctoral Recipients (EENDR) survey in October 2000, and 603 (57.6\%) responded. The response rates varied considerably among the various subgroups of new doctoral recipients defined by their employment status as reported by departments. Among those who were employed, the highest response rate, $69.0 \%$, was from those in academia in the U.S., while the lowest, $50.0 \%$, was from those in foreign academia.

The EENDR gathered details on employment experiences not available through departments. The rest of this section presents the additional
information available on this subset of the 1999-2000 doctoral recipients.

Table 5 shows the citizenship of the 603 new doctoral recipients who responded to the EENDR.

Of the 603 total respondents to the EENDR, 536 were employed in the U.S., 49 were employed outside the U.S., 9 were still seeking employment, 5 were not seeking employment, and 4 were unknown (non-U.S.) as of the week of October 10, 2000. The unemployment rate for those responding to the EENDR is $1.5 \%$. Among those employed in the U.S., 519 were employed full-time and 16 were employed part-time (one individual did not answer this question). Of the 16 reporting part-time employment, 6 reported that they were working part-time because a suitable full-time job was not available, while 9 also reported they were working part-time while they pursued additional education.

Among the 536 employed in the U.S., 317 reported obtaining a permanent position and 218 a temporary position (one individual did not answer this question). Of the 218 in temporary positions, 92 (42.2\%) reported taking temporary employment because a suitable permanent position was not available and 157 (72.0\%) classified their position as postdoctoral. Furthermore, among those in postdoctoral positions, $35.0 \%$ responded that they took the position because a suitable permanent position was not available.

Among the 317 who reported obtaining a permanent position in the U.S., $59.3 \%$ were employed in academia (including 2.2\% in research institutes and other nonprofits), $36.3 \%$ in business or industry, and $4.4 \%$ in government. Women held $31.5 \%$ of the permanent positions.

Among the 218 individuals with temporary employment in the U.S., $95.4 \%$ were employed in

Figure 6: Age Distribution of 1999-2000 New Doctoral Recipients

academia (including $8.3 \%$ in research institutes and other nonprofits), $2.3 \%$ in business or industry, and $2.3 \%$ in government.

Among the 49 individuals employed outside the U.S., $87.8 \%$ were employed in academia (including $12.2 \%$ in research institutes and other nonprofits) and the other $12.2 \%$ were in business or industry. None were employed in government. Twenty-one of those employed outside the U.S. were U.S. citizens, 15 of which were in temporary positions, while none were U.S. permanent residents.

Figure 6 gives the age distribution of the 595 new doctoral recipients who responded to this question. The median age of new doctoral recipients was 30.0 , while the mean age was 31.7. The first and third quartiles were 28 and 34 respectively. These figures are almost identical to those reported last year.

Table 5: Employment Status of 1999-2000 EENDR Respondents by Type of Citizenship

| TYPE OF EMPLOYER | CITIZENSHIP |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { EENDR } \\ \text { RESPONDENTS } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.S. CITIZENS | NON-U.S. CITIZENS |  |  |  |
|  |  | Permanent Visa | Temporary Visa | Unknown Visa |  |
| U.S. Employer | 337 | 37 | 155 | 7 | 536 |
| U.S. Academic | 267 | 22 | 103 | 5 | 397 |
| Groups I, II, III, and Va |  | 7 | 58 | 3 | 148 |
| Group IV | 24 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 30 |
| Non-Ph.D. Department | 148 | 12 | 32 | 2 | 194 |
| Research Institute/Other Nonprofit | 15 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 25 |
| U.S. Nonacademic | 70 | 15 | 52 | 2 | 139 |
| Non-U.S. Employer | 21 | 0 | 21 | 7 | 49 |
| Non-U.S. Academic | 20 | 0 | 18 | 5 | 43 |
| Non-U.S. Nonacademic | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| Not Seeking Employment | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Still Seeking Employment | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 |
| SUBTOTAL | 364 | 39 | 182 | 14 | 599 |
| Unknown (U.S.) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown (non-U.S.) ${ }^{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| TOTAL | 365 | 39 | 185 | 14 | 603 |

Includes those whose status is reported as "unknown" or "still seeking employment".
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## Starting Salary Survey of New Doctoral Recipients

The starting salary figures for 2000 were compiled from information gathered on the EENDR questionnaires sent to individuals who received doctoral degrees in the mathematical sciences during the 1999-2000 academic year from universities in the United States (see previous section for more details).

The questionnaires were distributed to 1,046 recipients of degrees using addresses provided by the departments granting the degrees; 603 individuals responded between late October and

## Academic Postdoctorates 9-10-Month Salaries (in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. <br> Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported <br> Median in <br> $2000 \$$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 180 | 350 | 385 | 410 | 450 | 404 |
| 1998 | 290 | 350 | 390 | 420 | 500 | 404 |
| 1999 | 130 | 365 | 400 | 418 | 540 | 408 |
| 2000 | 300 | 385 | 420 | 450 | 550 | 420 |
| 1997 M | 250 | 350 | 380 | 405 | 446 | ----- |
| 1997 F | 180 | 350 | 385 | 408 | 450 | ---- |
| 1998 M | 290 | 340 | 390 | 430 | 500 | ---- |
| 1998 F | 310 | 361 | 375 | 390 | 436 | ---- |
| 1999 M | 220 | 373 | 400 | 428 | 540 | ---- |
| 1999 F | 130 | 350 | 390 | 410 | 475 | ----- |
| Total (61 male/14 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000M | 300 | 390 | 420 | 450 | 550 |  |
| 2000F | 360 | 389 | 448 | 458 | 544 |  |

April. Responses with insufficient data or from individuals who indicated they had part-time employment were considered unusable. Numbers of usable responses for each salary category are reported in the following tables.

Readers should be warned that the data in this report are obtained from a self-selected sample, and inferences from them may not be representative of the population.

Key to Tables. Salaries are listed in hundreds of dollars. Nine-month salaries are based on 9-10 months' teaching and/or research, not adding extra stipends for summer grants or summer teaching or the equivalent. Years listed are the academic year in which the doctorate was received. M and F are male and female respectively. Some persons receiving a doctoral degree had been employed in their present position for several years. Quartile figures are given only in cases where the number of responses is large enough to make them meaningful. All categories of "Teaching or Teaching and Research" and "Research" contain only those recipients employed at academic institutions. The "Research, 9-10-Month Salaries" table was dropped as of 1998 because so few recipients respond in this category that the data was not considered meaningful. Starting salaries for those reporting a postdoctoral position are available for a fourth year. These salaries are also included within the academic tables and box plots on pages 717-718.

Graphs. The graphs show standard box plots summarizing salary distribution information for the years 1994 through 2000. Values plotted for 1994 through 1999 are converted to 2000 dollars using the implicit price deflator prepared annually by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce.

For each boxplot the box shows the first quartile (Q1), the median (M), and the third quartile (Q3). The interquartile range (IQR) is defined as Q3-Q1. Think of constructing invisible fences $1.5 \times \mathrm{IQR}$ below Q 1 and $1.5 \times \mathrm{PQR}$ above Q 3 . Whiskers are drawn from Q3 to the largest observation that falls below the upper invisible fence and from Q1 to the smallest observation that falls above the lower invisible fence. Think of constructing two more invisible fences, each falling 1.5 xIQR above or below the existing invisible fences. Any observation that falls between the fences on each end of the boxplots is called an outlier and is plotted as o in the boxplots. Any observation that falls outside of both fences either above or below the box in the boxplot is called an extreme outlier and is marked as * in the boxplot.

## Academic Teaching/Teaching and Research 9-10-Month Salaries (in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2000 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 70 |  | 80 |  | 105 | 360 |
| 1970 | 85 |  | 110 |  | 195 | 405 |
| 1975 | 90 | 120 | 128 | 135 | 173 | 342 |
| 1980 | 105 | 155 | 171 | 185 | 250 | 321 |
| 1985 | 170 | 230 | 250 | 270 | 380 | 363 |
| 1990 | 230 | 305 | 320 | 350 | 710 | 396 |
| 1994 | 150 | 330 | 350 | 375 | 730 | 390 |
| 1995 | 220 | 320 | 350 | 382 | 640 | 381 |
| 1996 | 240 | 333 | 360 | 400 | 636 | 385 |
| 1997 | 180 | 340 | 366 | 400 | 840 | 384 |
| 1998 | 140 | 340 | 370 | 410 | 700 | 383 |
| 1999 | 180 | 360 | 400 | 430 | 700 | 408 |
| 2000 | 250 | 380 | 415 | 450 | 650 | 415 |
| 1996M | 240 | 330 | 360 | 400 | 636 | ----- |
| 1996F | 270 | 345 | 365 | 399 | 500 | ----- |
| 1997M | 180 | 340 | 367 | 400 | 571 | -- |
| 1997F | 180 | 340 | 366 | 396 | 840 | ----- |
| 1998M | 140 | 340 | 370 | 411 | 700 | ----- |
| 1998F | 250 | 350 | 377 | 409 | 600 | -- |
| 1999M | 220 | 370 | 400 | 430 | 700 | ----- |
| 1999F | 180 | 350 | 390 | 420 | 540 | ----- |
| Total (183 male/72 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000M | 250 | 380 | 415 | 450 | 650 |  |
| 2000F | 321 | 380 | 413 | 450 | 620 |  |
| One year or less experience ( 149 male/57 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000M | 250 | 380 | 415 | 450 | 650 |  |
| 2000F | 321 | 380 | 418 | 450 | 620 |  |



Academic Teaching/Teaching and Research
11-12-Month Salaries
(in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2000 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 78 |  | 104 |  | 121 | 468 |
| 1970 | 95 |  | 128 |  | 200 | 471 |
| 1975 | 87 |  | 145 |  | 204 | 387 |
| 1980 | 143 |  | 195 |  | 350 | 366 |
| 1985 | 220 | 230 | 273 | 300 | 470 | 396 |
| 1990 | 225 | 318 | 365 | 404 | 670 | 451 |
| 1994 | 365 | 391 | 480 | 503 | 510 | 535 |
| 1995 | 300 | 354 | 410 | 478 | 600 | 447 |
| 1996 | 150 | 302 | 340 | 390 | 720 | 364 |
| 1997 | 260 | 370 | 400 | 497 | 650 | 420 |
| 1998 | 275 | 403 | 480 | 578 | 700 | 497 |
| 1999 | 200 | 374 | 420 | 469 | 650 | 429 |
| 2000 | 300 | 400 | 485 | 600 | 1170 | 485 |
| 1996M | 150 | 280 | 330 | 460 | 720 | ----- |
| 1996F | 330 | 340 | 358 | 368 | 400 | ----- |
| 1997M | 260 | 360 | 400 | 420 | 635 | ----- |
| 1997F | 260 | 393 | 447 | 505 | 650 | ----- |
| 1998M | 275 | 410 | 495 | 573 | 700 | ----- |
| 1998F | 300 | 395 | 464 | 575 | 630 | ----- |
| 1999M | 280 | 370 | 420 | 458 | 650 | ----- |
| 1999F | 200 | 393 | 435 | 590 | 630 | ----- |
| Total (45 male/13 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 M | 300 | 390 | 460 | 650 | 1170 |  |
| 2000 F | 395 | 465 | 500 | 570 | 750 |  |
| One year or less experience (34 male/10 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 M | 300 | 390 | 443 | 536 | 780 |  |
| 2000 F | 395 | 474 | 510 | 560 | 750 |  |



## Academic Research Only <br> 11-12-Month Salaries <br> (in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2000 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 81 |  | 93 |  | 107 | 418 |
| 1970 | 90 |  | 120 |  | 205 | 442 |
| 1975 | 90 |  | 119 |  | 180 | 318 |
| 1980 | 120 |  | 180 |  | 321 | 337 |
| 1985 | 190 | 295 | 342 | 400 | 520 | 496 |
| 1990 | 180 | 280 | 300 | 365 | 546 | 371 |
| 1994 | 210 | 330 | 350 | 400 | 490 | 390 |
| 1995 | 196 | 280 | 340 | 370 | 587 | 371 |
| 1996 | 192 | 270 | 330 | 400 | 585 | 353 |
| 1997 | 190 | 300 | 350 | 400 | 600 | 367 |
| 1998 | 200 | 333 | 360 | 428 | 617 | 373 |
| 1999 | 270 | 390 | 440 | 500 | 720 | 449 |
| 2000 | 300 | 384 | 400 | 555 | 1000 | 400 |
| 1996M | 210 | 273 | 330 | 360 | 585 | ----- |
| 1996F | 192 | 265 | 390 | 455 | 500 | ----- |
| 1997M | 210 | 300 | 350 | 406 | 500 | ----- |
| 1997F | 190 | 313 | 350 | 386 | 600 | ----- |
| 1998M | 200 | 340 | 360 | 400 | 600 | ----- |
| 1998F | 285 | 330 | 360 | 540 | 617 | ----- |
| 1999M | 270 | 383 | 400 | 493 | 600 | ----- |
| 1999F | 340 | 468 | 530 | 581 | 720 | ----- |
| Total (23 male/17 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000M | 300 | 390 | 400 | 486 | 1000 |  |
| 2000F | 300 | 360 | 410 | 580 | 630 |  |
| One year or less experience (23 male/14 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000M | 300 | 390 | 400 | 486 | 644 |  |
| 2000F | 300 | 360 | 400 | 533 | 600 |  |



Government
11-12-Month Salaries (in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2000 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 70 |  | 126 |  | 160 | 567 |
| 1970 | 100 |  | 150 |  | 223 | 552 |
| 1975 | 78 |  | 182 |  | 247 | 486 |
| 1980 | 156 |  | 244 |  | 501 | 457 |
| 1985 | 263 | 294 | 325 | 381 | 440 | 472 |
| 1990 | 320 | 345 | 378 | 430 | 587 | 467 |
| 1994 | 250 | 355 | 455 | 530 | 576 | 507 |
| 1995 | 370 | 440 | 494 | 507 | 650 | 538 |
| 1996 | 360 | 420 | 427 | 504 | 650 | 457 |
| 1997 | 350 | 454 | 573 | 600 | 750 | 601 |
| 1998 | 320 | 475 | 540 | 736 | 1250 | 559 |
| 1999 | 400 | 495 | 550 | 651 | 720 | 561 |
| 2000 | 440 | 540 | 600 | 640 | 830 | 600 |
| 1996M | 360 | 405 | 427 | 500 | 650 | ---- |
| 1996F | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| 1997M | 370 | 476 | 573 | 608 | 750 | ----- |
| 1997F | 350 | 465 | 560 | 586 | 680 | --- |
| 1998M | 320 | 500 | 568 | 756 | 1250 | ----- |
| 1998F | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| 1999M | 400 | 495 | 540 | 587 | 720 | ----- |
| 1999F | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Total (11 male/6 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000M | 440 | 563 | 620 | 649 | 830 |  |
| 2000F | 530 | 545 | 566 | 593 | 650 |  |
| One year or less experience (9 male/4 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000M | 440 | 525 | 620 | 658 | 830 |  |
| 2000F | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |  |



## Business and Industry 11-12-Month Salaries (in hundreds of dollars)

| Ph.D. Year | Min | $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ | Median | $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ | Max | Reported Median in 2000 \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 100 |  | 136 |  | 180 | 612 |
| 1970 | 96 |  | 170 |  | 235 | 626 |
| 1975 | 114 |  | 187 |  | 240 | 499 |
| 1980 | 190 |  | 284 |  | 400 | 532 |
| 1985 | 260 | 360 | 400 | 420 | 493 | 580 |
| 1990 | 320 | 438 | 495 | 533 | 700 | 612 |
| 1994 | 200 | 418 | 525 | 600 | 750 | 585 |
| 1995 | 288 | 480 | 568 | 690 | 1250 | 619 |
| 1996 | 250 | 510 | 580 | 610 | 1000 | 620 |
| 1997 | 300 | 483 | 600 | 658 | 1000 | 629 |
| 1998 | 240 | 550 | 650 | 750 | 2250 | 673 |
| 1999 | 360 | 600 | 680 | 761 | 2450 | 694 |
| 2000 | 200 | 640 | 720 | 800 | 1500 | 720 |
| 1996M | 250 | 480 | 580 | 610 | 1000 | ----- |
| 1996F | 520 | ----- | 590 | ----- | 650 | ----- |
| 1997M | 300 | 490 | 600 | 670 | 1000 | ----- |
| 1997F | 400 | 460 | 540 | 620 | 900 | ----- |
| 1998M | 240 | 550 | 650 | 750 | 1250 | ----- |
| 1998F | 305 | 565 | 662 | 765 | 2250 | ----- |
| 1999M | 360 | 626 | 700 | 763 | 2450 | ----- |
| 1999F | 440 | 580 | 644 | 676 | 1100 | ----- |
| Total (83 male/26 female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000M | 200 | 640 | 730 | 800 | 1500 |  |
| 2000F | 200 | 645 | 690 | 788 | 980 |  |
| One year or less experience ( $60 \mathrm{male} / 20$ female) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000M | 350 | 648 | 750 | 800 | 1100 |  |
| 12000F | 200 | 655 | 680 | 750 | 950 |  |

(Note: Two salaries above \$150,000 are not shown.)


## Definitions of the Groups

As has been the case for a number of years, much of the data in these reports is presented for departments divided into groups according to several characteristics, the principal one being the highest degree offered in the mathematical sciences. Doctoral-granting departments of mathematics are further subdivided according to their ranking of "scholarly quality of program faculty" as reported in the 1995 publication Re-search-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change. ${ }^{1}$ These rankings update those reported in a previous study published in 1982. ${ }^{2}$ Consequently, the departments which now comprise Groups I, II, and III differ significantly from those used prior to the 1996 survey.

The subdivision of the Group I institutions into Group I Public and Group I Private was new for the 1996 survey. With the increase in number of the Group I departments from 39 to 48, the Annual Survey Data Committee judged that a further subdivision of public and private would provide more meaningful reporting of the data for these departments.

Brief descriptions of the groupings are as follows:
Group I is composed of 48 departments with scores in the 3.00-5.00 range. Group I Public and Group I Private are Group I departments at public institutions and private institutions respectively.
Group II is composed of 56 departments with scores in the 2.00-2.99 range.

Group III contains the remaining U.S. departments reporting a doctoral program, including a number of departments not included in the 1995 ranking of program faculty.
Group IV contains U.S. departments (or programs) of statistics, biostatistics, and biometrics reporting a doctoral program.
Group V contains U.S. departments (or programs) in applied mathematics/applied science, operations research, and management science which report a doctoral program.
Group Va is applied mathematics/applied science; Group Vb , which is no longer surveyed as of 1998-99, was operations research and management science.
Group M contains U.S. departments granting a master's degree as the highest graduate degree.
Group B contains U.S. departments granting a baccalaureate degree only.
Listings of the actual departments which comprise these groups are available on the AMS Website at www. ams.org/employment/.
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## Supplemental Listing of New Doctoral Recipients, 1999-2000

## CALIFORNIA

## University of California, Los Angeles (6)

Statistics
Bentow, Stanley, A Markov chain Monte Carlo method for approximating 2-way contingency tables with applications in the stability analysis of ecological ordination.

Brauerman, Amy, A rate-disorientation approach to massive data set analysis.

Bond, Jason, A robust approach to SIR estimation.
Hu, Ming-Yi, Model checking for incomplete high dimensional categorical data.

Piersol, Laura, Fitting nonlinear mixed effect models by Laplace approximation.

Xie, Jun, Entropy filtering method and insertion/deletion robust algorithm for multiple local sequence alignment.

## NEW HAMPSHIRE

## University of New Hampshire (2)

Mathematics
Parker, Andy, Topics in chaotic secure communication.
Pendharkar, Hemant, Central sequences and C*-algebras.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change, edited by Marvin L. Goldberger, Brendan A. Maher, and Pamela Ebert Flattau, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1995.
    ${ }^{2}$ These findings were published in An Assessment of Re-search-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, edited by Lyle V. Jones, Gardner Lindzey, and Porter E. Coggeshall, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1982. The information on mathematics, statistics, and computer science was presented in digest form in the April 1983 issue of the Notices, pages 257-267, and an analysis of the classifications was given in the June 1983 Notices, pages 392-393.

