Notices of the American Mathematical Society

29th Annual AMS Survey 1985 Second Report

> Reprinted from the *Notices*, March 1986 ©1986 American Mathematical Society Printed in the United States of America

Second Report

A first report of the 1985 Survey appeared in the November 1985 Notices, pages 758-787. It included a report of the survey of faculty salaries, tenure, and women, a first report of the survey of new 1984-1985 doctorates, and a list of the names and thesis titles of the 1984-1985 doctorates included in the Survey. This second report includes an update of the fall 1985 employment status of new doctorates, an analysis of faculty mobility, and a report on fall 1985 enrollments and class

The 29th Annual AMS Survey was made under the direction of the Society's Committee on Employment and Educational Policy (CEEP), whose members in 1985 were Lida K. Barrett, Stefan A. Burr, Philip C. Curtis, Jr., Lisl Novak Gaal, Gerald J. Janusz, and Donald C. Rung (chairman). A Data Subcommittee of CEEP, consistinț of Lida K. Barrett, Edward A. Connors, Lincoln K. Durst, Arthur P. Mattuck, James W. Maxwell (ex officio), Donald E. McClure, and Donald C. Rung (chairman), designed the questionnaires with which the data were collected. The committee is grateful to members of the AMS staff, especially Marcia C. Almeida, for the diligence and efficiency with which the data were collected and compiled. Comments or suggestions regarding this program may be directed to the subcommittee.

Employment of Mathematical Sciences Doctorates Faculty Mobility, Nonacademic Employment and Enrollments, Fall 1985

by Edward A. Connors

We report on employment patterns, enrollments, and departmental size in the mathematical sciences beginning with an update of the fall 1985 employment status of new 1984-1985 doctorates (Table 1). We continue with an analysis of trends in the academic job market in the mathematical sciences derived from the 1985 AMS Annual Survey of Faculty Mobility and we provide estimates for the total enrollments and numbers of junior-senior majors and graduate students in the mathematical sciences extrapolated from the 1985 AMS Annual Survey of Enrollments and Departmental Size. Our estimation technique is described below. We note that this survey asked for data on enrollments and departmental size for fall 1984 and fall 1985, so we have data from consecutive years from the same sample.

Estimates based on AMS Survey data suggest that since fall 1984, 685 full-time positions in U.S. colleges and universities (excluding Group V departments) were filled by nondoctorates, and for 359 of these positions, the department would have preferred someone with a doctorate. these 359 positions, 327 were in Groups M and B departments. (See the box for descriptions of the groups.) The estimated total increase for this year in the size of the full-time faculty (469) is not as large as the comparable estimate last year (682). The doctorate faculty for Groups I, II and III increased by 95 (compared to 134 last year), but the tenured doctorate faculty increased by 218 (compared to 54 last year). See Table 2A for the estimated sizes of the full-time faculty in Groups I, II, and III. The size of the nondoctorate faculty in Groups I, II, III, IV, M, and B is estimated to

Groups I and II include the leading departments of mathematics in the U.S. according to the 1982 assessment of Research-Doctorate Programs conducted by the Conference Board of Associated Research Councils in which departments were rated according to the quality of their graduate faculty.1

Group I is composed of 39 departments with scores in the 3.0-5.0 range

Group II is composed of 43 departments with scores in the 2.0-2.9 range.

Group III contains the remaining U.S. departments reporting a doctoral program.

Group IV contains U.S. departments (or programs) of statistics, biostatistics and biometrics reporting a doctoral program.

Group V contains U.S. departments (or programs) in applied mathematics/applied science, operations research and management science which report a doctoral program.

Group VI contains doctorate-granting departments (or programs) in the mathematical sciences in Canadian universities.

Group M contains U.S. departments granting a master's degree as the highest graduate degree. Group B contains U.S. departments granting

a baccalaureate degree only.

Response rates varied from one group to another, with the largest response rate from Groups I, II. and III. Of an estimated total in 1983 of 18,527 full-time U.S. mathematical sciences faculty members, 9,425 are members of departments which responded to the survey.

¹These findings were published in An Assessment of Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, edited by Lyle V. Jones, Gardner Lindzey and Porter E. Coggeshall, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., 1982. The information on mathematics, statistics and computer science was presented in digest form in the April 1983 issue of the *Notices*, pages 257–267, and an analysis of the above classifications was given in the June 1983 Notices, pages 392-393

be 4,515 compared to 4,674 last year. The net decrease of 159 reverses the trend of the last five years.

Useable Responses

<u>I II III IV V VI M B</u>

Enrollments and

Departmental Size 30 34 44 39 7 12 127 345 Faculty Mobility 23 28 36 38 7 12 121 318

New Doctorates Fall and Spring Counts

	<u>1982-1983</u>	<u>1983-1984</u>	<u>1984-1985</u>
Fall	792	789	769
Spring	840	827	807

For the first time these surveys show a decrease of 1% in total enrollments, thus fulfilling the prediction offered by Don Rung in his article last year (see Table 5). It is interesting to note that the raw data reported by Groups I, II and III combined show an increase of 4% in enrollments in courses below calculus (excluding statistics and computer science) and a decrease of 4.5% in enrollments in first-year calculus. The number of junior-senior majors in Groups I, II and III combined shows a small increase of 1% from fall 1984, while Groups M and B combined report a 3% decrease. However, the raw data

for the individual groups shows an increase of 4% for Groups I, an increase of 2% for Group II, a decrease of 5% for Group III, an increase of 5% for Group IV, an increase of 2% for Group B, and a decrease of 6% for Group M. Graduate enrollments increased across the board with a relatively large increase in first year students (10% in Groups I, II and III combined and 17% in Group IV).

Enrollments in computer science courses offered by the surveyed departments continued to decline. Some of this decline is due to these courses now being offered by new and separate departments of computer science which we do not survey. However, the major part of the decline is doubtlessly due to a decline in interest in careers as computer scientists and analysts.

Part-time members of the faculty continue their significant role in undergraduate instruction in departments in Groups M and B. Here we have an estimated 5,570 part-time faculty members compared to 13,502 full-time faculty members. In contrast, we estimate that Groups I, II, and III combined utilize 959 part-time faculty members compared to 5,845 full-time faculty members. Last year's estimates were 904 and 5,497, respectively. In a subsequent survey we hope to obtain the number of full-time nontenure-track faculty members (permanent visitors).

Table 1: 1984-1985 Employment Status of New Doctorates in the Mathematical Sciences

	L	PU	RE MA	тнем	ATICS	<u> </u>						/
Type of Employer	Algebra and Number m	Analysis and Anathsis and Analysis	Geometry and	Logic	Probabin	Statistics	Computer Science	Operations Research	Applied Mather	Mathematics Education	Other	Total
Group I Group II Group III Group IV Group V	23 4 10	17 8 10	20 8 8	4 1 1	3 3 6 3 1	3 5 14 2		1	8 8 14 4	1.	8 2 3 2	83 37 59 19
Masters Bachelors Two-year College Other Academic Departments	11 17 5	18 10 2	$egin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} 2 \\ 7 \\ 1 \end{array}$	3 1 1	19 12 23	1	1 3	6 6 8	1 4	3 4 1	68 70 6
Research Institutes Government Business and Industry	2	3 3 6	1 1 4	2	2 6	5 4 31	6	12	5 5 15		2 1	24 14 108
Canada, Academic Canada, Nonacademic Foreign, Academic Foreign, Nonacademic	3 1 6 5	5 1 9	10	4	3 1 2 2	8 4 29 25	3	3 5	2 20 9	1	1 1 1 9 7	22 8 96 61
Not seeking employ. Not yet employed Unknown Total	$\frac{1}{\frac{2}{94}}$	4 105	1 2 70	27	1 1 39	1 4 189	2	41	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 4\\ \hline 115 \end{array}$	7	1 67	2 6 17 769
20001	J-I	100	10	41	UU	109	10	. 1 T	110	1	01	109

Table 2A: Faculty Flow 1984-1985 To 1985-1986

Full-Time Doctorate-Holding Faculty in 155 Doctorate-Granting Mathematics Departments in the U.S. (Groups I, II, III)

Source	es of New Facu	lty	Faculty Leaving					
From	Nontenured	Tenured	<u>To</u>	<u>Nontenured</u>	$\underline{\text{Tenured}}$			
Graduate school	133	0	Doctorate-granting departments Other college or	112	27			
Another college or			university position Nonacademic	48	9			
university position Nonacademic	161	44	employment Deaths and	16	12			
employment	7	0	retirements	2	55			
Outside U.S.	30	11	Position outside U.S.	9	12			
Other sources	11	_7	Seeking employment Other	$\frac{2}{12}$	0 _ <u>19</u>			
Total	342	62	Total	201	134			
Received doctorate and not moving	(23)							
Received tenure and not moving		(97)	Received tenure and not moving		(97)			
-		C 11	1. 73.11 + 0.0 # .0	T TTT				

Estimated size of full-time faculty, Fall 1985 Groups I–III

Doctorate, Nontenured Doctorate, Tenured Nondoctorate faculty Total full-time faculty 5845 (-123 from Fall 1984) (+218 from Fall 1984) (-7 from Fall 1984)

Table 2B: Faculty Flow 1984-1985 To 1985-1986

Full-Time Doctorate-Holding Faculty in Group IV

ran-time bostotate-notating ractity in Group iv								
Source	es of New Facu	ılty	Faculty Leaving					
<u>From</u>	Nontenured	<u>Tenured</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>Nontenured</u>	$\underline{\text{Tenured}}$			
Graduate school	31		Doctorate-granting departments Other college or	4	3			
Another college or			university position Nonacademic	3	4			
university position	13	4	employment	9	3			
Nonacademic employment	1		Deaths and retirements		9			
Outside U.S.	1	1	Position outside U.S.	1				
Other sources	_4	_1	Seeking employment Other		_1			
Total	50	6	Total	17	20			
Received doctorate and not moving Received tenure and	(5)							
not moving		(21)						

Estimated size of full-time faculty, Fall 1985 Group IV

Doctorate, Nontenured 247 Doctorate, Tenured 513 Nondoctorate faculty 21 Total full-time faculty 781

Table 2C: Faculty Flow 1984-1985 To 1985-1986

Full-Time Mathematical Sciences Faculty in Groups M and B Sources of New Faculty Faculty Leaving

				•	
	Doctorate-			Doctorate-	
$\underline{\text{From}}$	<u>Holding</u>	$\underline{\text{Nondoctorate}}$	$\underline{\text{To}}$	<u>Holding</u>	$\underline{\text{Nondoctorate}}$
			Two-year college		
Graduate school	224	282	or high school	34	124
Another college or			Another college or		
university position	356	95	university position	235	55
Nonacademic			Nonacademic		
${ m employment}$	108	45	${f employment}$	98	69
Outside U.S.	26	13	Deaths and		
			retirements	82	98
			Position outside U.S.	5	3
			Graduate or		
			professional school	3	66
			Seeking employment	18	21
Other sources	<u>48</u>	<u>166</u>	Other	_32	<u>69</u>
Total	762	601	Total	507	505
Received doctorate					
and not moving		69			
Received tenure		296			

Estimated size of M and B mathematical sciences faculty, Fall 1985

Doctorate-holding	8944
Nondoctorate	4108
Total	13052

The percentage of new doctorates taking nonacademic employment continued at the same 22% figure as in the last two years (Table 3). However, there was a sharp increase in the number and the percentage of new doctorates taking foreign academic and nonacademic employment (20% compared to 16%). There was a small decrease in the net outflow of doctorate-holding faculty members, from Groups I, II, and III to nonacademic employment (21 compared to 30) but a sharp decrease in the net overflow overall (see Tables 2A, 2B, 2C, and 4).

Fall 1985 Employment Status of 1984-1985 New Doctorates

Table 1 contains the fall 1985 employment status by type of employer and field of degree for 769 new mathematical sciences doctorates who received the degree between July 1, 1984, and June 30, 1985. The names of these 769 people and the titles of their doctoral theses were published in the November 1985 Notices, pages 774–786. Table 1 updates the corresponding table on page 769 of the November 1985 Notices, using more recent information provided by departments and the recipients of the degrees. The total does not include a few more recipients of doctorates who were reported too late to gather employment information for these reports. (A supplementary list of recipients appears in this issue of the Notices.)

The first five rows in Table 1 refer to those 1984-1985 new doctorates employed by doctorate-

granting departments in the U.S. The next two rows refer to those employed by U.S. mathematical sciences departments which grant masters and bachelors degrees as the highest degree respectively. Again there seem to be good job prospects for new doctorates in departments in Groups M and B. It should be noted that the survey of enrollments shows that in Groups M and B computer science and statistics enrollments are plentiful. Mathematicians prepared to teach these courses will find no lack of opportunity to do so at those schools.

The numbers in Tables 2A, 2B, 2C, 4, 5, and 6 were obtained by extrapolation from the AMS Survey and are not actual counts. The various totals from each Group were multiplied by the ratio of the size of the faculty in various groups (obtained by adjusting the figures in the 1980 CBMS report) to the number of faculty members represented in the responding departments. We illustrate with the computation of the estimated number of faculty members in Groups I, II, and III combined. This number was estimated to be 5,757 for fall 1984 by using the 1980 CBMS figure and adjusting yearly as we now do. We received useable answers to the Faculty Mobility Survey from Groups I, II, and III departments reporting a total of 3,251 full-time faculty members for The ratio of 5,757 to 3,251, or fall 1984. 1.77, is our extrapolation index for the combined Groups I, II, and III. For example, they reported 75 new faculty members as coming, directly from graduate school; so we extrapolate to 133

in Table 2A. However, useable responses to the Enrollments and Departmental Size Survey report 4,098 full-time faculty members for departments in Groups I, II, and III; hence, an index of 5,757/4,098, or 1.40, is used to extrapolate data on the enrollments.

Continuing the policy enunciated in the first report of the 1983 Survey, the survey no longer contains data from departments of computer sci-The limited response from these departments made reliable estimates difficult. For the second year, returns from Group V departments were too small to be included. This survey, then, is an analysis of what might be called the traditional mathematics and statistics community. Because the response rate in the remaining groups continues at a high level, this year's survey gives a fairly accurate picture of faculty mobility, enrollments etc. within this community. It should be noted that while departments of computer science are not included in the survey, many departments of mathematics in Groups M and B teach computer science.

Faculty Mobility

This part of the Annual AMS Survey is concerned with the number of faculty members newly hired from various sources, as well as with the number of those individuals leaving faculty positions and information on their subsequent employment status. The Survey also monitors trends in the percentage of faculty members with tenure and the percentage of faculty members with doctoral The number of departments in each of Groups I, II, III, IV, M and B responding to the 1985 Survey of Faculty Mobility is somewhat smaller than last year. The responding departments represent nearly half (46%) of all mathematical sciences faculty members. About 56% of the faculty members in doctorate-granting mathematics departments (Groups I-III) are included among responding departments.

Tables 2A, 2B, and 2C show estimated faculty flow between 1984-1985 and 1985-1986 for U.S. departments. A composite number for all U.S. departments, excluding Group V, may be obtained by adding corresponding rows. The left side of each table shows the estimated numbers of new full-time faculty members hired from the sources indicated between fall 1984 and fall 1985. The right side of each table shows the fall 1985 employment status of those full-time faculty members (as of fall 1984) who had permanently left their departments by fall 1985.

Combining Tables 2A, 2B, and 2C, we have an estimated increase of 628 in the size of the doctorate-holding faculty and a decrease of 159 in the nondoctorate faculty, for an overall increase of 469 as compared to last year's increase of 681.

The pattern of faculty mobility obtained by comparing the two sides of a composite of Tables 2 continues past trends. Many full-time nondoctorate faculty members are being hired for jobs intended for doctorates (359 of 685). Most of the new nondoctorate faculty members (88%) were hired by Group M and B departments.

Attrition due to deaths and retirement is about 1.4% of the total, a slight increase over last year's 1.2%, but still in the vicinity of 1%. The number of faculty obtaining tenure was 414, down from last year's total of 453 but about equal to the previous year's total.

Nonacademic Employment of Doctorates in the Mathematical Sciences

Table 3 is a summary of AMS Survey data on the employment of new doctorates during the last six years 1979-1980 to 1984-1985.

Table 3: New Mathematical Sciences Doctorates
Taking Nonacademic Positions in U.S.

	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
	<u>-80</u>	<u>-81</u>	<u>-82</u>	<u>-83</u>	<u>-84</u>	<u>-85</u>
In government In business,'	37	28	22	24	23	14
industry	<u>165</u>	<u>169</u>	<u>141</u>	105	<u>110</u>	<u>108</u>
Total	202	197	163	129	133	122
Total new doctorates employed in						
U.S.	691	732	659	583	597	557
% in govt./ bus./ind.	29%	27%	25%	22%	22%	22%

Table 3 shows a levelling in the hiring of new doctorates by business and industry. Many of these jobs are in companies in high technology, computer-information processing, or communications areas. A significant number are with organizations which do consulting work in operations research, statistics or applied physics, or which provide computer software or data management services.

Table 4: Estimated Net Outflow of Doctorate-Holding Faculty Members to Nonacademic Employment

1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 <u>-80</u> <u>-81</u> <u>-82</u> <u>-83</u> <u>-84</u> <u>-85</u> Net outflow 168 116 94 46 125 22

Table 4 shows the estimated annual net outflow of doctorate-holding faculty members to nonacademic positions since 1979. The number 22 is obtained by combining the results of the survey. Note the breakdown was a net outflow of 21 in Groups I, II, III combined, an outflow of 12 in Group IV, and a net inflow of 11 in Groups M and B. The data reflects a return to the decline in the loss of doctorate-holding mathematical sciences faculty members to nonacademic employment.

In summary, the 1985 AMS Survey showed an increase of 628 in the number of doctorate-holding faculty members in U.S. colleges and universities and a decrease of 159 in the nondoctorate faculty

members, for a net increase of 469. Most new doctorates took academic positions, but 22% of those employed in the U.S. took jobs in government, business or industry, and 20% of the new doctorates took foreign employment. There

are very few unemployed Ph.D.'s in mathematics at any level, and there is a shortage of new doctorate-holding faculty members in Groups M and B.

Table 5: Total Course Enrollments for Fall 1985 (in Thousands)

(Percent increase from fall 1984 in parentheses)*

Type of Course	Groups								
Below calculus First year calculus Statistics Computer Science Other undergraduate	$\begin{array}{c} \text{I, II, III} \\ 288 (4\%) \\ 207 (-4\%) \\ 25 (4\%) \\ 18 (-19\%) \end{array}$	IV 43 (2%)	VI 10 (11%) 25 (-1%) 12 (-3%) 3 (-7%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{M, B} \\ 641 & (-1\%) \\ 258 & (-3\%) \\ 114 & (0\%) \\ 196 & (-6\%) \end{array}$					
mathematics courses Graduate courses All courses	$\begin{array}{ccc} 167 & (-5\%) \\ 25 & (8\%) \\ 730 & (-1\%) \end{array}$	$11 (3\%) \\ 54 (2\%)$	$egin{array}{ccc} 34 & (-3\%) \ 1 & (+2\%) \ 85 & (-1\%) \ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 213 & (0\%) \\ 21 & (10\%) \\ 1443 & (-2\%) \end{array}$					

^{*}This is obtained from the raw data as reported for the two years on this 29th Annual Survey. It is not based on last year's estimates.

Table 6: Majors and Graduate Students

(Percent increase over fall 1984 in parentheses)*

Fall 1985

m . 1 · · · · · ·	,	I, III]	IV		VI	M	. В
Total junior-senior majors	18508	(1%)	717	(5%)	5008	(8%)	55851	(-3%)
Total first year graduate students		(10%)	606	(17%)	196	(-3%)	1733	(6%)
Total graduate students	8191	(7%)	1782	(4%)	598	(5%)	3679	(5%)

^{*}See footnote for Table 5.