Reprinted from the Notices of the American Mathematical Society Volume 26, Number 6, October 1979

### 23rd ANNUAL AMS SURVEY

### First Report

The following pages contain a first report on the 1979 AMS Survey. Included in this issue are data on faculty members in four-year colleges and universities, a report on the 1979 survey of new doctorates, and a report on long-term changes in faculty salaries. (The list of the names and thesis titles of the members of the 1978-1979 Ph.D. class will be printed in the November issue of the Notices this year.)

Currently the Annual AMS Survey is conducted in two parts. Questionnaires were distributed in May to all departments in the mathematical sciences in colleges and universities in the United States and Canada, and, later to the recipients of doctoral degrees granted by these departments between July 1978 and June 1979, inclusive. This report is based on the information collected from these questionnaires. A second round of questionnaires was distributed in September, these are concerned with data on two-year colleges, fall enrollments, class size, teaching loads and faculty mobility. These data will be reported in the February or April 1980 issue of the Notices.

This Survey is the twenty-third in an annual series begun in 1957 by the Society's Committee on the Economic Status of Teachers. The present Survey is under the direction of the Committee on Employment and Educational Policy (CEEP), whose members are Lida K. Barrett (chairman), Alan J. Goldman, Arthur P. Mattuck, Donald C. Rung, Robert J. Thompson and William P. Ziemer. The questionnaires were devised by CEEP's Data Subcommittee consisting of Lida K. Barrett, Lincoln K. Durst, Wendell H. Fleming (chairman), Arthur P. Mattuck, Donald C. Rung and Donald J. Albers (consultant).

### Faculty Salaries, Tenure, Women

As has been the practice for several years, questionnaires were sent to departments in the mathematical sciences, asking for information on salaries and tenure. Departments submitted a minimum, median, and maximum salary figure for each of four academic ranks, for staff members both with and without doctorates. Annual salaries of full-time faculty members for the academic year of 9-10 months were sought. The 1979 questionnaire requested information for both the years 1978-1979 and 1979-1980. The sample in this survey is thus the same for both years and is different from the sample used in the Twenty-Second Salary Survey in 1978. The information reported this year on the number of faculty members is based on returns from 846 departments in the mathematical sciences, 180 of which did not contain usable salary information. In the salary tables on the following pages the numbers in parentheses give the range of the middle fifty percent of salaries reported. The figures outside the parentheses represent the minimum and maximum salary listed by any reporting institution. In some categories relatively few departments reported and, because significant figures were not available, salaries are not listed.

For these reports, the departments are divided into groups according to the highest degree offered in the mathematical sciences. The doctorate-granting departments are in six groups as follows:

Group I and Group II include the leading departments of mathematics in the U.S. according to the findings of the American Council on Education in 1969\* in which departments were ranked according to the quality of their graduate faculty. Group I is composed of the 27 departments ranked highest; Group II is made up of the other 38 leading departments listed in that report.

Group III contains all other U.S. departments of mathematics.

Group IV includes U. S. departments of statistics, biostatistics and biometrics.

Group V includes all other U.S. departments in the mathematical sciences.

Group VI consists of all departments in the mathematical sciences in Canadian universities.

Although Canadian doctorate-granting departments are grouped separately, those granting bachelor and master degrees are included with U. S. departments, as in previous years.

<sup>\*</sup>The findings were published in A Rating of Graduate Programs by Kenneth D. Roose and Charles J. Andersen, American Council on Education, Washington, D. C., 1969, 115 pp. The information on mathematics was reprinted by the Society and can be found on pages 338-340 of the February 1971 issue of the Notices.

# The Annual Survey (First Report), 1979 Colleges and Universities

1978-1979

	FAC	ULTY	WC	MEN	F	ACULTY	WC	MEN
	Total	With Tenure	Total	With Tenure	Tota	With I Tenure	Total	With Tenure
WITHOUT DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	535 646 521 159 1861	80 513 499 <u>155</u> 1247	234 161 68 12 475	38 119 64 <u>12</u> 233	49 62 52 16 180	492 496 3 159	228 159 63 <u>12</u> 462	35 118 62 <u>12</u> 227
WITH DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	280 2205 2984 <u>3524</u> 8993	18 326 2714 <u>3476</u> 6534	41 242 182 <u>134</u> 599	3 31 156 <u>133</u> 323	263 2183 2973 <u>373</u> 916	284 3 2723 1 <u>3679</u>	37 264 187 <u>147</u> 635	3 27 161 <u>144</u> 335

### NUMBER OF FACULTY MEMBERS REPORTED

Table 1 above provides a summary of the number of faculty members reported on the questionnaires. Readers should be aware of certain limitations on these figures as indicators of the size and composition of the North American mathematical sciences faculty: (1) The samples of responding departments in each category, being self-selected, cannot be assumed to be random samples. (2) Departments in each category differ greatly in size, so that extrapolation based on the sample size is not simple. (See Note 2 below.)

Note 1. Table 1 (Total Faculty Reported) shows a modest increase in the total number of faculty members between 1978–1979 and 1979–1980, among responding departments. The upward trend in tenure percentages (some 2% to 3% per year for faculty members who have doctoral degrees) observed for

### TABLE 2: PERCENT OF DOCTORATE FACULTY WITH TENURE

	Fall 1978	Fall 1979
Groups I, II, III Groups IV, V Group VI	74.4% 65.1% 82.7%	75.2% 66.1% 85.3%
Masters and Bachelors	71.8%	71.8%

several years did not continue. This is seen from Table 2, which is based on the faculty counts shown on the left half of the following pages.

Note 2. Response rates. The following table compares the percentage of departments which reported in each category and the fraction of faculty members reported by them. The latter were calculated using the estimates published on pages 108 and 109 of the February 1979 Notices.

### TABLE 3: RESPONSE RATES

#### U.S. Departments

Group	Percent Response	Percent of Faculty Reported
1	74	75
11	74	73
111	80	76
IV	66	77
· v	33	49
М	52	53
В	41	41
	Canadian I	Departments
VI	49	58
М	28	32
В	34	.34

	U	Maximum		 (179-197)223 (225-277)287 (438-476)550		(135–190)241  	(140-163)230 (181-210)227 (234-274)321 (398-464)570		(105-174)206 (166-189)226 (214-247)271 	$\begin{array}{c} (114 - 149)167 \\ (179 - 201)242 \\ (233 - 265)308 \\ (316 - 406)523 \end{array}$
	1979–1980	Median		 (160-182) (210-240) (304-365)		(125-190)  	(130-154) (164-184) (215-231) (283-325)		(100-145) (156-187) (208-247) 	(110-147) (165-183) (208-235) (267-320)
	SALARIES (in hundreds of dollars)	Minimum		145(151-178) 173(188-212) 211(235-273)		115(120-190)  	115(130–147) 118(150–166) 164(187–209) 203(225–262)		95(100-145) 122(155-178) 137(204-237) 	96(106-145) 130(150-170) 162(186-212) 182(225-260)
		Maximum		 (173-189)200 (215-260)279 (420-460)515		(124-181)226  	(129-145)216 (171-200)212 (235-259)301 (376-440)537		(100-162)206 (155-182)210 (198-235)253	(110-144)152 (169-191)229 (219-242)286 (294-385)489
	1978–1979	Median		 (150-170) (197-234) (280-353)		(119-170)  	(123-140) (157-174) (199-218) (279-305)		(100-143) (153-168) (193-230) 	$\begin{array}{c} (110-144)\\ (154-171)\\ (195-218)\\ (255-293) \end{array}$
racuity Salaries		Minimum		 133(143-160) 170(182-200) 196(220-238)		109(115-170)  	$\begin{array}{c} 115 (120 - 139) \\ 110 (143 - 164) \\ 149 (164 - 203) \\ 200 (218 - 244) \end{array}$		93( 97-133) 122(146-165) 167(189-225)	96(110-144) 66(140-159) 158(176-196) 182(215-250)
acui	980 WOMEN	here I	(b)	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	(1	20002	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\14\\29\\29\end{array}$	,	$\begin{array}{c}1\\5\\29\\29\end{array}$	$\frac{22}{52}$
leas	.TY 1979–1980 <u>JLTY</u> <u>Woh</u>	Total	(20 of 27 reporting)	$\frac{15}{43}$	(28 of 38 reporting)	22 22 22 23	6 16 51	(72 of 90 reporting)	$21 \\ 5 \\ 44 \\ 44$	$\frac{45}{5}$
	FACULTY 1979 FACULTY With		of 27 r	2 2 544 676	of 38 re	12 15 15	$\begin{array}{c}2\\7\\325\\474\\808\end{array}$	f 90 rel	8 54 38 38 107	$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       57 \\       621 \\       757 \\       1436 \\       1436     \end{array} $
	FA	Total	(20	68 153 133 899 899		35 6 1 1 43	$\begin{array}{c} 68\\ 232\\ 336\\ \underline{476}\\ 11112\end{array}$	(72 0	37 55 38 7 137	$\begin{array}{c} 39 \\ 416 \\ 651 \\ 651 \\ 1874 \end{array}$
	SIZE OF 979 women with	Tenure	Group 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 18 \\ 18 \end{array}$	Group II	40004	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ $	Group III	$\begin{array}{c} 9\\ 6\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ \end{array}$	$\frac{1}{49}$
	SIZ 1978–1979 <u>JLTY</u> <u>WON</u> With	Total		14 14 36 36		20 20 0 0 0 0 0	20 14 56		25 18 50	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
	<u> </u>	Tenure	ARTM	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 131 \\ 534 \\ 670 \end{array}$	ARTME	9 1 1 1 3	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 9\\ 321\\ 444\\ 776\end{array}$	ARTMEI	11 59 37 115	$\begin{array}{c}1\\60\\633\\712\\1406\end{array}$
	FAC	Total	NG DEF	71 149 142 535 897	NG DEP	TE 28	$\begin{array}{c} 74 \\ 244 \\ 333 \\ 333 \\ 446 \\ 1097 \end{array}$	IG DEP/	E 50 60 39 157	$\begin{array}{r} 39\\ 415\\ 664\\ 1837\\ 1837\\ 1\end{array}$
			DOCTORATE GRANTING DEPARTMENTS.	WITH DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	DOCTORATE GRANTING DEPARTMENTS.	WITHOUT DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	<u>WITH DOCTORATE</u> Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	DOCTORATE GRANTING DEPARTMENTS.	WITHOUT DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	WITH DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor

Faculty Salaries

384

### The Annual Survey (First Report), 1979

		 (184-215)330 (224-289)390 (388-475)700			 (186-206)250 (230-264)300 (380-453)560				
		 (173-199) (219-265) (315-375)			 (177-200) (220-250) (299-374)			 (167-224) (246-259) (319-350)	
		140(162-190) 178(204-251) 200(259-327)			157(170-190) 193(200-233) 220(250-306)			142(152–210) 139(191–225) 250(259–284)	
		 (169-199)330 (215-260)370 (348-438)700			 (182-195)221 (213-254)280 (362-425)466			 (174-236)242 (257-300)361 (373-406)492	
		(163-192) (206-247) (296-373)			 (170-184) (205-237) (278-350)			 (152-215) (220-247) (288-322)	
		 140(156-178) 169(190-240) 187(247-299)			 120(160-175) 179(185-200) 205(243-300)			$\begin{array}{c}\\ 130(136-190)\\ 164(184-207)\\ 223(238-283)\end{array}$	
0	50110	10 8 5 1 2	g)	10010	a a 1 0	~	00000	0 1 7 1 0	
porting	50110	$\begin{array}{c} 26\\ 46\\ 9\\ 9\end{array}$	eportin	30015	13 25 25	porting	12 0 2 6 4	16 16 16	
(45 of 68 reporting)	10 10	$     \begin{array}{r}       12 \\       4 \\       110 \\       269 \\       395 \\     \end{array} $	(39 of 118 reporting)	80050	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2\\ 89\\ 373\\ 373\end{array}$	(17 of 35 reporting)	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 18 \\ 23 \\ 51 \end{array}$	0 28 196 <u>394</u>	
(45 oi	18 31 31	28 168 129 594 -	(39 01	$\begin{array}{c} 19\\4\\0\\30\end{array}$	13 152 114 288 567	(17 of	$\frac{17}{24}$	5 87 200 462 -	
NG DEPARTMENTS. Group IV	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NG DEPARTMENTS. Group V	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NG DEPARTMENTS. Group VI s)	$\begin{array}{c c} \underline{\text{TE}} \\ 11 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 23 & 18 & 9 & 9 \\ 24 & 22 & 2 & 2 \\ 10 & 10 & 0 & 0 \\ 68 & 50 & 13 & 11 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
DOCTORATE GRANTING DEPARTMENTS.	WITHOUT DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	WITH DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	DOCTORATE GRANTING DEPARTMENTS.	WITHOUT DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	WITH DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	DOCTORATE GRANTING DEPARTMENTS. (Canadian Departments)	WITHOUT DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	WITH DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	

385

		Maximum		(130–168)212 (173–205)235 (196–243)300 (219–312)357	 (172-208)262 (213-253)341 (268-325)432	•	(123-150)290 (138-186)262 (160-211)322 (200-273)420	 (150-180)262 (171-222)332 (205-278)380
	1979-1980	Median		(125-162) (1 (163-193) (1 (187-230) (1 (219-305) (2	(161-190) (1 (203-233) (2 (241-298) (2		(121-149) (1 (135-181) (1 (159-202) (1 (200-265) (2	$\begin{array}{c} \\ 147 - 171 \\ (147 - 210) \\ (170 - 210) \\ (200 - 261) \\ (200 $
RIES of dollars)		Minimum		75(115-150) 123(153-187) 144(182-224) 194(219-298)	 130(153-179) 134(186-210) 141(224-271)		82(118-143) 95(133-179) 110(155-197) 125(200-265)	 114(140-167) 130(165-203) 123(196-249)
SALARIES (in hundreds of dollars)		Maximum	ts)	(120-155)206 (162-188)221 (185-226)277 (238-282)351	 [163-192)240 [200-238)318 [253-300)405	ents)	(112-135)280 (122-135)280 (132-168)240 (154-195)288 (186-256)392	 [140-170)240 [160-205)309 [195-250)353 ]
	1978-1979	Median	ian Departmen	(115-150) ( (154-178) ( (182-216) ( (238-282) ()	 (153-180) (191-216) (229-273) (	ıdian Departme	(112-134) () (130-165) () (150-187) () (186-255) ()	 (140-158) (1 (160-196) (1 (192-245) (1
	1	Minimum	188 of 371 reporting including 5 of 18 Canadian Departments)	66(105-140) 115(145-169) 139(172-210) 183(238-277)	110(143-168) 125(175-198) 132(214-254)	(437 of 1064 reporting including 11 of 32 Canadian Departments)	87(110–130) 95(128–165) 100(147–186) 148(186–247)	 105(135-152) 134(159-191) 113(190-233)
0	2	Vith	g including	17 61 26 108	0 53 111	ng includir	32 74 74	0 88 88
-198(	M	Total	portin	$     \begin{array}{c}       112 \\       67 \\       26 \\       \underline{4} \\       209 \\       \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\80\\58\\192\end{array}$	eporti	$\begin{array}{c} 67\\ 67\\ 29\\ 29\\ 7\\ 170 \end{array}$	3 66 55 38 162
LTY 1979–1980	FACULTY	Vith	371 re	$\begin{array}{c} 40 \\ 268 \\ 222 \\ 62 \\ 592 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1\\112\\816\\751\\751\\1680\end{array}$	1064 r	$   \begin{array}{r}     15 \\     144 \\     209 \\     \underline{69} \\     437 \\   \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c}1\\72\\438\\432\\943\end{array}$
FACUI	FAC	Total	(188 of	215 291 224 62 792	31 526 895 759 2211	(437 of	$   \begin{array}{r}     150 \\     248 \\     230 \\     27 \\     72 \\     700 \\   \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 17 \\ 454 \\ 515 \\ 456 \\ 1442 \end{array}$
SIZE OF 979	WOMEN	Tenure	ŝ	16 59 27 4 106	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 14\\ 53\\ 40\\ 107\end{array}$	INTS	32 32 76 76	0 6 36 87
SIZ 1979	MOM	Total	IMENT	$     \begin{array}{c}       110 \\       68 \\       27 \\       209 \\     \end{array}   $		ARTMI	$73 \\ 65 \\ 30 \\ 8 \\ 176 \\ -$	6 55 55 36 152
SIZ 1978–1979	ILTY West	Tenure	EPART	$\begin{array}{c} 42\\277\\219\\60\\598\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 139 \\ 816 \\ 699 \\ 1654 \end{array}$	G DEP	$\frac{16}{154}$ 216 $\frac{67}{453}$	1 76 428 <u>411</u> 916
, ,	FACULTY	Total ]	ITING D	E 300 221 808	34 553 889 2182	<b>ANTIN</b>	E 182 251 230 70 733	$\begin{array}{c} 19\\ 438\\ 505\\ \underline{1394}\\ 1394\end{array}$
			MASTER DEGREE GRANTING DEPARTMENTS	WITHOUT DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	WITH DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	BACHELOR DEGREE GRANTING DEPARTMENTS	WITHOUT DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor	WITH DOCTORATE Instructor/Lecturer Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor

# Salary Survey for New Recipients of Doctorates

The figures for 1979 in this article were compiled from questionnaires sent to individuals who received a doctorate in the mathematical sciences during the 1978-1979 academic year from universities in the United States and Canada. This year no attempt was made to obtain information from individuals who were reported to have left the U.S. or Canada.

Questionnaires requesting information on salaries and professional experience were distributed to 787 recipients of degrees using addresses provided by the departments which granted the degrees. Of these, 41 were returned by the postal service as undeliverable and could not be forwarded. There were 418 individuals who returned forms between late June and early September. The tables below are based on the responses from 395 of these individuals (344 men and 51 women). Data from 23 responses were not used in the compilation of the tables below; forms with insufficient data, or from individuals who had indicated they had part-time employment, were not yet employed, or were not seeking employment were considered unusable.

report are obtained from a self-selected sample and inferences from them may not be representative of the population. More comprehensive information on the number, the sex-minority group status-citizenship, and the employment status of the recipients of new doctorates granted last year in the mathematical sciences in the U.S. and Canada may be found on the pages which follow.

### **KEY TO TABLES BELOW**

Salaries are listed in hundreds of dollars. Years listed refer to the academic year ending in the listed year. M and F are Male and Female respectively. One year experience means that the persons had experience limited to one year or less in the same position or a position similar to the one reported; some persons receiving a doctorate had been employed in their present position for several years. (X + Y)means there are X men and Y women in the 1979 sample. Quartile figures are given only in cases where the number of responses is large enough to make them meaningful.

Max.

Readers should be warned that the data in this

### NINE-MONTH SALARIES

#### TWELVE-MONTH SALARIES

Year	Min.	$\underline{Q}_1$	Median	<u>Q</u> 3	Max.	Year	Min.	Median	Max.	Year	Min.	Median	Ma
TEAC	CHING OR	TEACE (206	HING AND + 35)	RESE	ARCH	TEAC	HING OF	R TEACH CH (36 +	ING	GOVE		T (15 + 3)	
						11112	LUDDAN	CH (30 +	4)				
1975	90	120	128	135	173	1975	87	145	0.04				
1976	85	124	133	145	245	1976	100	145 155	204 270	1975	78	182	24
1977	72	130	140	150	328	1977	111	170		1976	115	194	27
1978	92	135	145	159	211	1978	101	185	260 290	1977	105	187	33
1979	100	145	157	170	234	1979	120	195	290	1978	170	220	320
1975M		120	130	137	173	1975M		145	204	<u>1979</u> 1975M	180	243	351
1975 F		120	126	135	160	1975F			185			185	247
1976M		125	134	145	245	1976M		150	270	1975 F		100	145
1976F		120	125	145	168	1976F	100	174	240	1976M		194	270
1977M		130	140	150	328	1977M		170	260	<u>1976F</u> 1977M		194	200
<u>1977</u> F		120	135	148	170	1977F	125	_	182	1977M 1977F	105 115	192	330
1978M		135	145	160	211	1978M		180	290	1978M		182	204
<u>1978</u> F	92	131	145	151	195	1978F	187	195	223	1978F	170	220	320
1979M		145	158	170	234	1979M	120	188	240	1979M		200	250
<u>1979</u> F	115	145	152	171	200	1979F	210	233	240	1979M 1979F	190	254	357
	ar experi		69 + 27)			One ye		ience (29	+ 3)			231	256
1979M		145	155	169	220	1979M	135	180	230	1979M	ar exper 180	ience (8 +	
1979F	115	145	150	168	200	1979F	210	230	235	1979M 1979F		197	292
									200	13751	-	190	-
RESEA	RCH (3 +	0)				DECEA	DOT IN						
						RESEA	RCH (22	+ 0)		BUSINI	ESS AND	INDUSTR	ΥΥ
1975	100										(62 +	9)	
1975	70		-		110	1975	90	119	180	1975	114	187	
1978	80		80		180	1976	90	130	210	1976	120	205	240
1977			86		160	1977	100	156	250	1977	100	205	400
1978	120		-		125	1978	100	185	248	1978	145	240	380 387
1979 1975M	<u>110</u> 100	Contract of the second	132		160	1979	100	174	271	1979	140	254	387
1975M 1975F			-		110	1975M	90	119	180	1975M	114	189	240
1975F 1976M	- 70		-		-	1975F	-	-	-	1975F	120	175	240
1976F			80		180	1976M	90	121	210	1976M	120	206	400
1976F 1977M	80			-	-	1976F	-	195	-	1976F	185	-	200
1977F	-		-		160	1977M	100	139	210	1977M	100	216	380
1977F	120		86		-	1977F	190	222 '	250	1977F	130	195	220
1978F			-		125	1978M	100	187	248	1978M	145	246	387
1978F 1979M	-		-		-	1978F	-	180	-	1978F	180	210	251
	110		132		60	1979M	100	174	271	1979M	140	251	380
1979F		(0)	-		-	1979F		-	-	1979F	200	255	350
0ne yea 1979M	r experie	nce (3 +				One year	r experie	ence (19 -	- 0)			ence (45 +	6)
1979M 1979F	110		132	1	.60	1979M	100	150	271	1979M	140	244	330
19791	-		-		-	<u>1979F</u>	-	-	-	1979F	220	240	285
						the second s	Contract of the local division of the local			and the state of t			000

# Report on the 1979 Survey of New Doctorates by Wendell H. Fleming

This report concerns new doctorates in the mathematical sciences. It includes the employment status of recipients of 1978-1979 doctorates in the mathematical sciences, and a breakdown according to their sex, minority group status and citizenship. In addition, trends in the number of doctoral degrees in the mathematical sciences, and in employment patterns for new recipients are reported.

The job market for new mathematical sciences doctorates was good in 1979. By early summer over 90% of new 1978-1979 doctorates had found positions for fall 1979. Judging from experience during recent years, it is likely that most of those reported as "not yet placed" subsequently found jobs during the summer. (A second report on the employment status of 1978-1979 doctorates is planned for the February 1980 issue of the Notices.)

The number of new mathematical sciences doctorates reported for 1978-1979 was down by about 7% from the previous year 1977-1978. The proportion of new doctorates from the ACE top-rated

mathematics departments (Group I in the classification on p. 382) increased somewhat. There has recently been a steep decline in the number of new doctorates from departments in Group III. These are mathematics departments which were unrated in the 1969 ACE survey. There was also a drop last year in the number of new doctorates from statistics and statistics-related departments in Group IV, after a number of years during which the annual number of new doctorates from Group IV departments remained nearly constant.

Employment Status of New Doctorates, 1978-1979. Table 1 shows the employment status, by type of employer and field of degree, of the recipients of the 890 new doctoral degrees conferred by mathematical sciences departments in the U.S. and Canada between July 1, 1978 and June 30, 1979. These 890 individuals will be listed, with their thesis titles, in the November 1979 Notices.

In rows 1 through 5, the recipients are counted

#### TABLE 1

1979-1980 EMPLOYMENT STATUS OF NEW DOCTORATES IN THE MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES												
				MAT			/				11 50	LENCES
Type of Employer	Algebra and	Analysis and	Geometral Analysis	Logic and	Probat	Ailton	Computer Scienturer	Operations Research	Applied Mathenatics	Mathematic	Other	Total
Group I Group II Group III Group IV Group V	9 9 15 1 0	14 17 24 0 1	17 8 6 1 0	4 5 4 0 0	3 2 2 1 1	1 2 10 31 1	1 0 1 0 27	0 0 0 2	6 3 12 0 7	0 0 0 0	4 0 1 1	59 46 75 35
Masters Bachelors Two-year College or High School	11 23 3	10 15 7	6 14 1	1 1 0	.3 1 0	14 7 0	15 2	0 1	5 8	3 2	3 3	40 71 77
Other Academic Depts.	1	3	0	0	1	16	1 10	0 5	4 9	4	0 4	20 50
Research Institutes Government Business and Industry	00	3 1 12	3 1 10	0 0	01	2 10	1 5	0 1	2 6	0	0 4	11 29
Canada, Academic Canada, Nonacademic Foreign, Academic	5 2 7	8 2 15	4 2 9	2 0 1 1	4 1 0 2	31 8 2 8	29 2 1 4	20 0 0 3	18 4 0 8	1 0 0 1	11 4 1 4	155 36 11 62
Foreign, Nonacademic Not seeking employ. Not yet employed Unknown	1 3 6 3	4 1 8 5	1 2 6 2	0 1 2 1	1 0 1 3	7 0 8 5	4 0 3 4	1 0 0 4	2 0 6 8	0 0 0 3	0 3 2	21 10 42
Total	116	150	93	23	27	163	110	37	108		2 48	40 890

388

who accepted appointments in U. S. doctorategranting mathematical sciences departments (Groups I-V as defined on page 382). In the next 2 rows, the figures represent those accepting appointments in U. S. mathematical sciences departments granting masters and bachelors degrees only. The information was obtained from the departments granting the degrees and from questionnaires subsequently completed by about 47% of the recipients themselves.

Among those 1978–1979 new doctorates employed in the U.S., slightly over 60% took positions in university or college mathematical sciences departments. About 28% took positions in government, business, and industry, while the remaining 12% are in two-year colleges, high schools, other academic departments, or research institutes.

Table 1 shows as "not yet employed" about 5% of the 1978-1979 new doctorates (this excludes those whose employment status is unknown, and those now in Canada or other foreign countries). The data in Table 1 were in many instances obtained in early summer of 1979, and do not reflect subsequent hiring during the summer; an update of Table 1 is planned for the February 1980 Notices. A similar update last year revealed that nearly all new 1977-1978 doctorates not yet employed by early summer subsequently found positions by Fall 1978. (See the Notices, October 1978, p. 346 and February 1979, p. 107.)

Sex, Race, and Citizenship of New Doctorates, 1978–1979. Table 2 below represents a breakdown according to sex, racial/ethnic group, and citizenship of these 890 new doctorates. The information summarized in Table 2 was obtained from department heads and in some cases from recipients themselves.

Table 2 shows that 13.7% of the new U. S. 1978-1979 doctorates are women. This is slightly more than the 13.3 percentage reported a year ago, and continues an increase from previous years. Table 2 shows thirty-nine new doctorates who are both U. S. citizens and members of a minority group. As in previous years this number represents only a small percentage of the total.

Analysis of the 1978-1979 employment forms for the new U.S. doctorates indicates that 10% of those employed by Group I, II, and III departments are women. (The percentage is very slightly higher if Group IV and V departments are included.) Among new doctorates employed by bachelors and masters degree-granting departments 23% are women, while among those employed by government, business, and industry 14% are women. Among the 42 individuals shown in Table 1 as not yet employed nine are women. Only seventeen individuals included in Table 1 were reported as having taken part-time employment.

Trends In the Number of New Doctorates. The downward trend observed since 1971 in numbers of

# TABLE 2 SEX, RACE, AND CITIZENSHIP OF NEW DOCTORATES July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

U.S. DEGREES		MEN				WOMEN					TOTAL
RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP	U.S.	CITIZE Canada	Other	Not Known	Total Men	U.S.		ENSHIP Other	Not	Total Women	
Asian, Pacific Islander Black American Indian, Eskimo, Aleut Mexican American, Chicano, Puerto Rican	12 10 8 3		75 1 3	2	89 11 8 6	5 1		11	1	17	106 11 9 6
None of those above Unknown	442 28	5 4	92 8	5 5	544 45	82 5		10 1		92 6	636 51
Total Number	503	9	179	12	703	93		22	1	116	819

CANADIAN DEGREES		MEN					WOMEN				
RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP	U.S.	CITIZI Canada	Other	Not Known	Total Men	u.s.	CITIZ Canada	ENSHIP Other	Not	Total Women	
Asian, Pacific Islander Black American Indian, Eskimo, Aleut Mexican American, Chicano, Puerto Rican		3	7 2		10 2		2			2	12 2
None of those above Unknown	4	24 13	8 2	2	36 17		2 2			2 2	38 19
Total Number	4	40	19	2	65		6			6	71

new mathematical sciences doctorates continued during 1978-1979. Table 3 compares the numbers of doctorates granted during 1976-1977, 1977-1978, and 1978-1979 by those departments in Groups I, II, and III which reported in each of these three years. The number of such departments is indicated in parentheses.

# TABLE 3: NUMBER OF NEW MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES DOCTORATES REPORTED

	<u>1976-1977</u>	<u>1977-1978</u>	<u>1978-1979</u>
Group I (24 depts.)	237	212	220
Group II (36 depts.)	151	157	132
Group III (77 depts.)	<u>197</u>	152	135
Total	585	521	487

Table 3 shows an overall 17% decrease among these departments during the two years 1976-1977 to 1978-1979, with a more drastic decrease of 31% in Group III. Over 45% of the new 1978-1979 doctorates shown in Table 3 come from Group I departments, compared to about 40% from Group I on the average for the previous eight years 1970-1978. Taken over the same eight year period, Groups II and III each produced about 30% of all doctorates from departments in these three Groups. However, the share of each group has fluctuated. Between 1974-1975 and 1975-1976, there was a sharp drop in the number of doctorates from Group II departments comparable to the more recent drop for Group III shown in Table 3. The number of doctoral degrees granted by Group I-III departments in 1978-1979 is only slightly over half the number granted in 1970-1971. Some departments, particularly in Group III, are operating with quite small numbers of students in the Ph.D. program. At least three Ph.D. programs have been discontinued, and some other departments have reported that their Ph.D. program is being phased out.

A decline in numbers of new doctorates was also reported in the applications-related departments in Groups IV and V. Table 4 compares the numbers of doctorates granted during 1977-1978 and 1978-1979 in those Group IV, V, VI departments which reported both years.

### TABLE 4: NUMBER OF NEW DOCTORATES

	1977-1978	1978-1979
Group IV (47 depts.)	148	128
Group V (39 depts.)	158	145
Group VI (25 depts.)	_75	70
Total	381	343

The substantial decline in Table 4 for the statistics-related departments in Group IV is perhaps unexpected, considering the excellent employment prospects for statisticians. Possibly more statistics students are opting for a relatively well-paying job after the masters degree. Table 4 also indicates a drop for the computer science, operations research, and other applied departments in Group V, although returns from those departments are less complete than from the other groups.

## Academic Salaries in Mathematics, 1960–1978 by Donald C. Rung

Since 1957 the Society has published surveys of salaries of faculty members in mathematics, so that the mathematical community may assess general salary levels. It has become apparent during the last several years that the salaries of mathematicians in academic positions have declined.

It is clear that, if present trends continue, salary levels in 1980, when discounted for inflation, will equal or be lower than salary levels of 1960-the gains of the sixties have been eroded by inflationary pressures and by the modest salary increases of the seventies. In fact, the situation in 1980 may well be worse than in 1960. During the sixties there was a general

### TABLE I

### SALARY FOR NEW RECIPIENTS OF THE DOCTORATE

in 1960 dollars (actual dollars in parentheses)

Position	1960	1970	1978
Teaching	\$ 6,500	\$ 8,300 (11,000)	\$ 6,600 ( 14,500)
Research	6,600	8,300 ( 10,500)	6,600 ( 14,500)

### TABLE II

#### FACULTY SALARIES

in 1960 dollars (actual dollars in parentheses)

	1960	1970	1978
Group I			1
Assistant Professors	\$ 7,300	\$ 8,700 (11,600)	\$ 7,200 ( 16,000)
Associate Professors	8,600	10,900	
Full Professors	11,700	17,700	
Group II			
Assistant Professors	7,500	9,100 (12,200)	7,400 (16,600)
Associate Professors	8,300	11,300	
Full Professors	10,900	16,100	
Group III			
Assistant Professors		9,100 (12,200)	7,300 (16,300)
Associate Professors		. , ,	9,300
Full Professors			12,300

increase in the earning power of academic mathematicians, but we enter 1980 with little possibility of reversing the current downward trend in salary increases.

Tables I and II support these conclusions. Table I compares salaries of new Ph.D.'s in the years 1960, 1970, and 1978, and Table II compares salaries for the various professorial ranks in these same three years. Salaries are given in terms of 1960 dollars, with the actual salaries given in parentheses for the year in question. The actual salary figures were taken from the AMS Salary Surveys for the years 1960, 1970, and 1978 and were converted to 1960 dollars using the implicit price deflator index prepared by the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the U.S. Department of Commerce and often used by educational planners. It is a somewhat more conservative index than, say, the Consumer Price Index and has been constructed to reflect inflationary pressures on middle income wage earners. The index stood at 68.7 in 1960, 91.4 in 1970, and 152.0 in 1978. Using this index, the 1970 dollar is discounted by 0.75 and the 1978 dollar is discounted by 0.45 (to equal the 1960 dollar).

A further word of explanation on the tables: the figures used to compare salaries in Table I for new recipients of the doctorate were obtained from the Society's Annual Survey, reported in the October Notices for the years 1960, 1970 and 1978, respectively. A slightly different technique was used to arrive at the professorial salaries given in Table II. The Society has conducted its Annual Survey since 1957. The salaries for each of the years given in

### TABLE III

### IMPLICIT PRICE DEFLATOR

prepared by Bureau of Economic Analysis U. S. Department of Commerce

Year	Index Value	Year	Index Value
1956	62.9	1968	82.6
1957	65.0	1969	86.7
1958	66.1	1970	91.4
1959	67.5	1971	96.0
1960	68.7	1972	100.0
1961	69.3	1973	105.8
1962	70.6	1974	116.4
1963	71.6	1975	127.2
1964	72.7	1976	133.8
1965	74.3	1977	141.3
1966	76.8	1978	152.0
1967	79.0		

Table II were computed using the salary for that year as reported in the succeeding year's Survey. Thus, the 1960 numbers are from the Survey as reported in the October 1961 Notices; the 1970 figures are from the October 1971 Notices; and the 1978 figures are from this issue of the Notices. To arrive at a representative salary for each rank, the median salaries for the 25th and 75th percentile were averaged. The classification of departments of universities and colleges in various groups for the purpose of reporting salaries has changed from 1961 to 1970. However, there are enough similarities in the groupings to retain the comparisons in the salaries of Group I and Group II departments. Comparisons of the salaries in Group III have been given only for 1970 and 1978. (See page 382 for definitions of the groups.) For the other categories of departments in

the Annual AMS Survey, it is a simple matter to produce comparative figures similar to the ones presented here by using the implicit price deflator index given in Table III.

It seems clear that the 1980s will see salaries at levels comparable to the 1950s unless some efforts are made to arrest present trends. The vitality of mathematics depends on attracting those talented in mathematics with prospects of a decent livelihood in an academic career in mathematics. In 1956, a committee was formed "to investigate the present economic status of teachers" with the implicit assumption that the "present economic status" was none too good. It is obvious that we will enter the 1980s in the same economic doldrums and that efforts are required to assure a salary structure necessary for continued academic vitality.